#### **Statement on Teaching Activities**

Dr. Rob Power Assistant Professor of Education, Cape Breton University

#### Personal Teaching, Learning, and Instructional Design Motto

"Ubique." Everything, everywhere, everyone. To use the right tools and approaches to create engaging learning experiences for everyone, and to remove barriers to learners' fullest engagement and success.

The Latin "ubique" means "everywhere" (Wikipedia, 2023). As the motto of the Canadian Forces Field Engineers, with whom I served as a reservist in the 1990s, it jokingly meant "all over the place" but, more accurately, was used in the sense of "we go everywhere, and do everything that everyone needs done." As I reflect on my teaching philosophy (see my Teaching Philosophy Statement below), it occurs to me that I still live by this motto as an educator and instructional designer. My practice and my research has focused on crafting seamless learning experiences for every learner, following the best practices of concepts such as Universal Design for Learning and the learning theories and Digital Accessibility guidelines central to removing barriers to engagement and success for all learners.

#### **Teaching Philosophy and Themes**

In preparation of this Teaching Philosophy Statement to support my four-year tenure-track review, I reflected upon how closely intertwined my teaching philosophy is with my research focuses. Like my research agenda, my teaching philosophy focuses on themes related to improving access to teaching and learning opportunities for all stakeholders. As an educational technology researcher and practitioner, I have grounded my both my teaching and research practice on three themes of seamless use of technology in education:

- 1. The facilitation of collaborative learning interactions.
- 2. Increasing teachers' perceptions of self-efficacy with the use of educational technologies.
- Reduce barriers to learning opportunities (using assistive technologies and the adoption of Digital Accessibility standards).

#### Why Do I Teach?

Early in my teaching career I noticed that while many of my colleagues recognized the inherent value of appropriate use of technology, they experienced barriers to their professional practice resulting from a perceived lack of support and personal confidence. I have two decades of experience using technology to provide seamless teaching and learning experiences, and to promote access, engagement, and

209

collaboration. My research (Hambrock, et al., 2020; 2022; Power, 2018*b*, 2018*c*, in press; Power and Kay, 2023; Power, Kay & Craig, 2023) has shown the power of understanding sound pedagogy in increasing teachers' confidence with technology and, as illustrated by the Technology Acceptance Model (Davis, 1989), their willingness to be innovative with technology in their teaching. I teach to share my knowledge and experience, to continue learning alongside new colleagues, and to promote these themes for the benefit of all teachers and students.

The CBU Strategic Plan 2019-2024 stresses that "Cape Breton University is committed to high-quality, accessible education; innovative research; and a vibrant, multicultural future for the Island" (Cape Breton University, 2019, p. 2). The connections between this, my teaching philosophy and reasons for teaching, and my current research agenda, are illustrated in Figure T1:

#### Figure T1

#### Relationship between teaching themes



While the links between my three teaching and research themes and the CBU strategic themes of High-Quality, Accessible Education and Innovative Research may be fairly evident, the connection with the theme of promoting a Multicultural Future may be less so. However, innovative teaching and learning practices, the facilitation of collaboration, and addressing learning barriers and teacher confidence do have impacts in this area. I strive to reduce barriers for all educators and students, including with their ability to promote cultural diversity in their practice. I also aim to promote teaching and learning practices that reduce the potential barriers to both access and success resulting from differences in the cultural backgrounds and perspectives of students.

#### What Do I Teach?

I teach undergraduate and graduate-level courses focused on the selection and use of appropriate digital tools, matching digital technologies with pedagogical needs, and instructional design for technology-enhanced, blended, and online learning. Most of the courses that I teach for Cape Breton University and other institutions are delivered as online courses. EDUC4108: Leveraging Technology for Learning, a course in CBU's Bachelor of Education program, is traditionally taught as an in-person course. However, as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, I delivered that course as an online course with both synchronous and asynchronous learning activities during the Spring 2020 term. I delivered subsequent sections of that course on campus during the Fall 2021, Fall 2022, and Fall 2023 terms, as well as an on-campus section of EDUC4133: Teaching English as a Second Language in an Additive Model.

I teach my students to view technology as a means to overcoming barriers to effective learning, promoting collaboration, and opening new pedagogical possibilities. My courses focus on Problem-Based Learning, where participants use their own contexts to understand the rationale for pedagogical and instructional design decisions, and to make sound, well-informed decisions for themselves. See Appendix T1 for a list of courses that I have taught at the K12, undergraduate, and graduate level in recent years, and Appendix T10 for examples of problem-based learning activities that I have integrated into my teaching to contextualize learning for my students, and help promote their evidence-supported professional practice.

#### **Graduate Student Supervision**

In addition to teaching undergraduate and graduate courses, I am also actively engaged with graduate student supervision. I frequently serve as an external / second reader for capstone and thesis paper submissions for students completing the Master of Education in Educational Technology program offered jointly through Cape Breton University and Memorial University of Newfoundland. In addition, over in recent years I have served as an external review, committee member, and supervisor for graduate-level students from Athabasca University, Ontario Tech University, and Royal Roads University. I am currently serving as a committee member for two doctoral candidates in the Doctor of Education program at Ontario Tech University.

211

#### How Do I Teach?

My personal approach to teaching can be summarized along three primary strands: leadership, learning design, and accessibility. I believe that we should be leaders in our classrooms (whether those classrooms are physical, virtual, or blended). We should not be dictators of the learning process. Rather, we should lead as fellow learners ourselves. We should lead by example, demonstrating our love of learning. And we should follow the principles of Leader-Member Exchange theory (LMX) when interacting with our students. We are leaders of a learning team, and we should show our students that we value them as participatory team members. LMX tells us that our team members are more likely to identify as part of a larger community – and to contribute over and above minimal required standards – if we value them as members of our trusted inner circles (Power, 2013*b*). Our team members (students) are also more likely to collaborate with each other – increased "co-worker exchange" – if they feel a strong LMX connection with their team leaders. The following unsolicited quote shows the impact that my efforts to exemplify this approach has had on my students.

"Thank-you for being so supportive and understanding... You have been one of the most interesting profs I have the pleasure to learn with. You do Talk the Talk and Walk the Walk where i[t] concerns your philosophy and beliefs in adult education. You have applied almost every learning and teaching principle taught in my past 9 Master courses... I Wish I were 10 years younger for doing a PhD under your guidance" (M.Ed. student, Athabasca University).

My philosophy on learning design can be summed up in the work that I have done with the Collaborative Situated Active Mobile (CSAM) learning design framework (Power, 2013*a*, 2015; Power, Cristol & Gimbert, 2014). I believe in providing learners with the right tools, and enabling them to determine their own learning needs and what tools would be most effective in their individual contexts. CSAM reflects this philosophy, as the framework itself is not focused on any specific technology. Rather, it is focused on the contexts in which learners use technologies to facilitate collaboration, immersion in personally meaningful learning contexts, and engagement in active learning processes. While CSAM helps to focus on effective instructional design, it also helps to shift learning design towards more learner-centric, heutogogical approaches.

I believe that we should strive to make learning as accessible as possible. That does not mean making the learning process less challenging. It means making it easier to access learning opportunities and resources. The aspect of situated learning espoused by CSAM is one factor in increasing accessibility, because students are more likely to feel a personal connection to a learning experience if it is contextualized and personally relevant (Power, 2013*a*, 2015; Power et al., 2014). Another factor is leveraging appropriate technologies to mediate the learning experience. The right mix of technologies can permit participation by potential learners who might otherwise be excluded due to the limitations of time, geography, disability, or language barriers. Our decisions about technology integration should be grounded both in the needs of our target students, and in the actual enhancement of students' abilities to meet specified learning objectives. Of course, with the integration of technologies comes responsibilities for the teacher to make sure some potential learners are not inadvertently excluded. That means that we, as teachers, should constantly strive to ensure our learning materials meet accessibility standards such as those specified by the W3 Consortium (W3C, 2024).

Power (2020*b*) was published to provide support to educator colleagues at the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, and provides a detailed illustration of some of the steps that I take, and skills that I strive to impart to my Education students, as I prepare to teach my own courses. Power (2021) illustrates a tool that I have developed, which I teach my students to use in their instructional design practice to make informed technology integration decisions. Power et al. (2020), Power et al. (2022) and Power et al. (2023) are examples of how I have both contextualized the learning process, and engaged my graduate students from CBU in the process of creating high-quality peer-reviewed resources to help their teaching colleagues with the effective integration of technology in teaching and learning practice. Appendix T10 provides further examples of problem-based learning activities that I regularly use to contextualize technology integration and instructional design concepts and skills for undergraduate and graduate Education students. The following unsolicited student feedback illustrates the impact that these contextualized approaches have had:

"I really enjoyed this course... but mostly I have learned so much that is actually useful in my career! I really appreciate learning all the different technology tools that are available to us instructors, but I really liked how we were able to actually demonstrate how to use these tools through the assignment work... I can now use these skills and incorporate a lot more technology into my future courses at [xxxx College]! Thanks so much for a great semester!" (M.Ed. student, Athabasca University).

Power (2018*a*, 2020*a*) demonstrate how I leverage technology to provide my students with formative feedback for problem-based learning activities, and demonstrate how they can similarly leverage technology in their own professional practice. This approach has not gone unnoticed by my students, as illustrated by the following unsolicited feedback:

"I just wanted to send along a little note thanking you for the detailed and unique feedback... I have never received something of this nature before in terms of video feedback... I sometimes find myself thinking about that transactional distance which we have studied in this course. Your approach to this assignment was certainly welcomed. As a teacher, I strive to be timely with my students in terms of getting back to them... with the hopes that students will notice and see the benefits. Having a professor model this same approach is again a very nice touch" (M.Ed. student, Cape Breton University).

#### How Do I Measure My Effectiveness?

I measure my effectiveness as a teacher through the feedback that I receive from my students. Formal feedback from student evaluations of my courses has been consistently positive. Students have expressed enthusiasm for the content, appreciation for the course organization and resources, and excitement over how I lead by example by using technology both to deliver content and provide feedback on their learning progress. I have also received enthusiastic informal feedback through both email and social media from former students, who have commented about how much their experience in my courses has helped them in their professional practice (See Appendix T5). Multiple students have reached out to me directly to thank me for preparing them to teach online, and to manage the sudden unexpected shift to teaching through technology in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic.

"Long time...firstly all I have to say is that I'm now teaching at a major GTA college. Suddenly, my classes must be converted online until the rest of the semester. While faculty members (many I think) continue to panic, I honestly feel SO PREPARED. I've got this. This is in NO SMALL PART TO YOU for helping me understand how to align pedagogical goals to online environments. In a world filled with confusion, strife, upheaval and consistent uncertainty... I want to tell you THANK YOU for preparing me well. I will now APPLY this to help my students succeed during this difficult time. THANK YOU ROB!!" (M.Ed. student, Ontario Tech University).

One student who has now completed her graduate studies sent me the following expression of how much my teaching approach helped her to succeed in her studies:

"I felt like an imposter in this graduate program when I started in 2019 and I was riddled with anxiety. In my first graduate course with you, I immediately felt comfortable and at ease, which is what I needed at that time. In that course, I created a WBLT and you allowed me to choose a topic that I cared deeply about: Sun Salutation. You taught me the course content, but allowed me to grow and choose a topic that I love. I remember thinking, "Wow. Rob's kids are so lucky." I have always felt such a fatherly presence from you - a father that cares deeply. A father that provides his children and students with the tools they need to succeed, and then allowing them to grow and explore independently, but always there if they fall or need help.

"This course is our last together, and my heart feels so full to have met you. It was never about the content you taught. It was the fact that you were always there when I needed you. I felt relief interacting with you. That's why I had the courage to send you such a bizarre email about wanting to "bypass" the last assignment. You gave me the courage to tell you how I really felt with faith that you will always understand. That is a real teacher" (M.Ed. student, Ontario Tech University).

At the end of the Spring 2022 term, another student from CBU's joint Master of Education in Educational Technology program with Memorial University sent me the following unsolicited feedback at the end of her course:

"I just want to let you know how much I enjoyed your course. I learned so much from you and I appreciated how interactive the whole course was. It pushed me out of my comfort zone. You were readily available and answered all my inquiries to make sure I was on the right track. It makes a huge difference when the instructor actually helps students to do better. Thank you SO MUCH for all the immediate feedback and constant reassurance. It was not easy taking 2 courses and working full time, but your course was so interesting, and it has been my favourite course in the M.Ed Education Technology program."

One student endorsement in particular stands as a reflection of why I do what I do. It is an email from a former graduate student from Ontario who expressed that my support had enabled them to easily make the transition to online teaching during the pandemic:

"I honestly feel SO PREPARED. I've got this. This is in NO SMALL PART TO YOU for helping me understand how to align pedagogical goals to online environments. In a world filled with confusion, strife, upheaval and consistent uncertainty... I want to tell you THANK YOU for preparing me well. I will now APPLY this to help my students succeed during this difficult time." (personal communication, M.Ed. student)

More recently, another former student sent an email reflecting on the impact of my leadership beyond just their academic program:

"I want to express my heartfelt gratitude to you. Thank you for investing your time, energy, and expertise in shaping my academic growth and personal and professional development." (personal communication, M.Ed. student)

For me, I see success as a teacher when I see my students succeeding in their practice, and when I see the impact of their changing practices on their own students.

#### Room for Growth

Even when my students are meeting both the hard (course and program learning outcomes) and soft (personal growth and professional practice) goals of my courses, I recognize that there is still room for improvement in how I design and deliver my courses. I continuously strive to find the best ways to engage my students with the learning content and with each other as part of a Community of Inquiry (Garrison et al., 2000). I also continuously reflect on the feedback that I receive through formal and informal channels to find places where my students may be struggling because of course design, content selection, and my interactions with them. For instance, one student from a graduate-level course at CBU during the Spring 2021 term discussed their perception of how "the course was structured. For starters, the course was not adapted from the 13-week version to the 8-week version, causing an unnecessary and often overwhelming amount of weekly requirements." While the course was further revised to suit a 6-week delivery model for the Spring 2022 term, I recognize that continued refinements may reduce the sense of overload for my students without compromising the goals of the course. Potential refinements for course offerings over the next few terms could include a holistic reimagining of the major course project and research paper assignments into a single multi-stage project, and a realignment of the course content delivery to support the stages of that project.

For the Fall 2022 and Fall 2023 offerings of the undergraduate course EDUC4108: Leveraging Technology for Learning, course feedback has indicated a desire amongst participants for higher degrees of practical exposure to educational technology tools. I have redesigned the course for delivery using a dedicated Google Classroom (n.d.) space, so that the teacher candidate participants are more immersed in the environment they are most likely to be using when they enter the K12 workforce in Nova Scotia. Each weekly module now includes live class discussions of the theoretical and practical concepts for the designated week, along with breakout activities that explore related applications commonly used in conjunction with Google Classroom in K12 contexts, and suggested applications for individual exploration outside of class time. There remains further room for growth in terms of meeting participants' needs and expectations in this course. However, I will need to investigate how to overcome

some of the bureaucratic issues that continue to prevent my ability to provide students with hands-on experience with some of the tools that they have expressed a desire to gain more exposure to. For instance, tools such as PowerSchool (2024) and TIENET (NS Department of Education and Early Childhood Development, n.d.) are proprietary resources with controlled access at the provincial Department of Education level, preventing us from gaining access to a suitable demonstration and practice-use environment.

Another student from a graduate-level online course emailed me at the beginning of the Spring 2022 term to say "This is kind of embarrassing, but I still can't find it... Am I in the right spot? I linked a screenshot just in case I'm totally in the wrong spot." This exchange reminded me that that, even when consciously incorporating instructional design best practices, it is never safe to assume that all students will have an easy time orienting themselves to an online learning environment.

Power (2017) illustrates how I solicit and use constant feedback from my students to improve my practice, and their experience and success in my courses. Power (2019) is an example of the advice that I provide to all of my students to help them improve their own experiences in any online course.

#### References

- Cape Breton University (2019). *Strategic plan 2019-2024*. [PDF file]. <u>https://www.cbu.ca/wp-</u> content/uploads/2018/10/Strategic-Plan-Document.pdf
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly, 13*(3), 319-340. doi:10.2307/249008
- Garrison, D. R., Anderson, T., & Archer, W. (2000). Critical inquiry in a text-based environment:
   Computer conferencing in higher education model. *The Internet and Higher Education, 2*(2-3), 87-105.

http://cde.athabascau.ca/coi\_site/documents/Garrison\_Anderson\_Archer\_Critical\_Inquiry\_mod el.pdf

- Google for Education (n.d.). *Google Classroom*. <u>https://edu.google.com/workspace-for-</u> education/classroom/
- Hambrock, H., de Villiers, F., Rusman, E., MacCullum, K., & Arrieya Arrifin, S. (2020). Seamless learning in higher education (R. Power, Editor). [eBook]. International Association for Mobile Learning. <u>https://seamlesslearning.pressbooks.com/</u>

- Hambrock, H., de Villiers, F., Power, R., Koole, M., Ahmed, M., Ellis, W., Abd Karim, R., Kurubacak, G., El-Hussein, M., Ossiannilsson, E., Sharma, R., José Sousa, M., & Wollin, U. (2022). Seamless
  Learning in Higher Education 2: Comparisons from International Educators of Changes During a
  Global Pandemic (H. Hambrock, F. de Villiers, R. Power, and M. Koole, Eds). International
  Association for Mobile Learning and Power Learning Solutions.
  https://pressbooks.pub/seamlesslearning2/
- Nova Scotia Department of Education and Early Childhood Development (n.d.). *TIENET*. <u>https://inschooldev2.ednet.ns.ca/teachers/tienet</u>
- Power, R. (2013*a*). Collaborative situated active mobile (CSAM) learning strategies: A new perspective on effective mobile learning. *Learning and Teaching in Higher Education: Gulf Perspectives,* 10(2). http://lthe.zu.ac.ae/index.php/lthehome/article/view/137
- Power, R. (2013b). Leader-member exchange theory in higher and distance education. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning, 14*(4). <u>http://www.irrodl.org/index.php/irrodl/article/view/1582</u>
- Power, R. (2015). A framework for promoting teacher self-efficacy with mobile reusable learning objects (Doctoral dissertation, Athabasca University). <u>http://hdl.handle.net/10791/63</u>
- Power, R. (2017, March 2). Using mid-course surveys to improve an online course [Video]. https://youtu.be/tNhr8v5jsbM
- Power, R. (2018a, March 5). A2 feedback overview. [Video]. https://youtu.be/I9XXxOIsYUk
- Power, R. (2018b, May 16). *Making mobile learning work for educators and students*. Opening keynote address at Mobile Summit 2018, 16-17 May 2018, Scarborough, ON, Canada. <u>http://www.powerlearningsolutions.com/making-mobile-learning-work.html</u>
- Power, R. (2018c). Supporting mobile instructional design with CSAM. In S. Yu, M. Ally, & A. Tsanikos (Eds.), *Mobile and ubiquitous learning: An international handbook*, pp. 193-209. Springer Nature. doi <u>10.1007/978-981-10-6144-8</u> 12
- Power, R. (2019, January 13). Optimizing your time with online courses. [Web log post]. *Power Learning Solutions*. <u>https://www.powerlearningsolutions.com/blog/optimizing-your-time-with-online-</u> <u>courses</u>

- Power, R. (2020*a*, March 6). Using video to provide assignment feedback. [Web log post]. *Power Learning Solutions*. <u>https://www.powerlearningsolutions.com/blog/using-video-to-provide-assignment-feedback</u>
- Power, R. (2020b, March 13). A rapid transition to online learning survival guide. [Web log post]. *Power Learning Solutions*. <u>https://www.powerlearningsolutions.com/blog/a-rapid-transition-to-online-learning-survival-guide</u>
- Power, R. (2021, February 26). Finding the right digital tool is not enough: Addressing copyright and privacy considerations. [Web log post]. *Power Learning Solutions.* <u>https://www.powerlearningsolutions.com/blog/finding-the-right-digital-tool-is-not-enough-addressing-copyright-and-privacy-considerations</u>
- Power, R. (Ed.), (2022c). Integration of Instructional Design and Technology, Vol 2. [eBook]. Power Learning Solutions. ISBN 978-1-9993825-5-1. <u>https://idandtech.pressbooks.com/</u>
- Power, R. (Ed.) (2023). *Integration of Instructional Design and Technology, Vol 3*. [eBook]. Power Learning Solutions. ISBN 978-1-9993825-9-9. <u>https://pressbooks.pub/idandtech3/</u>
- Power, R., Cristol, D., & Gimbert, B. (2014). Exploring tools to promote teacher efficacy with mLearning.
   In M. Kalz, Y. Bayyurt, & M. Specht (Eds.), *Mobile as a mainstream Towards future challenges in mobile learning: Communications in Computer and Information Science Volume 479*, pp. 61-68.
   <a href="http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-13416-1\_7">http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-13416-1\_7</a>
- Power, R., Gallant, G., Gallant, J., Girouard, J., Hildebrandt, K, MacLeod, J., McCarthy, T., Mugford, J.,
   Pinhorn, C., & Pitts, M. (2020). *Integration of instructional design and technology to support rapid change*. [eBook]. Power Learning Solutions. ISBN 978-1-9993825-3-7.
   <a href="https://idandrapidchange.pressbooks.com/">https://idandrapidchange.pressbooks.com/</a>
- Power, R. & Kay, R. (2023*a*). Higher Education Faculty Supports for the Transition to Online Teaching during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Educational Informatics*, *4*(1), 49-72.
   <a href="https://journalofeducationalinformatics.ca/index.php/JEI/article/view/191">https://journalofeducationalinformatics.ca/index.php/JEI/article/view/191</a>
- Power, R., Kay, R., & Craig, C. (2023). The Effects of COVID-19 on Higher-Education Teaching Practices. *International Journal of E-Learning & Distance Education, 38*(2). <u>https://www.ijede.ca/index.php/jde/article/view/1255/1899</u>

PowerSchool (2024). Personlized Education for Every Journey. https://www.powerschool.com/

W3C (2024). Accessibility. http://www.w3.org/standards/webdesign/accessibility

### **APPENDIX T: TEACHING RELATED DOCUMENTATION**

### Appendix T1: Teaching Experience

Dr. Rob Power Assistant Professor, Education, Cape Breton University

#### **Educational Technology Courses**

(Cape Breton University, 2018 - )

- EDUC4108: Leveraging Technology for Learning
- EDUC4133: Teaching English as a Second Language in an Additive Bilingual Model
- EDUC5103: Integration of Instructional Design and Information Technology
- EDUC5107: Information Management in Education Environments
- EDUC5131: Digital Citizenship in a Global Community
- EDUC5800: Applied Research Project

### **Educational Technology Courses**

### (Centre for Distance Education, Athabasca University, 2016 - )

- MDDE 604: Instructional Design in Distance Education
- MDDE 610: Survey of Current Educational Technology Applications
- MDDE 619: Trends and Issues in Instructional Design
- MDDE 620: Technology in Education and Training

### **Educational Technology Courses**

(Mount Royal University, 2016 - )

- XCDA 10001: Principles of Instructional Design
- XCDA 10002: Designing Assessment Strategies
- XCDA 10003: Designing Instructional Strategies

### **Educational Technology Courses**

(Ontario Tech University, 2015 - )

- AEDT1160U: Digital Communications Technologies
- EDST 4470U: Trends and Issues in Educational Technology
- EDUC 5101G: Innovative Digital Pedagogies
- EDUC 5102G: Educational Technology & Communication
- EDUC 5103G: Online Technology in Education
- EDUC 5104G: Analysis and Design of Digital Learning Tools
- EDUC 5107G: Teaching & Learning with Mobile Technologies
- EDUC 5111G: Social Media and Education
- EDUC 5199G: Teaching & Learning in an Online World
- EDUC 5209G: Critical Issues in Leadership Education
- EDUC 5303G: Technology & the Curriculum
- EDUC 5405G: Digital Technologies in Adult Education
- EDUC 5507G: Accessible Learning with Technology

### **Educational Technology Courses**

(University of Manitoba, 2016 - )

- EDTC 0530: Instructional Design for eLearning
- EDTC 0540: Instructional Systems and Learning Technologies
- EDTC 0560: Using Technology for Teaching and Training
- EDTC 0592: Applied Project in Online Teaching

#### Information Technology Courses

(College of the North Atlantic-Qatar, 2005 - 2015)

- CP1280: Windows Client
- CP1310: Windows Server Administration
- CP1510: Windows Operating System
- CP1610: Introduction to Computer Components
- CP1910: Computer Hardware and Troubleshooting I
- CP2060: Operating System Fundamentals
- CP2190: Linux Operating System
- CR1260: Client Service for the Computer Industry
- CT1150: Introduction to Computers for Technology
- EP1140: Business Operations in Information Systems
- MC1050: Introduction to Computers
- MC1220: Productivity Tools I
- MC1221: Productivity Tools II
- MC1241: Computer Applications II
- MC1800: Software Applications I
- MC1801: Software Applications II
- MC1810: Fundamental Computer Applications
- MC1820: Computer Applications
- MC2220: Productivity Tools III
- SD1570: Effective Learning
- TPP-MC105: Introduction to Computers (Technical Preparatory Program Qatar Petroleum)

### **Professional Development Courses**

### (British Columbia Institute of Technology (2018 - present)

- Blended Learning Seminar (10-week faculty professional development program)
- Instructional Skills Workshop (facilitator)

### **Professional Development Courses**

(College of the North Atlantic-Qatar, 2005 - 2015)

- International Computer Driver's License (ICDL) Core Certificate
- Information Technology Skills for the Workplace
- Welcome to D2L
- Teaching with D2L
- Building Courses with D2L

### **Contract Training Courses**

(College of the North Atlantic-Qatar, 2005 - 2015)

- International Computer Driver's License (ICDL) Core Certificate
  - Ministry of Finance, State of Qatar, Spring 2007
  - Served as instructional and testing coordinator
- International Computer Driver's License (ICDL) Core Certificate Testing
  - o Qatar International School. State of Qatar, 2008-2009, 2009-2010
  - Served as testing coordinator for students and staff from Qatar International School
- CE-IT 1010: Using Interactive Whiteboards in the Curriculum
  - Supreme Education Council, State of Qatar, 2009-2010
  - Served as a program developer in collaboration with the Supreme Education Council of Information and Communication Technology, State of Qatar
  - Served as an instructor working with teachers and support staff from state-governed K-12 schools.
- Digital Inclusion for Women Trainer Skills Workshop
  - Supreme Education Council of Information and Communications Technology (ictQATAR), 2012
  - Served as a program developer in collaboration with the Supreme Education Council of Information and Communications Technology, State of Qatar
  - Served as a content developer for the Digital Inclusion for Women community development program in collaboration with the Supreme Education Council of Information and Communications Technology, State of Qatar
  - Served as the Learning Management System course interface and content developer for the Trainer Skills Workshop program
  - Served as a face-to-face and online instructor working with trainers employed by local training companies in preparation for their delivery of the Digital Inclusion for Women community development program.

### Intermediate/Secondary Courses:

(Eastern School District and Lewisporte-Gander School District, Province of Newfoundland and Labrador)

- Communications Technology 3400, John Burke High School, Grand Bank, NL (2003-2005)
- English 9, Jane Collins Academy, Hare Bay, NL (2001-2002)
- English 1202, Jane Collins Academy, Hare Bay, NL (2001-2002)
- English 2101: Research and Writing, Jane Collins Academy, Hare Bay, NL (2001-2002)
- English 2204: Canadian Literature, Jane Collins Academy, Hare Bay, NL (2001-2002)
- English 3102: Business English, Jane Collins Academy, Hare Bay, NL (2001-2002)
- Integrated Systems 1205, John Burke High School, Grand Bank, NL (2003-2005)
- Technology 8, John Burke High School, Grand Bank, NL (2003-2005)
- Technology 9, John Burke High School, Grand Bank, NL (2003-2005)
- Web Masters 3224, John Burke High School, Grand Bank, NL (2003-2005)

### Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs):

(Offered as an independent subject matter expert and instructional developer)

- Creating Mobile Reusable Learning Objects Using Collaborative Situated Active Mobile (CSAM) Learning Strategies (May-June 2014)
  - Online professional development course hosted on the Canvas open learning management system.
  - Course designed as part of EdD dissertation research project at Athabasca University, AB, Canada.
  - Participants included professional educators from Canada, the United States, and Qatar.
  - Served as subject matter expert, instructional developer and course facilitator.
- Instructional Design for Mobile Learning (ID4ML) (May-June 2015)
  - Online professional development course hosted on the Canvas open learning management system.
  - Course designed for open enrollment with an international target audience of professional educators.
  - Served as subject matter expert, instructional developer, and course co-facilitator.

Appendix T2: Course Syllabus for EDUC4108 (Fall 2023)



# Syllabus

# Education 4108: Leveraging Technology for Learning

# Section 1: Course Identification Information

Course Title: Leveraging Technology for Learning

Course #: EDUC 4108

Section # EDUC4108:0

Number of credits: 3

Term: Fall 2023

### **Course Description**

This course covers applications of computer technology used in today's classroom to promote learning. Students should be able to demonstrate a basic knowledge of computers before taking this course. Student will explore technology use from a practical perspective, using various tools in an educational context to enhance the teaching/learning process. The Nova Scotia outcomes and policies related to technology integration will be explored and their implications for the prospective educators' professional practice will be evaluated. Students will investigate technology integration from a curricular perspective, transforming and synthesizing educational technology in respect to their areas of specialization.

Course pre-requisite(s): n/a

Course co-requisite(s): n/a

Course schedule (day and time of class): Mondays, 8:30 am - 11:30 am AT

Course location: B182 (Marvin Harvey Building)

Online meeting space: An online meeting space has been created for our class in Google Classroom. We will be using this platform instead of Moodle, because that is the environment used within the Nova Scotia K12 education system.

- Google Classroom Link <u>https://classroom.google.com/c/NTIzMDI0Mjk10TM2?cjc=yao6p7w</u>
- or go to <a href="https://classroom.google.com/">https://classroom.google.com/</a> and use Join Code: yao6p7w
  - IMPORTANT NOTE: Do NOT use your GNESPES Google account to join our Google Classroom space. Your access will be blocked by NS Department of Education Privacy and Security Policies. You MUST use a personal Google account to join the space (and make sure that your Chrome browser is "signed out" of your GNESPES account).

## Section 2: Instructor Information

#### Instructor Name: Dr. Robert Power

Email: Rob Power@cbu.ca

Email (alternate): <a href="mailto:robpower@hotmail.com">robpower@hotmail.com</a> (emergencies only)

Phone (office): 902-563-1400

Phone (mobile): 902-397-3048 (emergencies only)

Office hours (if applicable): by appointment

Office location: L-139

### Land Acknowledgement

Cape Breton University's Department of Education recognizes that Cape Breton Island is in Mi'kma'ki, the ancestral and unceded territory of the Mi'kmaq People. This territory is covered by the "Treaties of Peace and Friendship" which Mi'kmaq and Wolastoqiyik (Maliseet) people first signed with the British Crown in 1725. The treaties did not deal with surrender of lands and resources but in fact recognized Mi'kmaq and Wolastoqiyik (Maliseet) title and established the rules for what was to be an ongoing relationship between nations. (Source: 2016, CAUT Guide to Acknowledging Traditional Territory <u>https://www.caut.ca/docs/default-source/professional-advice/list---</u>territorial-acknowledgement-by-province.pdf?sfvrsn=12)

## Section 3: Department Mission and Core Focus

The Department of Education at Cape Breton University is committed to preparing teachers for their responsibilities as educators in both local and global contexts. To achieve this, we provide programs in both preservice and continuing teacher education that emphasize disciplined professional inquiry while reflecting the best classroom practice and the results of current research on teaching and learning. The Department of Education is dedicated to preparing teachers, who will be knowledgeable, skilful, flexible, research-minded, culturally responsive caring pedagogues and responsible members of the profession, who can appreciate the value of theory and research findings, as well as the wisdom of practice, in making astute decisions.

A core focus for the Education programs at Cape Breton University is Education for Sustainability (EfS). This vision of EfS is inclusive of the three realms of sustainability – environment, society and economy – and addresses content, context, pedagogy, global issues and local priorities. It is a vision that embraces well-being for all. Teachers and teacher candidates will engage in learning designed to cultivate, critical thinking, creativity, knowledge, and skills to actively address challenges with sustainable solutions. EfS supports the values of interdependence, empathy, equity, personal responsibility, social justice and holistic perspectives required to participate in society and to live sustainably and well. Education programs at CBU adhere to the UNECE Strategy for ESD that aims to equip educators with the competencies to engage in Education for Sustainability. The Department of Education is committed to connecting with community stakeholders, including regional centres of education, to support locally and culturally relevant education and research priorities.

## Section 4: Course Outcomes

The Course Outcomes and associated numbers below refer to the provincially-agreed upon B.Ed program outcomes for Nova Scotia. This program features a total of 46 outcomes, which are aligned with the six NS teaching standards (<u>https://teach-in-novascotia.ca/teachercertification/teachingstandards/</u>). Some of the outcomes listed below are addressed also in other courses. This reflects both the richness and complexity of the outcomes and the intentionally integrative nature of CBU's BEd, designed to reinforce learning outcomes across courses.

2.1. Knowledge of the structure and purposes of the current Nova Scotia curriculum and provincial policy documents and their appropriate use in planning instruction and assessment

2.2. Professional terminology and practices necessary to assess and communicate about student work

2.5. The ability to integrate the curriculum authentically to meet expectations across subject areas

4.5. Awareness of and skill in using assistive and adaptive technologies to support student learning

5.4. Instructional skills that demonstrate an understanding of the intersection of technology, pedagogy, and content knowledge as well as knowledge and skills that will enable them to address the 'digital divide'

7.4. Recognition of supports, resources, and partnerships for nurturing learners and teachers

7.5. An understanding of parents/guardians' roles in student learning and knowledge and skills related to communicating with parents/guardians

### **Course Objectives**

Upon completion of this course, you will be able to:

Course Objectives	Provincial B.Ed Program Outcomes
Participate in a collaborative-learning process through which they will develop knowledge and skills related to commonly applied educational technology interventions	2.1 2.2 2.5 4.5 5.4 7.4 7.5
Examine the use of educational technology across the curriculum	2.1 2.5 4.5 5.4
Analyze the ISTE Educational Technology Standards for Educators and evaluate the implications these may have on their own teaching practice and continuing professional development	2.2 2.5 5.4 7.4

Course Objectives	Provincial B.Ed Program Outcomes
Propose and develop approaches for effectively infusing information and communication technology into the curriculum	2.1 2.2 2.5 5.4 7.5

### Education for Sustainability Competencies – EfS Document (adapted UNECE)

Competencies	Corresponding Specific Competencies
1. Learning to know	1.1, 1.2.1, 1.3.1
2. Learning to live together	2.1.1
3. Learning to do	3.3
4. Learning to be	4.3.2, 4.3.3, 4.3.4

# Section 5: Course Materials/Resources

Access to all resources listed here is provided through the Moodle course site for this term. All resources are linked in Moodle during the week(s) in which they are needed. Please note – due to the nature of educational technology research and development, additional resources may be added in Moodle throughout the term, as relevant.

- Alberth, Mursalim, Siam, Suardika, I. K., & La Ino (2018). Social Media as a Conduit for Teacher Professional Development in the Digital Era: Myths, Promises or Realities? *TEFLIN Journal: A Publication on the Teaching & Learning of English, 29*(2), 293–306.
- Alrubail, R. (2017, August 31). An Academic Use for Social Media. [Web log post]. *Edutopia*. <u>https://www.edutopia.org/article/academic-use-social-media</u>
- Aydin, S. (2016). WebQuests as language-learning tools. *Computer Assisted Language Learning, 29*(4), 765-778. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2015.1061019
- Barrett, G & Power, R. (2003). *Operation: Philanthropist: A webquest for high school English Language Arts* students. [Web page]. <u>http://www.oocities.org/englangarts/lessons/operation/index.html</u>
- Bates, A. (2022). 10.11 Assessing media affordances: The SAMR Model. In *Teaching in a Digital Age Third Edition*. Tony Bates and Associates. <u>https://pressbooks.bccampus.ca/teachinginadigitalagev3/chapter/7-4-assessing-media-affordances-the-samr-model/</u>
- Berman, D. (2014, May 13). Web Accessibility Matters: Why Should We Care. [YouTube video]. https://youtu.be/VIRx3RJzbZg
- BookWidgets (2019, April 19). 6 Surprising ways to use WebQuests in your classroom. [YouTube video]. https://youtu.be/as0bM3NVvo4
- Cahill, G. (2019). Why Game-Based Learning? [Web log post]. *The Learning Counsel*. <u>https://thelearningcounsel.com/article/why-game-based-learning</u>

- Cape Breton University (2020). Accessibility Services. [Web page]. <u>https://www.cbu.ca/current-students/student-services/accessibility-support-services/</u>
- Capterra (2015, December 14). What is the Difference Between Learning Games and Gamification? [YouTube video]. <u>https://youtu.be/x1u5Vv1LbCg</u>

Code.org (2015, October 5). How to Run an Hour of Code. [YouTube video]. https://youtu.be/SrnvvWDm73k

- Code.org (2020). About Us. [Web page]. https://code.org/about
- Code.org (2020). Hour of Code. [Web page]. https://hourofcode.com/ca
- Code.org (2020). How to teach one Hour of Code with your class. [Web page]. *Hour of Code*. <u>https://hourofcode.com/ca/how-to</u>
- Darvasi, P. (2014, July 21). Literature, Ethics, Physics: It's All In Video Games At This Norwegian School. [Web log post]. *KQED*. <u>https://www.kqed.org/mindshift/36875/literature-ethics-physics-its-all-in-video-games-at-this-norwegian-school</u>
- Demystifying Medicine (2014, November 18). *Do learning styles really exist?* [YouTube video]. <u>https://youtu.be/bYyVWBJn59g</u>
- Dikkers, S, (2015). TeacherCraft: How Teachers Learn to Use MineCraft in Their Classrooms (Chapters 1-2). ETC Press.
- Dodge, B. (n.d.). A WebQuest about WebQuests. [Web page]. http://webquest.org/sdsu/webquestwebquest.html
- DS106. [Web page]. (n.d.) http://ds106.us/
- DS106 (n.d.). DS106 Kickstarter Video. [Video file].
- Edutopia (2013). *Katie Salen on the Power of Game-Based Learning (Big Thinkers Series)*. [YouTube video]. https://youtu.be/Wk\_OfUHpCbM
- Gillespie, R. (2022). SAMR: The Power of a Useful Technology Integration Model. In Power, R. (Ed), *Technology and the Curriculum: Summer 2022*. Power Learning Solutions. <u>https://techcurr2022.pressbooks.com/chapter/samr/</u>

Google for Education (2019, January 8). Classroom 101. [YouTube video]. https://youtu.be/DeOVe2YV2Io

Government of Nova Scotia: Education and Early Childhood Development (n.d.). *The Atlantic Canada Framework* for Essential Graduation Competencies. [PDF file]. <u>https://curriculum.novascotia.ca/sites/default/files/documents/resource-</u> <u>files/Atlantic%20Canada%20Framework.pdf</u>

Government of Nova Scotia: Education and Early Childhood Development (n.d.). Grades 7-12 ICT. [Excel File].

Government of Nova Scotia: Education and Early Childhood Development (n.d.). *Information and Communications Technology: Essential Learning Outcomes 2015-2016 (P-3).* [PDF file]. <u>https://www.ednet.ns.ca/files/curriculum/ITC-P-3ProgressionChart-RevAug26-2015.pdf</u>

- Government of Nova Scotia: Education and Early Childhood Development (n.d.). *Information and Communication Technology/Coding 4–6 Integration*. [PDF file]. <u>https://www.ednet.ns.ca/files/curriculum/infotech\_coding\_4-6\_streamlined.pdf</u>
- Government of Nova Scotia: Education and Early Childhood Development (n.d.). *Lessons Integrating Information* and Communication Technology within a Curriculum Area. [Web page]. <u>https://lrt.ednet.ns.ca/PD/ict\_projects/</u>
- Government of Nova Scotia: Education and Early Childhood Development (n.d.). *Technology Education*. [Web page]. <u>https://curriculum.novascotia.ca/english-programs/technology-education</u>
- Gratigny, J. (2009, September 1). What is Digital Storytelling. [YouTube video]. https://youtu.be/dKZiXR5qUlQ
- Growth Engineering (2019, August 21). *Gamification vs Game Based Learning: What's the Difference?* [YouTube video]. <u>https://youtu.be/reWxOKrsA00</u>
- Halat, E. e. (2013). Experience of Elementary School Students with the Use of WebQuests. *Mevlana International Journal of Education*, 3(2), 68-76. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.13054/mije.13.18.3.2</u>
- Husmann, P. R., & O'Loughlin, V. D. (2019). Another Nail in the Coffin for Learning Styles? Disparities among Undergraduate Anatomy Students' Study Strategies, Class Performance, and Reported VARK Learning Styles. Anatomical Sciences Education, 12(1), 6–19.
- International Society for Technology in Education (2020). *ISTE Standards for Students*. [Web page]. https://www.iste.org/standards/for-students
- International Society for Technology in Education (2020). *ISTE Standards for Teachers*. [Web page]. https://www.iste.org/standards/for-educators

Jonesrebandt, E. (2013, October 22). Connectivism. [YouTube video]. https://youtu.be/cFCYjm6nf40

- Kilic, B. (2014, January 1). Why Programming is Important? [YouTube video]. https://youtu.be/Dv7gLpW91DM
- Kirschner, P. A. (2017). Stop propagating the learning styles myth. Computers & Education, 106, 166-171.
- Kopcha, T.J. (2008, October 29). WebQuest 101 Part 1 -- What is a WebQuest? [YouTube video]. https://youtu.be/o4rel5qOPvU
- Kühn, S., Gleich, T., Lorenz, R., Lindenberger, U., & Gallinat, J. (2014). Playing Super Mario induces structural brain plasticity: gray matter changes resulting from training with a commercial video game. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 19(2), 265-271
- Lacruz, N. (2018). SAMR Model. In Power, R. (Ed.), *Technology and the Curriculum: Summer 2018*. Power Learning Solutions. <u>https://techandcurriculum.pressbooks.com/chapter/samr/</u>
- Lenihan, E. (2016, February 26). John Linney Podcasting for Education: In the Classroom. [Video file]. https://youtu.be/sommEBL6DW0

Lott, L. (2013, February 23). Zunal Tutorial. [YouTube video]. https://youtu.be/f8CaPbCE5MI

- Manitoba Department of Education (n.d.). *The Literacy with ICT Continuum*. [Web page]. https://www.edu.gov.mb.ca/k12/tech/lict/teachers/continuum.html
- Memorize Academy (2017, January 26). *Learning Styles A Complete Myth*. [YouTube video]. <u>https://youtu.be/o\_SQrRa73U0</u>
- NCH Software (2018, February 8). *VideoPad Video Editor Tutorial | GUI Overview*. [YouTube video]. https://youtu.be/E4Qoe43YYDU
- Newton, P. M. (2015). The Learning Styles myth is thriving in higher education. *Frontiers in Psychology, 6*. <u>http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=psyh&AN=2016-22046-001&site=ehost-live&scope=site</u>
- Open University Research (2014). Social Networks to Transform Education. [YouTube video]. <u>https://youtu.be/Jb-</u> <u>CKvaDJ2s</u>
- Power, R. (2002). Destination: LaPaz: A WebQuest for Grade 9 English Language Arts Students. [Web page]. http://robpowereportfolio.pbworks.com/f/lpindex.htm
- Power, R. (2015, January 25). *Turning PowerPoint Presentations in Videos*. [YouTube video]. <u>https://youtu.be/40uF8H2KgoY</u>
- Power, R. (2023*a*). Chapter 8: The Zombie of Instructional Design: Learning Styles. Everyday Instructional Design: A Practical Resource for Educators and Instructional Designers. Power Learning Solutions. <u>https://pressbooks.pub/everydayid/chapter/the-zombie-of-instructional-design-learning-styles/</u>
- Power, R. (2023b). Chapter 17: Accessibility in Online Learning. *Everyday Instructional Design: A Practical Resource* for Educators and Instructional Designers. Power Learning Solutions. https://pressbooks.pub/everydayid/chapter/accessibility-in-online-learning/
- Power, R. (2023c). Chapter 18: Creating Instructional Videos. *Everyday Instructional Design: A Practical Resource* for Educators and Instructional Designers. Power Learning Solutions. https://pressbooks.pub/everydayid/chapter/creating-instructional-videos/
- Power, R. (2023d). Chapter 19: Creating and Editing Audio Resources. *Everyday Instructional Design: A Practical Resource for Educators and Instructional Designers*. Power Learning Solutions. https://pressbooks.pub/everydayid/chapter/creating-and-editing-audio-resources/
- Roblyear, M. & Doering, A. (2016). Chapter 1: Educational Technology in Context: The Big Picture. *Integrating Educational Technology into Teaching, 7th edition*. Pearson.
- Schoololgy Exchange (2017, October 30). SAMR Model: A Practical Guide for EdTech Integration. [Web log post]. https://www.schoology.com/blog/samr-model-practical-guide-edtech-integration
- Siemens, G. (2005). Connectivism: A Learning Theory for the Digital Age. *International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning*, 2(1). <u>http://www.itdl.org/Journal/Jan\_05/article01.htm</u>
- Sijing, W., Cho Kin, C., Jing, F., D'Angelo, L., Alain, C., & Spence, I. (2012). Playing a First-person Shooter Video Game Induces Neuroplastic Change. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 24*(6), 1286-1293.

- Spencer, J. (2015, November 3). What is the SAMR Model and what does it look like in schools? [YouTube video]. https://youtu.be/SC5ARwUkVQg
- Squire, K. (2015). Creating the future of Games & Learning. *Independent School,* 74(2), 86-90.
- Thacher, R. (2015). Game creation & Differentiated Learning. Independent School, 74(2), 92-96.
- TechTeacherNate (2015, November 4). iMovie Digital Story Basics. [YouTube video]. https://youtu.be/irLvvK90cwA
- TeachThought (2019, September 24). *12 Examples of Gamification In The Classroom*. [Web log post]. https://www.teachthought.com/the-future-of-learning/12-examples-of-gamification-in-the-classroom/
- Technology for Teachers and Students (2016, December 26). *Screencast-O-Matic Tutorial FREE Screen Recording Tool.* [YouTube video]. <u>https://youtu.be/s1jlPo1bWCo</u>
- TechZonk (2015, September 24). Code.org Resources for the Hour of Code Teach Kids to Code. [YouTube video]. https://youtu.be/X4oy\_V6naB4
- TEDx Talks (2014, June 16). *The power of digital storytelling | Emily Bailin | TEDxSoleburySchool*. [YouTube video]. https://youtu.be/jA2cTZK9hzw
- TEDx Talks (2015, February 20). Why Should Schools Teach Coding? | Gerard Glowacki | TEDxYouth@HamptonCourtHouse. [YouTube video]. <u>https://youtu.be/v-yJPsfeMIU</u>
- Voogt, J. j., Fisser, P. p., Good, J. g., Mishra, P. p., & Yadav, A. a. (2015). Computational thinking in compulsory education: Towards an agenda for research and practice. *Education & Information Technologies, 20*(4), 715-728. <u>https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10639-015-9412-6</u>
- Young. G. (n.d). Selecting and Using Assistive Technology. [Audio recording].
- Yang, J. (n.d.). A WebQuest About WebQuests. [Web page]. http://zunal.com/webquest.php?w=2271
- Young, G. (n.d.). Using assistive technology within a framework of universal design to help students with learning disabilities develop literacy skills. [Presentation file].

### Section 6: Course Outline

Class/Topic	Date	Description	Assignments Due	Provincial B.Ed Program Outcomes
1	Sept 11-17, 2023	Topic: Course Overview and	Sharing	2.1
		Models of Technology	Introductions	2.2
	Class #1:	Integration	(Flipgrid and in-	2.5
	Monday, Sept 11		class)	5.4
		Readings:	Discussion:	7.5
		Refer to the readings listed	Response to Week	
		(and linked) under Week 1 in Moodle	1 Reading	

Class/Topic	Date	Description	Assignments Due	Provincial B.Ed Program Outcomes
2	Sept 18-24, 2023 Class #2: Monday, Sept 18	<ul> <li>Topic: Leveraging</li> <li>Technology to Organize,</li> <li>Manage, and Access</li> <li>Learning <ul> <li>Creating and Managing</li> <li>Google Classroom</li> <li>Spaces.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Digital Accessibility</li> <li>Essentials for Educators.</li> </ul> <li>Readings: <ul> <li>Google for Education (2019)</li> <li>Cape Breton University</li> <li>(2020)</li> <li>Power (2023<i>a</i>)</li> <li>Young (n.d.)</li> </ul> </li>	Possible Extension Topic: Give a short (15- 20 minute?) presentation on how you have used technology to: • Organize and Manage Teaching and Learning • Increase Access to Learning. • Address Accessibility Needs.	2.2 2.5 4.5 5.4 7.4
3-4	Sept 25 – Oct 8, 2023 Class #3: Monday, Sept 25 Class #4: Monday, Oct 2	Topics: Leveraging Technology to Create and Share Content (for students and teachers) Podcasting and Instructional Videos for Education Week 3 Readings: Power (2023 <i>b</i> ) Power (2023 <i>c</i> ) Digital Storytelling Week 4 Readings: Gratigny (2009) NCH Software (2018) Power (2015) TechTeacherNate (2015) Technology for Teachers and Students (2016) TEDx Talks (2014)	Assignment 1a: Podcasting Due by Oct 1, 2023Assignment 1b: Instructional Video Due by Oct 1, 2023Assignment 1c: Digital Storytelling Due by Oct 9, 2023Possible Extension Topic: Prepare and lead a class session on: • Creating and editing your own instructional videos.	2.1 2.2 2.5 4.5 5.4 7.4 7.5

Class/Topic	Date	Description	Assignments Due	Provincial B.Ed Program Outcomes
			<ul> <li>Leveraging and enhancing existing videos as teaching and learning resources.</li> <li>Student use of audio or video media to present learning.</li> </ul>	
5	Oct 9-15, 2023 No Class on Oct 9 (Thanksgiving Day Holiday)	Topics: ISTE Standards for Educators / Social Networking in Education Readings: Alberth, et al. (2018) Alrubail (2017) ISTE (2020) Jonesrebandt (2013) Open University Research (2014) Siemens (2005)	Discussion Activity ISTE Standards for Educators and your own experiences - Social Media in Education Assignment 2: EdTech Explorations Portfolio: Week 5 Check-in DUE by October 15, 2023	2.1 2.2 2.5 4.5 5.4 7.4
6	Oct 16-22, 2023 Class #5: Monday, Oct 16	Topic: Leveraging Technology for Collaboration Using Wikis in the classroom Curriculum-based technology interventions Readings: Berman (2014) Cape Breton University (2020) Power (2018) Power (2020, February 12) Power (2020, February 13) W3C (2018)	Assignment 1d: Class wiki activity (collaborative) – Technology Integration Resources Contribute to the wiki by Oct 22, 2023 Possible Extension Activity: Prepare and lead a class session on:	2.2 2.5 4.5 5.4 7.4

Class/Topic	Date	Description	Assignments Due	Provincial B.Ed Program Outcomes
		Young (n.d.)	<ul> <li>Tools, resources, and activities for creating class wiki spaces.</li> <li>Finding, evaluating, and revising existing wiki- based resources (such as Wikipedia articles).</li> </ul>	
7-8	Oct 23 – Nov 5, 2023 Class #6: Monday, Oct 23 Class #7: Monday, Oct 30	Topics: Leveraging Technology for EngagementSkill-Building (DS106)Week 7 Readings: DS106 (n.d.)Gamification, Games-Based Learning, and Gamified LearningWeek 8 Readings: Cahill (2019)Capterra (2015) Darvasi (2014)Dikkers (2015) Edutopia (2013) Growth Engineering (2019)Kühn, et al. (2014)Minecraft Education Edition (2016)Sijing, et al. (2012) Squire (2015)TeachThought (2019)Thacher (2015)	Assignment 1e: Student Choice (DS106) Due by Oct 30, 2023 Possible Extension Activity: Prepare and lead a class session on: Integrating DS106 activities into the K12 curriculum. Designing and implementing gamified or games-based learning for K12 students.	2.2 2.5 5.4
9	Nov 6-12, 2023	Topic: WebQuests and Learning About Technology	Assignment 1f: Developing a	2.2 2.5

Class/Topic	Date	Description	Assignments Due	Provincial B.Ed Program Outcomes
	Class #8: Monday, Nov 6	WebQuests <b>Readings:</b> Aydin (2016) Barrett & Power (2003) BookWidgets (2019) Dodge (n.d.) Kopcha (2008) Power (2002) Halat (2013) Lott (2013) Yang (n.d.) Coding in the Classroom <b>Readings:</b> Code.org (2015, 2020) KIlic (2014) TechZonk (2015) TEDx Talks (2015) Voogt, et al. (2015)	<ul> <li>WebQuest (Group Assignment using Zural)</li> <li>Due by Nov 12, 2023</li> <li>Possible</li> <li>Extension</li> <li>Activity:</li> <li>Prepare and lead</li> <li>a class session on:</li> <li>Tools, resources, and activities for integrating</li> <li>WebQuests into K12 teaching and learning.</li> <li>Other formats, tools, and resources for scaffolded online learning activities for K12 students.</li> <li>Coding in the Classroom.</li> </ul>	5.4
10	Nov 13-19, 2023 Reading Week – No Class	<b>Topics: Reading Week</b> Groups collaborate on finalizing group presentations for Weeks 11- 12		
11-12	Nov 20 – Dec 3, 2022 Class #9: Monday, Nov 20	Topics: Piecing it All Together and Course Wrap- Up	Assignment 3: Lesson Plan Due by Nov 28, 2023	2.1 2.2 2.5 4.5 5.4 7.4

Class/Topic	Date	Description	Assignments Due	Provincial B.Ed Program Outcomes
	Class #10: Monday, Nov 27	Developing Lesson Plans – Effective Technology Infusion <b>Week 11 Readings:</b> Govt of NS (n.d.). <i>Lessons</i> <i>Integrating Information and</i> <i>Communication Technology</i> <i>within a Curriculum Area</i> .	Assignment 2: ePortfolio Due by Dec 3, 2023 Assignment 4: Group Presentation [date to be determined] Assignment 5: Self-Evaluation Due by Dec 3, 2023	7.5

# Section 7: Course Assessment

Name of Assignment	Due Date	% of Final grade	Provincial B.Ed Program Outcomes
Assignment 1: Weekly Activities (Student Choice)	Weekly Complete 50 pts worth of Assignment 1 weekly activities [outlined below]	25%	2.1 2.2 2.5 4.5 5.4 7.4 7.5
Assignment 1a: Podcast	Oct 1, 2023	15 pts	2.1 2.2 2.5 4.5 5.4
Assignment 1b: Instructional Video	Oct 1, 2023	15 pts	2.2 2.5 4.5 5.4

Name of Assignment	Due Date	% of Final grade	Provincial B.Ed Program Outcomes
Assignment 1c: Digital Storytelling	Oct 9, 2023	15 pts	2.2 2.5 5.4 7.4 7.5
Assignment 1d: Class Wiki Activity (Collaborative) – Technology Enhancement Supports	Oct 22, 2023	10 pts	2.2 2.5 4.5 5.4 7.4
Assignment 1e: Student Choice (DS106)	Oct 30, 2022	10 pts	2.2 2.5 5.4
Assignment 1f: WebQuest Assignment	Nov 12, 2022	10 pts	2.2 2.5 5.4
Assignment 2: EdTech Exploration Portfolio	Check-In 1: Week 5 Check-In 2: Week 9 Check-In 3: Week 12 (Dec 3, 2023)	20%	2.1 2.2 2.5 4.5 5.4 7.4 7.5
Assignment 3: Lesson Plan	Nov 28, 2023	20%	2.1 2.2 2.5 4.5 5.4 7.4 7.5
Assignment 4: Group Presentation	Weeks 11-12	20%	2.1 2.2 2.5 4.5

Name of Assignment	Due Date	% of Final grade	Provincial B.Ed Program Outcomes
			5.4 7.4 7.5
Assignment 5: Participation in Online Discussions and In- Class Activities	Weekly Self-Evaluation due Dec 3, 2023	15%	2.1 2.2 2.5 4.5 5.4 7.4 7.5

# Use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) Tools (such as ChatGPT)

The use of AI tools (such as ChatGPT) is permitted within certain learning activities for this course, but only to support your writing process, and should not be used to present work as your own independent thought (please consult individual assignment instructions to determine if the use of AI is restricted). Using generative AI tools to refine your ideas, explore research questions, and fine tune grammar and style is permitted. You should not use AI tools to generate personal responses to prompts such as Moodle discussion posts, or assignment components assigned to you within group work situations. Under no circumstances should students use a tool like ChatGPT to generate entire portions of class assignments and papers. You are ultimately responsible for the work you submit in this course, and subject to the CBU Academic Integrity policy; you must properly document all use of AI tools in order to conform to this policy (please see this resource for APA guidelines). Please note: you are not required to use AI tools, making use of these tools is optional.

## **Professional Conduct**

The BEd program is an intense and demanding program of professional preparation in which teacher candidates are expected to demonstrate high levels of both academic and professional integrity. Such integrity is demonstrated in part by commitment to and attendance at all classes, workshops, tutorials, and practicum activities. Students are expected to be prepared to actively engage through discussion and participation.

Except in the case of illness, religious observance, or for a compassionate reason, participation in this course is expected. Please notify the instructor by e-mail if you must miss a class for one of these reasons. Consistent with University policy on evaluated tasks acceptable documentation may be required if assignments/evaluated tasks are missed.

Please be respectful of fellow classmates. You are preparing to take your place in a professional community of teachers and are expected to demonstrate sensitivity for and adherence to the norms of respectful, polite and professional conduct.

# Students with Special Needs

Students with special needs should contact the Jennifer Keeping AccessAbility Centre on campus. Arrangements for accommodations will be made in collaboration with the Centre.

# Academic Integrity

Students are asked to review the section regarding Academic Integrity found in the 'current student' section of Cape Breton University's website. <u>https://www.cbu.ca/future-students/academic-integrity/</u>

# **Intellectual Property**

Students are asked to review CBU's policy on the use of recordings of course presentations contained in the Student Intellectual Property Policy found in CBU's Academic Calendar.

## Student Use of Technological Devices in the Classroom

Students are asked to review CBU's policy regarding use of technological devices in the classroom found in CBU's Academic Calendar.

### **Inclement Weather**

Students are asked to review CBU's policy on Inclement Weather found on Cape Breton University's website. <u>https://www.cbu.ca/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/inclement-weather-policy.pdf</u>

## **Emergency Procedures**

Students are asked to review CBU's Emergency Procedures found on Cape Breton University's website. <u>https://www.cbu.ca/current-students/safety-security-respect/in-the-event-of-an-emergency/</u>

Appendix T3: Course Syllabus for EDUC5103 (Spring 2024)



# Syllabus

# Education 5103: Integration of Instructional Design and Information Technology

## Section 1: Course Identification Information

Course Title: Integration of Instructional Design and Information Technology

Course #: EDUC 5103

Section # EDUC5103:91, EDUC5103:92, EDUC5103:911, EDUC5103:921

Number of credits: 3

Term: Spring 2024

### **Course Description**

The field of instructional design (ID) has long been synonymous with distance education and training rather than mainstream K-12 teaching. However, ID is a critical component to planning and delivery of technology-enabled learning. In this course we will explore the applicability of instructional design to the K-12 context as a means to enhance learning and community building through the various modes of delivery currently found in schools (hybrid/blended/virtual). Within this course students will explore ID competencies, models, and current trends in the organization of learning environments. A particular emphasis will be placed on the utilization of ID principles in selecting appropriate technologies for specific objectives.

Course pre-requisite(s): n/a

Course co-requisite(s): n/a

Course schedule (day and time of class): n/a

Course location: Online
# Section 2: Instructor Information

#### Instructor Name: Dr. Robert Power

Email: Rob Power@cbu.ca

Email (alternate): <a href="mailto:robpower@hotmail.com">robpower@hotmail.com</a> (emergencies only)

Phone (office): 902-563-1400

Phone (mobile): 902-397-3048 (emergencies only)

Office hours (if applicable): online office hours are available by appointment

Office location: L-139

### Land Acknowledgement

Cape Breton University's Department of Education recognizes that Cape Breton Island is in Mi'kma'ki, the ancestral and unceded territory of the Mi'kmaq People. This territory is covered by the "Treaties of Peace and Friendship" which Mi'kmaq and Wolastoqiyik (Maliseet) people first signed with the British Crown in 1725. The treaties did not deal with surrender of lands and resources but in fact recognized Mi'kmaq and Wolastoqiyik (Maliseet) title and established the rules for what was to be an ongoing relationship between nations. (Source: 2016, CAUT Guide to Acknowledging Traditional Territory <u>https://www.caut.ca/docs/default-source/professional-advice/list---</u>territorial-acknowledgement-by-province.pdf?sfvrsn=12)

# Section 3: Department Mission and Core Focus

The Department of Education at Cape Breton University is committed to preparing teachers for their responsibilities as educators in both local and global contexts. To achieve this, we provide programs in both preservice and continuing teacher education that emphasize disciplined professional inquiry while reflecting the best classroom practice and the results of current research on teaching and learning. The Department of Education is dedicated to preparing teachers, who will be knowledgeable, skilful, flexible, research-minded, culturally responsive caring pedagogues and responsible members of the profession, who can appreciate the value of theory and research findings, as well as the wisdom of practice, in making astute decisions.

A core focus for the Education programs at Cape Breton University is Education for Sustainability (EfS). This vision of EfS is inclusive of the three realms of sustainability – environment, society and economy – and addresses content, context, pedagogy, global issues and local priorities. It is a vision that embraces well-being for all. Teachers and teacher candidates will engage in learning designed to cultivate, critical thinking, creativity, knowledge, and skills to actively address challenges with sustainable solutions. EfS supports the values of interdependence, empathy, equity, personal responsibility, social justice and holistic perspectives required to participate in society and to live sustainably and well. Education programs at CBU adhere to the UNECE Strategy for ESD that aims to equip educators with the competencies to engage in Education for Sustainability. The Department of Education is committed to connecting with community stakeholders, including regional centres of education, to support locally and culturally relevant education and research priorities. .

## Section 4: Course Outcomes

Upon successful completion of this course you should be able to:

- Develop your own definition of instructional design and accurately describe its role in developing and delivering technology-enabled learning;
- Given selected readings and using a specified instructional design technique, plan a unit of learning, based on articulated learning theories and sound instructional design principles. This includes selecting appropriate educational technologies based on student, instructor, and institutional contexts;
- Through academic writing conventions, discuss the major components of the commonly understood learning theories, behaviorism, cognitivism and constructivism, as well as the emerging theory of connectivism, as applied to practice; and
- Participate and present in a collaborative learning community to exchange developing design ideas as instructional designers.

## Section 5: Course Materials/Resources

Power, R. (2023). *Everyday Instructional Design: A Practical Resource for Educators and Instructional Designers*. Power Learning Solutions. ISBN: 978-1-9993825-8-2. <u>https://pressbooks.pub/everydayid/</u>

• This is an Open-Access electronic book (eBook) and can be accessed online, or downloaded in either ePUB or PDF format.

You will also be asked to read articles from peer-reviewed journals as well as summary materials shared within the course website. You can expect to spend at least 2-4 hours per week reading.

### Full Course Readings List

Note – consult specific Topic readings overview "Books" in Moodle for up-to-date sequencing and access for the readings listed here, as well as designations of required and optional readings. Any readings that do not have direct links are available via the Course Readings folder in the **Course Resources** area in Moodle. Additional materials may be recommended through Moodle as the term progresses.

#### **Getting Started**

Power, R. (2019, January 13). Optimizing Your Time with Online Courses. *Power Learning Solutions*. <u>https://www.powerlearningsolutions.com/blog/optimizing-your-time-with-online-courses</u>

Power, R. (2019, November 9). Hi There! Meet Rob Power. [video]. https://youtu.be/ff-6GtdX9xM

#### Topic 1: Introduction to Instructional Design

- Dron, J. (2014). *How Education Works*. Presentation at MADLaT 2014. <u>https://www.rrc.ca/etv/2014/05/09/13th-annual-madlat-conference/</u>
- eLearning Infographics (2013, November 30). ASU Instructional Designers Infographic. [Image file]. https://elearninginfographics.com/asu-instructional-designers-infographic/

Erika, S. (2017, July 15). What Does an Instructional Designer Do? [video]. https://youtu.be/rU9vCuuIRzo

Gardner, J. (2012, January 19). What does an Instructional Designer do? [video]. https://youtu.be/f2q-SYS2Kbc

Instructional Design Central. (2022). Instructional Design Definitions. https://www.instructionaldesigncentral.com/whatisinstructionaldesign

- Kenny, R.F., Zhang Z., Schwier, R.A., & Campbell, K. (2005). A review of what instructional designers do: Questions answered and questions not asked. *Canadian Journal of Learning and Technology*, *31*(1), 9 26.
- Power, R. (2023). Chapter 2: What do Instructional Designers Do. *Everyday Instructional Design: A Practical Resource for Educators and Instructional Designers*. Power Learning Solutions. ISBN: 978-1-9993825-8-2. https://pressbooks.pub/everydayid/chapter/what-do-instructional-designers-do/
- Sophia Palahicky, S. (2014, December 21). *K-12 Instructional Design*. [YouTube video]. <u>https://youtu.be/KbA5nRKoDRs</u>

*Topic 2: The Skills, Attitudes and Knowledge Needed by IDs* 

Canadian Association of Instructional Designers. (n.d.). Appendix 1 - Instructional Design Competencies.

International Board of Standards for Training, Performance and Instruction. (2012). *Instructional Design Competencies*.

Power, M. (2009). A Designer's Log: Case Studies in Instructional Design. AU Press

Snow, K. (2021, January 4). What ID is Not. [video]. https://youtu.be/tDN61NDx Yk

#### Topic 3: Outcomes and Objectives

Angima, S, & Etuk, L. (n.d.). Needs Assessment Primer. Oregon State University.

- Greenberg, C. (2023). *How to Conduct a Training Needs Analysis*. XpertHR. <u>https://www.xperthr.com/how-to/how-to-conduct-a-training-needs-analysis/6716/</u>
- McCawley, P. (2009). *Methods for Conducting an Educational Needs Assessment: Guidelines for Cooperative Extension System Professionals.* University of Idaho Extension.
- North Dakota State University (n.d.). Needs Assessment: Information and resources for conducting a needs assessment. <u>https://www.ag.ndsu.edu/evaluation/needs-assessment-1</u>
- Power, R. (2023). Chapter 5: Needs Assessment Models. *Everyday Instructional Design: A Practical Resource for Educators and Instructional Designers*. Power Learning Solutions. ISBN: 978-1-9993825-8-2. https://pressbooks.pub/everydayid/chapter/needs-assessment-models/
- Power, R. (2023). Chapter 6: Outcomes and Objectives. *Everyday Instructional Design: A Practical Resource for Educators and Instructional Designers*. Power Learning Solutions. ISBN: 978-1-9993825-8-2. https://pressbooks.pub/everydayid/chapter/outcomes-and-objectives/

#### *Topic 4: Learning Theory*

Culatta, R. (2023). Learning Theories. InstructionalDesign.org. http://www.instructionaldesign.org/theories/

Learning Theories (2022). https://learning-theories.com/

- Power, R. (2023). Chapter 7: Theories and Models of Online Learning. *Everyday Instructional Design: A Practical Resource for Educators and Instructional Designers*. Power Learning Solutions. ISBN: 978-1-9993825-8-2. https://pressbooks.pub/everydayid/chapter/theories-and-models-of-online-learning/
- Power, R. (2023). Chapter 8: The Zombie of Instructional Design: Learning Styles. *Everyday Instructional Design: A Practical Resource for Educators and Instructional Designers*. Power Learning Solutions. ISBN: 978-1-

9993825-8-2. <u>https://pressbooks.pub/everydayid/chapter/the-zombie-of-instructional-design-learning-styles/</u>

Snow, K. (2021, January 4). Traditional Learning Theories. [video]. https://youtu.be/rwwb-rbjOH0

Wheeler, S. (2013). *Learning Theories for the Digital Age*. [PPT file]. Webinar presentation at the Institute of Education, Plymouth University. <u>https://altc.alt.ac.uk/docs/learning-theories-for-the-digital-age/#gref</u>

#### Topic 5: ISD Models

- Collins, Mauri P. (2011). Using a blueprint in the design of instruction for virtual environments. In Khan, B. (ed). User Interface Design for Virtual Environments: Challenges and Advances, pp. 255-267. Idea Group Reference.
- Power, R. (2023). Chapter 3: ADDIE: A Framework for Instructional Design. *Everyday Instructional Design: A Practical Resource for Educators and Instructional Designers*. Power Learning Solutions. ISBN: 978-1-9993825-8-2. <u>https://pressbooks.pub/everydayid/chapter/addie-a-framework-for-exploring-id/</u>
- Power, R. (2023). Chapter 4: Other ISD Models. *Everyday Instructional Design: A Practical Resource for Educators* and Instructional Designers. Power Learning Solutions. ISBN: 978-1-9993825-8-2. https://pressbooks.pub/everydayid/chapter/other-isd-models/

#### Topic 6: Contemporary Models

Power, R. (2023). Chapter 7: Theories and Models of Online Learning. *Everyday Instructional Design: A Practical Resource for Educators and Instructional Designers*. Power Learning Solutions. ISBN: 978-1-9993825-8-2. https://pressbooks.pub/everydayid/chapter/theories-and-models-of-online-learning/

#### *Topic 7: Ordering and Sequencing Content*

- All about teaching and learning! (2016, May 21). *Elaboration Theory*. [YouTube video]. <u>https://youtu.be/MXBYIICVVSA</u>
- Bonk, C. (n.d.). Dr. Bonk's Videostreamed Talks and Podcasts. http://curtbonk.com/streamed.html
- Bury, Matt (2014, March 21). 25 Assessing Student Online Learning. [video]. https://youtu.be/BKsTG0dzT4E
- Carnegie Mellon University (2023). Design & Teach a Course: Align Assessments with Objectives. Eberly Center: Teaching Excellence & Educational Innovation. <u>https://www.cmu.edu/teaching/designteach/design/assessments.html</u>
- Fulford, C. (2014, November 3). *Instructional Design Part 4 Instructional Strategies*. [video]. <u>https://youtu.be/\_r2X4WXEpdw</u>
- Reigeluth, C., Merrill, M., Wilson, B., & Spiller, R. (1980). The Elaboration Theory of Instruction: A Model for Sequencing and Synthesizing Instruction. *Instructional Science*, 9(3), 195-219. <u>https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00177327</u>
- Snow, C. (2021, January 4). Four Elements of Instructional Strategy. [video]. https://youtu.be/BPI6VgyYFGM

#### *Topic 8: Modes of Delivery*

- Bates, T. (2015, February 4). *Mode of delivery: Learners as a determining factor*. Online Learning and Distance Education Resoruces | Contact North. <u>https://www.tonybates.ca/2015/02/04/mode-of-delivery-students/</u>
- Bates, T. (2022). *Teaching in a Digital Age: Third Edition*. Tony Bates Associates. https://pressbooks.bccampus.ca/teachinginadigitalagev3m/

- Bower, M., Dalgarno, B., Kennedy, G., Lee, M., & Kenney, J. (2014). *Blended Synchronous Learning: A Handbook for Educators*. Office for Teaching and Learning.
- Christensen Institute (2023). Blended Learning Definitions. <u>https://www.christenseninstitute.org/blended-learning-definitions-and-models/</u>
- Christensen, C., Horn, M., & Staker, H. (2013). *Is K-12 Blended Learning Disruptive? An introduction of the theory of hybrids.* [Report].
- Digital Learning Lab. (2013, October 11). Sloan-C Redefines Blended and Hybrid Courses ... Why??? TECH-Levers. https://hbcu-levers.blogspot.com/2013/10/sloan-c-redefines-blended-and-hybrid.html
- Shimabukuro, J. (2012, January 22). Sloan-C's Definition of 'Online Course' May Be Out of Sync with Reality. *ETC* Journal: Educational Technology & Change. <u>https://etcjournal.com/2012/01/22/sloan-cs-definition-of-online-course-may-be-out-of-sync-with-reality/</u>

Topic 9: Selecting Media

- Burmen, D, (2014, May 13). Web Accessibility Matters: Why Should We Care. [video]. https://youtu.be/VIRx3RJzbZg
- Government of Canada (2000). Personal Information Protection and Electronic Documents Act (S.C. 2000, c. 5). <u>https://web.archive.org/web/20151002091940/http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/P-8.6/page-</u> <u>20.html</u>
- Koehler, M. J., & Mishra, P. (2009). What is technological pedagogical content knowledge? *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education*, 9(1), 60-70.
- Power, R. (2023). Chapter 11: Planning Resources: Multimedia and Digital Interactions. *Everyday Instructional Design: A Practical Resource for Educators and Instructional Designers*. Power Learning Solutions. ISBN: 978-1-9993825-8-2. <u>https://pressbooks.pub/everydayid/chapter/planning-resources-multimedia-and-digital-interactions/</u>
- Power, R. (2023). Chapter 12: Using SECTIONS to Select Digital Tools. *Everyday Instructional Design: A Practical Resource for Educators and Instructional Designers*. Power Learning Solutions. ISBN: 978-1-9993825-8-2. https://pressbooks.pub/everydayid/chapter/using-sections-to-select-digital-tools/
- Power, R. (2023). Chapter 13: Using Copyright, Open Access, and Creative Commons Resources. *Everyday Instructional Design: A Practical Resource for Educators and Instructional Designers*. Power Learning Solutions. ISBN: 978-1-9993825-8-2. <u>https://pressbooks.pub/everydayid/chapter/copyright-open-access-and-creative-commons-resources/</u>
- Power, R. (2023). Chapter 16: Tracking Copyright and Privacy Considerations. *Everyday Instructional Design: A Practical Resource for Educators and Instructional Designers*. Power Learning Solutions. ISBN: 978-1-9993825-8-2. <u>https://pressbooks.pub/everydayid/chapter/tracking-copyright-and-privacy-considerations/</u>
- Power, R. (2023). Chapter 17: Accessibility in Online Learning. *Everyday Instructional Design: A Practical Resource* for Educators and Instructional Designers. Power Learning Solutions. ISBN: 978-1-9993825-8-2. https://pressbooks.pub/everydayid/chapter/accessibility-in-online-learning/

Young, G. (n.d.). Selecting and Using AT. [audio file].

Young, G. (n.d.). Using assistive technology within a framework of universal design to help students with learning disabilities develop literacy skills. [Presentation slides].

#### Topic 10: Applications of Networked Learning

Cambridge, D., Kaplan, S., & Sulter, V. (2005). *Community of Practice Design Guide*. [PDF file]. Educause. <u>https://library.educause.edu/resources/2005/1/community-of-practice-design-guide-a-stepbystep-guide-for-designing-cultivating-communities-of-practice-in-higher-education</u>

Inscub (2021). Building Your PLN. The Teacher Challenge. https://teacherchallenge.edublogs.org/creating-a-pln/

#### Topic 11: Formative and Summative Evaluations

- Mann, B.L. (2006). Conducting formative evaluations of online instructional material. In Bruce Mann (Ed.). *Selected styles in web-based educational research,* pp. 232-242. Idea Group Publishing.
- Parker, N. (2009). Chapter 16, The quality Dilemma. In T. Anderson (Ed.), *The theory and practice of online learning*, pp. 305-340. AU Press. <u>http://www.aupress.ca/index.php/books/120146</u>
- Power, R. (2017, March 12). Using Mid Course Surveys to Improve an Online Course. [video]. https://youtu.be/tNhr8v5jsbM
- Power, R. (2023). Chapter 15: Developing Lesson Plans and Unit Plans. *Everyday Instructional Design: A Practical Resource for Educators and Instructional Designers*. Power Learning Solutions. ISBN: 978-1-9993825-8-2. https://pressbooks.pub/everydayid/chapter/developing-lesson-and-unit-plans/
- Power, R. (2023). Chapter 16: Tracking Copyright and Privacy Considerations. *Everyday Instructional Design: A Practical Resource for Educators and Instructional Designers*. Power Learning Solutions. ISBN: 978-1-9993825-8-2. <u>https://pressbooks.pub/everydayid/chapter/tracking-copyright-and-privacy-considerations/</u>
- Theissen, J., & Ambrock, V. (2011). Value Added The Editor in Design and Development of Online Courses. In Anderson, T. (Ed.), *The theory and practice of online learning - Second Edition*. AU Press. <u>https://read.aupress.ca/read/the-theory-and-practice-of-online-learning/section/7b34c0dd-89ad-4280-9c95-137c1e2aa471</u>

# Section 6: Course Outline

The following schedule is provided as a guideline for you to assist you with your planning for this course, dates may be adjusted periodically through class discussion to reflect course developments and interest /needs of students.

Topic 1: Introduction to Instructional Design in the k-12 context Topic 2: ID Competencies	Consult the Topic 1 and Topic 2 Readings Overview sections in Moodle. All tasks for the week are due on the final day of the week.eg. for
	All tasks for the week are due on the final day of the week.eg. for
	this week all tasks are due by <b>May 5 at 11:55 pm AT</b> . Due dates and times for specific assignments are listed below.
Topic 3: Re-Thinking Objectives and Determining What you Value in Learning Topic 4: Positioning Yourself through Learning Theory	Consult the Topic 3 and Topic 4 Readings Overview sections in Moodle.
Topic 5: Introduction to IS Models (systems vs interpretive) Topic 6: Contemporary ID Models	Consult the Topic 5 and Topic 6 Readings Overview sections in Moodle. Assignment 1: Position Paper (DUE 11:55 pm AT, Sunday, May 19)
Topic 7: Ordering and Sequencing Content Topic 8: Modes of Delivery	Consult the Topic 7 and Topic 8 Readings Overview sections in Moodle.
Topic 9: Selecting Media Topic 10: Creating a Community	Consult the Topic 9 and Topic 10 Readings Overview sections in Moodle.
Topic 11: Evaluating Learning	Consult the Topic 11 Readings Overview section in Moodle. Assignment 2: Unit Plan (DUE 11:55 pm AT, Sun, June 9) Assignment 3: Participation
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Topic 3: Re-Thinking Objectives and Determining What you Value in Learning Topic 4: Positioning Yourself through Learning Theory Topic 5: Introduction to IS Models (systems vs interpretive) Topic 6: Contemporary ID Models Topic 7: Ordering and Sequencing Content Topic 8: Modes of Delivery Topic 9: Selecting Media Topic 10: Creating a Community Topic 11: Evaluating Learning

# Section 7: Course Assessment

All written work submissions must be typed and presented in **APA 7 Format**. If you need assistance with this the Perdue OWL website (<u>http://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/560/01/</u>) has valuable information, also refer to the APA writers manual (6<sup>th</sup> Ed.). The rubric used for assessing each specific assignment is included at the end of this outline and can be found electronically on the course website.

The requirements for the completion of this course consist of the four tasks. There are no tests or exams. **All of the tasks** must be completed to a satisfactory level (pass = 60%) or higher in order to obtain a credit for this course.

Assignment	Short Description	Weight
Assignment 1: Position Paper	You will write an essay in the form of a position paper that includes an outline of your teaching philosophy as it relates to learning theory and Instructional Design competencies as presented in the course materials, and then examines a current issue of your choice through a small-scale literature review.	40%
Assignment 2: Unit Plan	Using the course planning documents provided as templates you will design a unit of work for a grade/subject of your choice that demonstrates appropriate use of ID for k-12 learners	40%
Assignment 3: Online Participation	You will participate in weekly discussion forums within the course website as well as to the course hashtag on Twitter (#educ5103). In addition to actual observations of participation a portion of this assessment will be determined by self-reflection.	20%

**GRADES:** All grades will be posted within the course website. However your final grade is tentative until approved by the CBU School of Professional Studies.

## Assignment 1: Position Paper (40%)

If you are a teacher, you are most likely familiar with writing your teaching philosophy statement as part of a job application or interview. Perhaps you were asked to write one as a paper in your previous studies. For this assignment, you are going to narrow your philosophical focus to your beliefs as they relate to technology-enhanced learning environments and instructional design. Then, you will use this philosophical lens to explore an instructional design issue through a review of current literature.

This assignment will allow you to explore an issue or aspect of instructional design that is of interest to you, and to take a position and make recommendations for professional practice based on your philosophical perspective. It also offers you the opportunity to begin to explore issues and concepts you may want to pursue in your final research project or thesis.

### **REQUIREMENTS:**

The paper must be submitted as a Word document (as opposed to .pdf) through the course dropbox in the LMS. It should include:

• Cover Page

- Abstract a 250-word summary of the key points in your paper. (Think of it like a movie poster, enticing the reader to actually read the full paper!)
- **Keywords** an alphabetized list of keywords of the topics covered in your paper.
- Introduction which outlines the rest of the paper, including the philosophical perspectives that you plan to address, and the issue(s) that you plan to explore through that philosophical lens. (Typically 1-2 paragraphs, ~ 1 page).
- Teaching Philosophy Statement in this major section (which may include sub-sections), you will outline
  your teaching philosophy as it relates to technology-enhanced learning environments and instructional
  design. (~700 800 words).
- Literature Review in this major section, you will prepare a review of current literature related to an issue or aspect of instructional design that is of interest to you. This is NOT the same as a book review. In a literature review you are required to develop a synthesis (new knowledge) based on a survey of scholarly articles, books and other sources (e.g. dissertations, conference proceedings) relevant to a particular issue, area of research, or theory, by providing a description, summary, and critical evaluation of each work. The purpose of a literature review is to offer an overview of significant literature published on a topic. Your Literature Review section should be organized into sub-sections (using appropriate APA section header levels) based on categories or themes as they relate to your issue. (~1000 1200 words).
- Conclusions and Recommendations -- More than a synopsis of your writing, the conclusions and
  recommendations section demonstrates what you have learned once you have evaluated all of the
  information together in its entirety, as well as where the gaps in knowledge are in other words here is
  where you would set the stage for future research (aka your final project).
- **References** An APA formatted References list. (For this paper, you should have at least 10-12 academic resources. A general rule of thumb is at least one reference cited per every page though I would recommend at least two references cited per every page in the length of your paper.)
- Appendices If required.

#### FORMAT:

The paper should be presented using APA version 6 format for style and referencing. It should be written in active voice; refer to the APA Publication Manual (6th ed) specifically with regard to tone, tense and mechanics of writing. Marks will be deducted for errors in formatting. Papers containing significant problems with spelling, grammar and formatting will not be graded.

#### ASSIGNMENT 1 EVALUATION RUBRIC:

	Not Acceptable (0- 1)	Acceptable (2-2.5)	Good (3-4)	Very Good (4.5-5)
Statement of Phil	osophy			
Link to personal	Personal	n/a	Personal experience	Personal experience
experience	experiences shared		is loosely aligned	and learning theory
	are not clearly		with learning theory	are used effectively
	related to personal		to position	and synergistically to
	theory of		philosophy of ID.	philosophy of ID
	Instructional			teaching.
	Design.			
Link to	Theory is vaguely	n/a	Approach to	Approach to
research/theory	referenced or		Instructional Design	Instructional Design
in the field	incorrectly applied.		is somewhat justified	is fully justified based
			based on an	on an academic
			academic application	application of theory
			of theory to teaching.	to teaching.
Literature Review				
Relevance	Text does refer to	Text treats some	Text is on target and	Text is on target and
	the subject at hand	specific elements of	deals entirely with	brings in more than
	in a general	the subject.	the subject both in	one perspective to
	manner.		general terms as well	bear on the analysis
			as with principal	or descriptions.
			elements in a very	
		<b>-</b>	specific manner.	<b>-</b>
Coherence and	Ideas are not	The structure allows	Excellent structure	The overall structure
Clarity	presented clearly,	for good	allowing the reader	is such that the
	or ideas are	understanding of the	to grasp all the ideas	arguments are
	presented but links	relationships	and understand the	presented in a clearly
	are weak and	different nerts of the	there	refutable manner as
	difficult to follow.	different parts of the	them.	both concepts and
		lexi.		followed
Denth (Use of	Does not cite	Lises article headings	Clearly shows which	Demonstrates whose
the Literature)	theorists (cited	and hullet points to	theories undernin	theory/research
	does not cite in	sequence/title / lav	important claims in	supports what claim
	sequence or the	out argument	the argument A	very clearly
	argument sequence	sections (with	synthesis of the	Constructed and
	is difficult to follow.	theorists cited)	article/Chapter.	arranged to make
	shows evidence of		Starting to make	connections and
	"cherry picking"		connections between	expand on
	basically this is a 'lit		different literature	knowledge to feed
	dump'		sources.	into major argument/
				idea/thesis.
Paper Mechanics				

Quality of	Written with poor	Written with correct	Written with good	Easy to read, where
Writing	syntax and	syntax and grammar.	form and where a	the ideas come forth
	grammar.		notable effort has	and the writing
			been made to	disappears.
			facilitate reading.	
APA Formatting	Does NOT use APA	APA v. 6 formatting	APA v. 6 formatting	Publishable or
	v. 6 formatting	used, but consistent	used with a few	almost!
	OR	minor errors with	minor errors.	
	APA v.6 formatting	use of either		
	is used with	heading structure,		
	consistent major	in-text citations, or		
	errors of either	references.		
	heading structure,			
	in-text citations, or			
	references.			

Sub-Total: / 35 **TOTAL: / 40** 

Exceptional	36-40	<90
Good	32-35	80-89
Sufficient	26-31	65-79
Inadequate	0-25	>65

## Assignment 2: Unit Plan (40%)

This assignment involves the planning of a unit of instruction. This is where you put theory into practice as you apply an instructional design model to the development of a unit of work that would equal approximately 30 hours of instructional time. You are not expected to design the actual instructional event, but rather create a blueprint or plan. The blueprint is a conceptual project where you map out the unit **goals**, **objectives**, **instructional events** and **assessment**. Remember the purpose of this assignment is to demonstrate evidence that you are able to make informed and thoughtful instructional decisions.

### REQUIREMENTS:

Different institutions approach the development of a course blueprint differently. However, I have yet to find an institution that did not require a course blueprint as part of the development stage for new courses. Several templates have been provided for you in the course resources that you can use for the development of your course blueprint, or you may use one that your current institution is using, the choice is yours. However, regardless of the template you use the following items must be present and discussed:

- Approach: Here state why you are choosing a behaviorist, constructivist or other learning theory as the basis to your approach to the design task (1/2 page max.)
- **Background:** State the purpose of this instruction where it fits in the curricula, the learners, subject area and the purpose of your unit- the gap you will fill by designing the unit in this way (1 page max).

- **Goals:** the overall goals for the unit.
- **Objectives:** State the learning outcomes that you desire in your unit on a lesson by lesson basis.
- Scope and Sequence: Lay out the instructional content in order, and describe the decision-making process you used to sequence that content the way you have.
- Assessment: State the assessment tasks and demonstrate how they align with instructional content and objectives. Outline of how the instructor will evaluate to see if the learning outcomes are achieved (skill is mastered) by the student.
- Technology/Resources: Indicate optimal and minimal requirements for media and technology used in this learning event.
- **Conclusion:** Considering your approach, your goals, your learner characteristics, as well as your choice of scope and sequence, make a conclusion about why you think this blueprint should be effective. Your conclusion should be written as if you were competing with other designers to "win" the contracting job. In other words convince your client your design is the best for the job. (1 page max)
- **Reflection:** Discuss the ID model you used to design your unit of work, evaluate it's effectiveness for your context and how it compares with other models. (1 page max)

#### FORMAT:

The unit plan should be presented using APA 6 format for style and referencing. As with your first assignment pay attention to tone tense and other stylistic guidelines prescribed in the APA 6<sup>th</sup> edition guide. You will need to include references to theory in your approach, conclusion and reflection. Include a cover page and submit the entire document as one file in the course dropbox. The contents of the actual unit are best presented in a tabular format allowing for clear identification of the alignment between objectives, instruction and assessment (refer to sample templates). In other words the easiest way to format this assignment is to layout your approach, background and goals in a word document, the objectives, scope and sequence, assessment and technology/resources by using one of the templates provided and end the paper with the conclusion, reflection and references pages. Papers containing significant problems with spelling, grammar and formatting will not be graded.

Aspect	Beginning Ideas (0-3)	Ideas (4-6)	Connections (7-9)	Extensions (10-12)
The unit	The unit is	The objectives follow	The unit uses well	Innovative
	unclear/objectives are	principles of ID, the	thought out	application for
	not met	scope and sequence is	strategies for	teaching the
	The lesson elements	easy to follow and	teaching	concept
	are present but not	enjoyable	Well-developed	Proactive unit
	complete	The unit is complete	lessons with	development, that
		with some room for	appropriate	includes what if
		improvement, errors in	strategies and use	scenarios, well
		objective/ assessment	of resources	selected strategies
		alignment may be	clearly outlined	and could be used
		present		easily by a third
				person

#### ASSIGNMENT 2 EVALUATION RUBRIC:

Learning Theory/ID	Superficial/unsubstanti	Literature <b>or</b>	Literature and	Exceptional depth
Model	ated explanation of the	theoretical	theoretical	and knowledge of
	theory related to the	framework/ID model	framework/ID	unit design
	unit design	described incompletely	model described	demonstrated
		and not fully aligned	and referenced	through the use of
		with actual unit	well. Approaches	literature and
		construction	demonstrated in	theory
			the unit are fully	
			aligned with	
			theoretical	
			approach	
Resources,	Issues related to	Copyright, technology,		
Technology,	resources, technology,	resources and privacy		
Copyright & Privacy	copyright & Privacy not	issues acknowledged		
	fully addressed	and addressed in		
		innovative ways.		
		Future considerations		
		considered.		
Technical/Academic	Organisation and/or	Professional piece of		
Writing Techniques	structure of argument	writing, clear argument		
	needs improvement,	and flow with no errors		
	and the paper could	in formatting or other		
	have used a proof read	technical aspects of		
	before submission	writing		

Sub-Total: / 36

TOTAL: 40

36-40	<90
32-35	80-89
26-31	65-79
0-25	>65
	36-40 32-35 26-31 0-25

# Assignment 3: Online Discussion Participation (20%)

You will be expected to participate regularly in online discussions as outlined in the instructions within each unit. This includes both within the course and through the course Twitter Hashtag. Your will be assessed on this participation both qualitatively (what you say) and quantitatively (how frequently you post). Your assessment will also be in part based on your own self-assessment. Self- assessment will take the form of a one page written reflection in which you grade yourself on your participation and demonstrate evidence for your grading. If you can convince me this is a valid grade, then that is the grade you will receive.

Remember when writing discussion posts this is a replacement for a face to face discussion not an essay writing task. Essays are not expected, however you are expected to conduct an academic discourse with your colleagues. Posts of affirmation such as "I agree" "Great idea" are not sufficient although appreciated. You should be referencing authorities in the field in your posts and/or critically analyzing the thoughts of the authors we have read. Each week is not specifically assessed, however you will not be awarded grades for weeks that you miss.

#### **REQUIREMENTS:**

- 3 posts per week minimum. At least one of these posts should be on the course Hashtag
- Posts should balance between igniting a discussion and responding to others in other words you need to demonstrate you are actually conversing with others and not just posting your own thoughts.

#### FORMAT FOR ASSESSMENT:

Along with your postings, in order to receive a grade for this assignment you must complete a one page selfassessment. Using the rubric below, score yourself and explain/justify the score you have given yourself. Share this as a word document in the dropbox for this assignment. The instructor will review and negotiate your mark for this portion of the course with you based on observed performance and your evaluation.

Aspect	Beginning Ideas (0-1)	Ideas (2)	Connections (3-4)	Extensions (5-6)
Quality	Posts indicate a	Posts indicate a good	Posts indicate higher	Posts indicate a deep
	superficial	understanding of the	thinking with	level of analysis of
	understanding of the	general idea of the	connections being	the issue with
	issue	concept with	made between	connections to
		reference to key	concepts and issues	course concepts and
		authors	discussed. Clear	considerations of
			reference to	larger concepts
			appropriate sources	impacting issues.
			present.	
Quantity	Less than 50% of the	Posts regularly in	Initiates posts	Frequently initiates
	posts are made	assigned weeks, but	consistently in the	posts in the active
	during assigned	does not respond to	active week and	week and frequently
	week.	others frequently	responds at least	responds to
			once a week to a	colleagues.
			colleague.	
Aspect	Beginning Ideas (0-2)	Ideas (3-4)	Connections (5-6)	Extensions (7-8)
Reflections	No or little reflection	Submission	Submission	Submission shows an
	on personal activities	demonstrates a	demonstrates a	exceptional level of
	during the course	vague level of	reasonable effort to	reflection on course
		reflection on course	reflect on the quality	participation,
		participation	and quantity of	including awareness
			personal interactions	of shortcomings, and
			within the course.	potential
				improvements for
				future courses.

#### ASSIGNMENT4 EVALUATION RUBRIC:

#### TOTAL: /20

Exceptional	18-20	<90
Good	16-17	80-89
Sufficient	13-15	65-79
Inadequate	0-12	>65

### Alternate Assessment Formats

In keeping with the principles of UDL and accessible learning, students may request to submit assignments in alternative formats to those outlined for each of the assignments in this syllabus. Specifics about alternative submission formats must be discussed with and approved by the course instructor. They must also fulfill the same purpose, display the same degree of rigor, and meet the same course learning outcomes, as the original assignment format. (For example, students may request to submit a package of multimedia presentations that could be compiled into an interactive presentation website for Assignment 1: Position Paper, or an interactive multi-media web-based resource for Assignment 2: Unit Plan.) If an alternative assessment submission format is approved, the instructor will provide an appropriately adapted version of the assignment assessment rubric.

# **Professional Conduct**

The BEd program is an intense and demanding program of professional preparation in which teacher candidates are expected to demonstrate high levels of both academic and professional integrity. Such integrity is demonstrated in part by commitment to and attendance at all classes, workshops, tutorials, and practicum activities. Students are expected to be prepared to actively engage through discussion and participation.

Except in the case of illness, religious observance, or for a compassionate reason, participation in this course is expected. Please notify the instructor by e-mail if you must miss a class for one of these reasons. Consistent with University policy on evaluated tasks acceptable documentation may be required if assignments/evaluated tasks are missed.

Please be respectful of fellow classmates. You are preparing to take your place in a professional community of teachers and are expected to demonstrate sensitivity for and adherence to the norms of respectful, polite and professional conduct.

# Students with Special Needs

Students with special needs should contact the Jennifer Keeping AccessAbility Centre on campus. Arrangements for accommodations will be made in collaboration with the Centre.

# Academic Integrity

Students are asked to review the section regarding Academic Integrity found in the 'current student' section of Cape Breton University's website. <u>https://www.cbu.ca/future-students/academic-integrity/</u>

## **Intellectual Property**

Students are asked to review CBU's policy on the use of recordings of course presentations contained in the Student Intellectual Property Policy found in CBU's Academic Calendar.

## Student Use of Technological Devices in the Classroom

Students are asked to review CBU's policy regarding use of technological devices in the classroom found in CBU's Academic Calendar.

# **Inclement Weather**

Students are asked to review CBU's policy on Inclement Weather found on Cape Breton University's website. <u>https://www.cbu.ca/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/inclement-weather-policy.pdf</u>

# **Emergency Procedures**

Students are asked to review CBU's Emergency Procedures found on Cape Breton University's website. <u>https://www.cbu.ca/current-students/safety-security-respect/in-the-event-of-an-emergency/</u>

Appendix T4: Course Syllabus for EDUC5107 (Spring 2024)



# Syllabus

# Education 5107: Information Management in Education Environments

## Section 1: Course Identification Information

Course Title: Information Management in Education Environments

Course #: EDUC 5107

Section # EDUC5107:91, EDUC5107:92, EDUC5107:911, EDUC5107:921

Number of credits: 3

Term: Spring 2024

#### **Course Description**

EDUC 5107 is about data, learning analytics (LA) and improving education through good data driven decisions. While we may reference computer systems, software, and analytic tools covered in other EDUC courses, the focus of EDUC 5107 is on the critical evaluation of data involved with those systems. Course pre-requisite(s): n/a

Course co-requisite(s): n/a

Course schedule (day and time of class): n/a

Course location: Online

# Section 2: Instructor Information

#### Instructor Name: Dr. Robert Power

Email: Rob Power@cbu.ca

Email (alternate): <a href="mailto:robpower@hotmail.com">robpower@hotmail.com</a> (emergencies only)

Phone (office): 902-563-1400

Phone (mobile): 902-397-3048 (emergencies only)

Office hours (if applicable): online office hours are available by appointment

Office location: L-139

### Land Acknowledgement

Cape Breton University's Department of Education recognizes that Cape Breton Island is in Mi'kma'ki, the ancestral and unceded territory of the Mi'kmaq People. This territory is covered by the "Treaties of Peace and Friendship" which Mi'kmaq and Wolastoqiyik (Maliseet) people first signed with the British Crown in 1725. The treaties did not deal with surrender of lands and resources but in fact recognized Mi'kmaq and Wolastoqiyik (Maliseet) title and established the rules for what was to be an ongoing relationship between nations. (Source: 2016, CAUT Guide to Acknowledging Traditional Territory <u>https://www.caut.ca/docs/default-source/professional-advice/list---</u>territorial-acknowledgement-by-province.pdf?sfvrsn=12)

# Section 3: Department Mission and Core Focus

The Department of Education at Cape Breton University is committed to preparing teachers for their responsibilities as educators in both local and global contexts. To achieve this, we provide programs in both preservice and continuing teacher education that emphasize disciplined professional inquiry while reflecting the best classroom practice and the results of current research on teaching and learning. The Department of Education is dedicated to preparing teachers, who will be knowledgeable, skilful, flexible, research-minded, culturally responsive caring pedagogues and responsible members of the profession, who can appreciate the value of theory and research findings, as well as the wisdom of practice, in making astute decisions.

A core focus for the Education programs at Cape Breton University is Education for Sustainability (EfS). This vision of EfS is inclusive of the three realms of sustainability – environment, society and economy – and addresses content, context, pedagogy, global issues and local priorities. It is a vision that embraces well-being for all. Teachers and teacher candidates will engage in learning designed to cultivate, critical thinking, creativity, knowledge, and skills to actively address challenges with sustainable solutions. EfS supports the values of interdependence, empathy, equity, personal responsibility, social justice and holistic perspectives required to participate in society and to live sustainably and well. Education programs at CBU adhere to the UNECE Strategy for ESD that aims to equip educators with the competencies to engage in Education for Sustainability. The Department of Education is committed to connecting with community stakeholders, including regional centres of education, to support locally and culturally relevant education and research priorities.

# Section 4: Course Outcomes

Upon successful completion of this course you should be able to:

- Explore current trends in data-driven educational decision making.
- Discuss the role of learning analytics in personalized learning.
- Recommend best-practices for Google Classroom.
- Discuss legal and ethical issues on student privacy and confidentiality.
- Evaluate the potential of Social Network Analysis to inform instruction.
- Develop a plan for studying an educational concern using data analytics.
- Participate in a collaborative learning community to discuss effective data-driven educational analysis.

## Section 5: Course Materials/Resources

Knapp, L., Glennie, E., & Charles, K. (2016). Leveraging data for student success: Improving education through datadriven decisions (pp. 1-54). (RTI Press Publication No. BK-0018-1609). Research Triangle Park, NC: RTI Press. DOI: <u>10.3768/rtipress.2016.bk.0018.1609</u>

Note -- A FREE full text PDF version of the Knapp textbook is available at <u>https://www.rti.org/rti-press-</u>publication/leveraging-data-student-success-improving-education-through-data-drivendecisions

Lang, C., Siemens, G., Wise, A., & Gašević, D. (Eds.). (2017). *Handbook of Learning Analytics. Society for Learning Analytics Research*. DOI: <u>10.18608/hla17</u>

Note -- A FREE full text PDF version of the Handbook of Learning Analytics is available at <a href="https://solaresearch.org/hla-17/">https://solaresearch.org/hla-17/</a>

You will also read articles from peer-reviewed journals as well as summary materials shared on the course website. Expect to spend at least two-three hours per week reading.

# Section 6: Course Outline

The following schedule is provided as a guideline for you to assist you with your planning for this course, dates may be adjusted periodically through class discussion to reflect course developments and interest /needs of students.

Date	Торіс	Reading & Assignments
April 29 – May 5	<ul> <li>Lies, Damn Lies, and Data:</li> <li>Truth, Damn Truth, and Statistics</li> <li>Let's Not Forget: Learning Analytics are about Learning</li> <li>What You need to Know About Learning Analytics</li> <li>Discussions: <ul> <li>Introduce yourself</li> <li>What you would like to know</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
May 6-12	<ul> <li>Data, data, and more data:</li> <li>How Canada became an education superpower</li> <li>Data Nova Scotia</li> <li>PISA</li> <li>PCAP</li> <li>Assignment 1</li> <li>Discussions: <ul> <li>Handbook of Learning Analytics – Selection 1</li> <li>Educational Data Support Issue</li> <li>Learning Circle: Problem Statement</li> </ul> </li> <li>Assignment <i>learning circles</i> provide a one-stop FAQ and ensure that we are all on the same page for assignment questions. Please post your assignment questions to the learning circle and feel free to share your expertise with your colleagues. By default, you will automatically be subscribed to the learning circles. You have the option to unsubscribe at any time. In general, I will direct email inquiries back to the learning circles. The idea is for us to learn and share together.</li> </ul>	Assignment 1: Problem Statement Due Sunday, May 12 by 11:55 pm AT Value: 15%
May 13-19	<ul> <li>Data, data, and more data:</li> <li>From Bricks to Clicks</li> <li>Social Networking Analysis</li> <li>Standardized Testing</li> <li>Discussions:</li> <li>SNA Discussion</li> <li>Standardized Testing</li> </ul>	

Date	Торіс	Reading & Assignments
May 20-26	Assignment 2:	Assignment 2: Data Analysis
	<ul> <li>Personalized Learning (PL)</li> </ul>	Due Sunday, <b>May 26</b> by 11:55 pm
	Institutional Review Board	AT
		Value: 20%
	Discussions:	
	Personalized Learning	
	Learning Circle: Data Analysis	
	•	
May 27 - June 2	Google:	
	CBC SPARK	
	Google Under Fire	
	<ul> <li>Google Apps for Education (NSPEC)</li> </ul>	
	Teacher Tech with Alice Keeler	
	Adrian Francis (Quizzes, Flipped Classrooms,	
	BYOD)	
	Data Mining:	
	EdSurge Product Index	
	<ul> <li>Tools for Educational Data Mining</li> </ul>	
	Discussions:	
	<ul> <li>Google Apps in the Classroom</li> </ul>	
	Data Mining Tools	
June 3-9	Ethical and Privacy Issues	Assignment 3: Project Proposal
	Ethics and Learning Analytics	Due Sunday, <b>June 09</b> by 11:55 pm
		AT
	Assignment 3	Value: 40%
	Learning Circle: Project Proposal	Assignment 4: Online Participation
		Self-Evaluation
	Optional: A one-two page summary of lessons	Due FRIDAY, <b>June 07</b> by 11:55 pm
	learned completing this assignment. Include a self-	AI Malway 25%
	evaluation of Assignment 3. Your mark for	value: 25%
	Assignment 3 will be based on instructor review and	
	informed by your self-evaluation.	
	Assignment 4: Online Participation Self Evaluation	
	Discussions	
	Ethics and Data Analysis	
	Learning Circle: Online Particination Self	
	Evaluation	

# Section 7: Course Assessment

Your diploma or degree requirements include a major research project. Selecting a thesis or research topic can be challenging. Assignments 1-3 are EDUC 5107 course specific, but also provide the opportunity to explore possible thesis or project topics for future consideration.

Also, consider the three assignments as a living document that culminates in the final proposal (Assignment 3). Assignment 2 would therefore include information from assignment 1 updated to reflect instructor comments, your own reflections, and any reworking necessary for it to be consistent with your data analysis. Assignment 3 would therefore include information from assignments 1 and 2 updated to reflect instructor comments, your own reflections, and any reworking necessary for it to be consistent with your final proposal.

Project topics are researcher selected and, as such, individual assignment requirements may differ dependent on the chosen topic and research methodology selected. Please use the "Learning Circles" to post questions and share the learning process with the class.

When selecting assignment topics, keep in mind the focus of EDUC 5107 is on the critical analysis of data. Your methodology should be quantitative rather than qualitative. Think SMART (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Relevant and Time-bound) when considering your topic options.

- Submit all assignments in Word format through the course dropbox.
- Use double spaced, 12-point font.
- Word/page count does not include title page or references.

### Assignment 1: Problem Statement (15%)

Select, justify, research, and outline an educational topic that lends itself to data analysis. Assignment 1 will include the problem statement, justification, logic model, summary analysis plan, and hypothesis as outlined in **Chapter 1** of *Leveraging Data for Student Success*. This assignment answers the "what" and "why" questions pertaining to your area of investigation. The assignment must include a brief literature review in support of your problem statement.

### **Requirements:**

- 700 -1000 Words (4 5 pages).
- Minimum 5 references with at least two from peer reviewed sources.

### Assignment 2: Data Analytics (20%)

Building on Assignment 1, this assignment focuses on the analytical tools, data collection techniques, data analysis, and technologies you plan to use to investigate your problem statement. Assignment 2 aligns with Chapter 2 of Leveraging Data for Student Success. Assignment 2 answers the "how" and can be considered the methodology plan for your investigation.

You will not be collecting "live" data for this assignment but rather describing the process you would use to obtain your data. Remember the following concepts from **Chapter 2** of *Leveraging Data for Student Success* as you prepare your data strategy. Requirements will vary based on topic selection.

• Categories of data (demographic, performance, perceptions and school process)

- Methods for collecting data (surveys, focus groups, interviews, observations)
- Applicable data sources (provincial, national, global data)
- IRB (Institutional Review Board) requirements
- Ethical/Legal implications
- Consent Forms/Non-disclosures

Remember, you are not trying to solve the world's educational problems in this course. You are studying a specific educational issue in your current environment. You're on the right track when your proposed data analysis would answer your question or addresses your issue. If in doubt, review pages 54-63 in Chapter 3 of Leveraging Data for Student Success (Introduction, Defining and Measuring Variables, Converting Concepts to Variables and Data Quality).

#### Requirements:

- An additional 600-800 Words (3 to 4 pages).
- Minimum 2 additional references (course readings or your own independent study)

### Assignment 3: Report Proposal (40%)

In Assignment 1, you defined a problem statement and laid the foundation for your final proposal.

In Assignment 2, your qualified your project's data requirements and outlined the methodology for data collection.

Building on Assignments 1 and 2, Assignment 3 is a formal (third person) proposal for your investigation.

Assignment 3 provides the opportunity to refine your research and expand on the data analysis. **This should be developed in enough detail for another researcher to conduct the study.** 

Refer to **Chapter 3** of *Leveraging Data for Student Success* (pp 54-61) to continue operationalizing your variables. Support the reliability and validity of your proposed investigation.

Provide a summary of your proposed data analysis techniques. Refer to **Chapter 4** of *Leveraging Data for Student Success*. EDUC 5107 is not a statistics course, so the expectation is to demonstrate "awareness and what you need to know about data and data analysis so that you can communicate effectively to all stakeholders" (p. 82).

**Optional:** A one-page summary of lessons learned completing this assignment. Include a self-evaluation of Assignment 3. Your mark for Assignment 3 will be based on instructor review and informed by your self-evaluation.

Note: To meet various instituted deadlines, the assignment deadline is fixed.

#### Requirements:

- 2000 2400 Words (10 12 pages, including content from assignments 1 and 2)
- Minimum 8 references (course readings or your own independent study)
- Submit all assignments in Word format through the course dropbox.
- Use double spaced, 12-point font.
- Word/page count does not include title page, references, or optional self-evaluation.

#### A NOTE ON MARKING

The marking scale for Assignments 1-3 is as follows:

- 95-100 (A+) Exceptional. Meets all requirements of the assignment fully. Could be "published" (i.e., offered and studied as an excellent example of the assignment.)
- 90-94 (A) Outstanding. Meets the major requirements of the assignment fully. Would benefit from small changes, especially in content or structure.
- 85-89 (A-) Very good. Meets most of the major requirements well. Would benefit from some changes in structure, or some minor content changes.
- 80-84 (B+) Good. Meets most of the major requirements fairly well. Would benefit from changes in content, or relatively significant structural changes.
- 75-79 (B) Good. Meets most of the major requirements adequately but would definitely benefit from some changes in content or structure.
- 70-74 (B -) Fair. Exceeds the minimum requirements but lacks significant content or has considerable structural problems.
- 66-69 (C+) Acceptable. Exceeds the minimum requirements but lacks significant content and has considerable, important structural problems.
- Correct grammar, mechanics, and organization is expected in all written work.

### Assignment 4: Online Discussion Participation (25%)

You are required to submit a self-evaluation to be assessed.

You will be expected to participate regularly in online discussions as outlined in each unit. You will be assessed on this participation both qualitatively (what you say) and quantitatively (how frequently you post). Remember this replaces face to face discussion, so "essays" are not expected; however, you are expected to conduct an academic discourse with your colleagues. Posts of affirmation such as "I agree", "Great idea" are not acceptable. You should reference authorities in the field in your posts and/or critically analyze the authors read.

The discussion forums are meant to replace face-to-face class discussions. As with class participation, you must be present to participate. In the past, some students would "pop" into the forums every couple of weeks to post their comments. This is discouraged in this course. Each forum will be closed 48 hours after the end of each class unit. The course units are designed to run from Monday through Sunday. Although the forums will be reopened for review, no value will be assigned to postings added after the unit cut-off period.

#### **Requirements:**

- 3 posts per week if there are two discussion forums.
- 2 posts per week if there is one discussion forum.
- Create at least one new discussion forum per week.
- Learning Circles are excluded from the weekly post counts.
- Posts should balance igniting a discussion and responding to others.

#### FORMAT FOR ASSESSMENT

Along with your postings, to receive a grade for this assignment you will complete a 1-2 page (400 word maximum) self-assessment. Assessments less than 200 words will not be scored. Using the rubric below, score yourself and explain/justify your score. Please indicate the number of forums, if any, that you did not participate in and whether you have incorporated that absence in your self-assessment. Submit your self-assessment using the course dropbox.

Your mark for this portion of the course will be based on observed performance and your self-evaluation.

Aspect	Beginning Ideas (0-2)	Ideas (3-4)	Connections (5-6)	Extensions (7-8)
Quality	Posts indicate a	Posts indicate a good	Posts indicate higher	Posts indicate a deep
(Self-	superficial	understanding of the	thinking with	level of analysis of
Evaluation)	understanding of the	general idea of the	connections being	the issue with
	issue	concept with	made between	connections to
		reference to key	concepts and issues	course concepts and
		authors	discussed. Clear	considerations of
			reference to	larger concepts
			appropriate sources	impacting issues.
			present.	
Quantity	Less than 50% of the	Posts regularly in	Initiates posts	Frequently initiates
(Self-	posts are made	assigned weeks, but	consistently in the	posts in the active
Evaluation)	during assigned	does not respond to	active week and	week and frequently
	week.	others frequently	responds at least	responds to
			once a week to a	colleagues.
			colleague.	
Reflections	No or little reflection	Submission	Submission	Submission shows an
(Graded by	on personal activities	demonstrates a	demonstrates a	exceptional level of
Instructor)	during the course	vague level of	reasonable effort to	reflection on course
		reflection on course	reflect on the quality	participation,
		participation	and quantity of	including awareness
			personal interactions	of shortcomings, and
			within the course.	potential
				improvements for
				future courses.

Note – a downloadable copy of the Self-Evaluation rubric is provided through the course site.

Note – the total for this rubric, including the instructor's assessment of your Reflections, is 24 points. One additional mark will be awarded for submission of your self-evaluation by the required due date, to give a total of 25 possible points towards your final term grade.

### Alternate Assessment Formats

In keeping with the principles of UDL and accessible learning, students may request to submit assignments in alternative formats to those outlined for each of the assignments in this syllabus. Specifics about alternative submission formats must be discussed with and approved by the course instructor. They must also fulfill the same purpose, display the same degree of rigor, and meet the same course learning outcomes, as the original assignment format. (For example, students may request to submit a package of multimedia presentations and interactive supporting resources (such as any required draft Informed Consent letters) that could be compiled into a web-based research proposal presentation for Assignments 1-3.) If an alternative assessment submission format is approved, the instructor will provide an appropriately adapted version of the assignment assessment rubric.

# **Professional Conduct**

The BEd program is an intense and demanding program of professional preparation in which teacher candidates are expected to demonstrate high levels of both academic and professional integrity. Such integrity is demonstrated in part by commitment to and attendance at all classes, workshops, tutorials, and practicum activities. Students are expected to be prepared to actively engage through discussion and participation.

Except in the case of illness, religious observance, or for a compassionate reason, participation in this course is expected. Please notify the instructor by e-mail if you must miss a class for one of these reasons. Consistent with University policy on evaluated tasks acceptable documentation may be required if assignments/evaluated tasks are missed.

Please be respectful of fellow classmates. You are preparing to take your place in a professional community of teachers and are expected to demonstrate sensitivity for and adherence to the norms of respectful, polite and professional conduct.

# Students with Special Needs

Students with special needs should contact the Jennifer Keeping AccessAbility Centre on campus. Arrangements for accommodations will be made in collaboration with the Centre.

# Academic Integrity

Students are asked to review the section regarding Academic Integrity found in the 'current student' section of Cape Breton University's website. <u>https://www.cbu.ca/future-students/academic-integrity/</u>

# **Intellectual Property**

Students are asked to review CBU's policy on the use of recordings of course presentations contained in the Student Intellectual Property Policy found in CBU's Academic Calendar.

# Student Use of Technological Devices in the Classroom

Students are asked to review CBU's policy regarding use of technological devices in the classroom found in CBU's Academic Calendar.

# **Inclement Weather**

Students are asked to review CBU's policy on Inclement Weather found on Cape Breton University's website. <u>https://www.cbu.ca/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/inclement-weather-policy.pdf</u>

# **Emergency Procedures**

Students are asked to review CBU's Emergency Procedures found on Cape Breton University's website. <u>https://www.cbu.ca/current-students/safety-security-respect/in-the-event-of-an-emergency/</u>

#### **Appendix T5: Informal Student Feedback**

Dr. Rob Power Assistant Professor of Education, Cape Breton University

The following are examples of informal feedback received via email and social media from students who participated in courses designed and instructed by Dr. Rob Power\*

#### **Student Testimonials**

"I felt like an imposter in this graduate program when I started in 2019 and I was riddled with anxiety. In my first graduate course with you, I immediately felt comfortable and at ease, which is what I needed at that time. In that course, I created a WBLT and you allowed me to choose a topic that I cared deeply about: Sun Salutation. You taught me the course content, but allowed me to grow and choose a topic that I love. I remember thinking, "Wow. Rob's kids are so lucky." I have always felt such a fatherly presence from you - a father that cares deeply. A father that provides his children and students with the tools they need to succeed, and then allowing them to grow and explore independently, but always there if they fall or need help.

"This course is our last together, and my heart feels so full to have met you. It was never about the content you taught. It was the fact that you were always there when I needed you. I felt relief interacting with you. That's why I had the courage to send you such a bizarre email about wanting to "bypass" the last assignment. You gave me the courage to tell you how I really felt with faith that you will always understand. That is a real teacher"

M.Ed. student, Ontario Tech University

"Long time...firstly all I have to say is that I'm now teaching at a major GTA college. Suddenly, my classes must be converted online until the rest of the semester. While faculty members (many I think) continue to panic. I honestly feel SO PREPARED, I've got this. This is in NO SMALL PART TO YOU for helping me understand how to align pedagogical goals to online environments. In a world filled with confusion, strife, upheaval and consistent uncertainty... I want to tell you THANK YOU for preparing me well. I will now APPLY this to help my students succeed during this difficult time. THANK YOU ROB!!"

M.Ed. student, Ontario Tech University

"I just want to say how thankful I am to have taken two of your courses. In light of the situation we are in (college gone to full online delivery), I find that I am not struggling at solutions to this as I am noticing some of my colleagues are. I understand how the content needs/should be delivered, while still remaining AODA compliant."

M.Ed. student, Ontario Tech University

"Thank-you for being so supportive and understanding... You have been one of the most interesting profs I have the pleasure to learn with. You do Talk the Talk and Walk the Walk where is concerns your philosophy and beliefs in adult education. You have applied almost every learning and teaching principle taught in my past 9 Master courses... I Wish I were 10 years younger for doing a PhD under your guidance..."

M.Ed. student, Athabasca University

"This to date has been my favorite course... It is applicable to my every day teaching. I have picked up so many great ideas I just don't know how I will incorporate them all..."

M.Ed. student, Athabasca University

"I mostly appreciate the ability to tailor the assignments to our own work situation. The assignments were relevant and by using our own scenarios they became 'real' and not just an assignment. (I think my office mates are exhausted with all the new info I bring in.)... I mostly appreciate the variety of your assignments... with all the assignments I have done I really remember the unique ones the most... It's been fun - thank you."

M.Ed. student, Athabasca University

"I've had a lot of fun with this course... I've been exposed to so many technologies and applications during this term that I had never heard of before, and I love that the course is designed to be so handson.... being physically engaged with course material is so much better than writing a bunch of papers on it. Thanks Rob for all your efforts to structure this course in such an engaging way!"

\*All statements reused with permission from the students

M.Ed. student, Athabasca University

"I will add my voice to the chorus. Yes, this course has been one of the hardest and one of the most rewarding courses I have taken. Thank you."

M.Ed. student, Athabasca University

"Thanks to your courses, I have begun redesigning our [program]... and it was very well received... I was also able to convince some colleagues to integrate [digital tools]... the interaction will totally blow people away... this is precisely why I want to continue taking as many technology courses as I can...."

M.Ed. student, University of Ontario Institute of Technology

"I really enjoyed this course... but mostly I have learned so much that is actually useful in my career! I really appreciate learning all the different technology tools that are available to us instructors, but I really liked how we were able to actually demonstrate how to use these tools through the assignment work... I can now use these skills and incorporate a lot more technology into my future courses at [xxxx College]! Thanks so much for a great semester!"

M.Ed. student, Athabasca University

"I have never had any instructor in any program take such an interest in students' success, so I just wanted to say thanks... I have an opportunity to be an online instructor with [University X] upon completion of my degree... thanks to your example, I know how to support my learners better than I ever would have had I not taken your courses."

M.Ed. student, University of Ontario Institute of Technology

"Once again, Rob has been an excellent instructor! I really appreciated his timely feedback and his willingness to add value to our online discussions. It was awesome that he also took the time to provide a video feedback on my final assignment, which I had never seen before. It was a great way to add an extra personal touch within a online learning environment. Thank you!"

\*All statements reused with permission from the students

Continuing Education student, Mount Royal University

"I just wanted to send along a little note thanking you for the detailed and unique feedback... I have never received something of this nature before in terms of video feedback... I sometimes find myself thinking about that transactional distance which we have studied in this course. Your approach to this assignment was certainly welcomed. As a teacher, I strive to be timely with my students in terms of getting back to them... with the hopes that students will notice and see the benefits. Having a professor model this same approach is again a very nice touch."

M.Ed. student, Cape Breton University

"If he taught how to pour cement, I'd take it. I will take anything he teaches. This is my 6<sup>th</sup> program, and he is my favorite instructor EVER"

M.Ed. student, University of Ontario Institute of Technology

Appendix T6: Thank-You Letter

#### Appendix T6: Student Thank-You Letter

#### Rob,

I felt like an imposter in this graduate program when I started in 2019 and I was riddled with anxiety. In my first graduate course with you, I immediately felt comfortable and at ease, which is what I needed at that time. In that course, I created a WBLT and you allowed me to choose a topic that I cared deeply about: Sun Salutation. You taught me the course content, but allowed me to grow and choose a topic that I love. I remember thinking, "Wow. Rob's kids are so lucky." I have always felt such a fatherly presence from you - a father that cares deeply. A father that provides his children and students with the tools they need to succeed, and then allowing them to grow and explore independently, but always there if they fall or need help.

This course is our last together, and my heart feels so full to have met you. It was never about the content you taught. It was the fact that you were always there when I needed you. I felt relief interacting with you. That's why I had the courage to send you such a bizarre email about wanting to "bypass" the last assignment. You gave me the courage to tell you how I really felt with faith that you will always understand. That is a real teacher.

With love,

OnTechU M.Ed. Student

Appendix T7: Course Evaluations, Rob Power winter 2023



# Course-Instructor Report Winter 2023 EDUC-5103-Int of Inst. Des. & Educ Tech EDUC-5103-91.2023W (Robert Power)

Project Title: Course Evaluation Winter 2023

Course Audience: **13** Responses Received: **7** Response Ratio: **53.85%** 

#### **Report Comments**

The following information is a summary of the official student evaluation of EDUC-5103-Int of Inst. Des. & Educ Tech.

The evaluation includes questions about the course and program, teaching-specific questions, and unedited student comments. In addition, instructors could choose up to three additional questions.

Information and comments are elicited anonymously from students. Bar graphs represent the frequency of each response. Individual comments (positive or negative) should not be given undue weight. There is no average of averages provided in accordance with the Cape Breton University Policy for Standard Student Course Evaluation; this provision minimizes the potential for an instructor's teaching to be reduced to a single number based on students' responses. The evaluation of teaching should be interpreted as a whole and students' responses should be considered as only one part of the overall evaluation of an instructor's teaching.



Creation Date: Tuesday, April 25, 2023

# Part A: Student Information

Why are you taking this course?							
Core course (7) – degree requirement other than core (0) – 0.00% free elective (0) – 0.00% [ Total (7) ] – 0	50%	100.00%					
Statistics		Value					
Invited Count		13					
Response Count		7					
Response Ratio		53.85%					



How many hours per week did you spend on average outside class (studying, homework, etc.)?								
less than 1 h (0) – 1 to 3 h (0) – 4 to 6 h (4) – 6 to10 h (2) – more than 10 h (1) – [ Total (7) ] –	0.00% 0.00% 14.29%	28.57%	57.14%	100%				
Statistics	-	-		Value				
Invited Count				13				
Response Count 7								
Response Ratio 53.0								


# Part B: Course and Degree Program Information





If the course contains a lab/ practicum componen	t: how does this lal	p/practicum support this course?		
Not at all (0) – 2 (0) – moderately well (0) – 4 (0) – very well (0) – Not applicable/No basis for evaluation (7) – [ Total (7) ] –	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	50%	100.00%	0%
Statistics			١	/alue
Invited Count				13
Response Count				7
Response Ratio			53	.85%
Mean				N/A
Standard Deviation				N/A

# Part C: Teaching Specific Questions

# The instructor: Robert Power

organized the course well:	presented the course material well:
1 strongly disagree (0) 2 disagree (0) 3 neutral (0) 4 agree (2) 5 strongly agree (5) [ Total (7) ]	1 strongly disagree (0) 2 disagree (0) 3 neutral (0) 4 agree (3) 5 strongly agree (4) [ Total (7) ]
0 50% 100%	0 50% 100%
Statistics Value	Statistics Value
Invited Count 13	Invited Count 13
Response Count 7	Response Count 7
Response Ratio 53.85%	Response Ratio53.85%
Mean 4.71	Mean 4.57
Standard Deviation 0.49	Standard Deviation 0.53
clearly explained how the student's performance is evaluated:	inspired interest in the course content:
1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (0) 0.00% 4 agree (1) 14.29% 5 strongly agree (6) 85.71%	1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (1) 14.29% 3 neutral (0) 0.00% 4 agree (4) 57.14% 5 strongly agree (2) 28.57%
0 50% 100%	0 50% 100%
Statistics Value	Statistics Value
Invited Count 13	Invited Count 13
Response Count 7	Response Count 7
Response Ratio 53.85%	Response Ratio 53.85%
Mean 4.86	Mean 4.00
Standard Deviation 0.38	Standard Deviation 1.00
created an effective learning environment:	was open to student feedback:
1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (1) 14.29% 4 agree (4) 5 strongly agree (2) 28.57% [ Total (7) ]	1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (1) 14.29% 4 agree (2) 28.57% 5 strongly agree (4) 57.14% [ Total (7) ]
0 50% 100%	0 50% 100%
Statistics Value	Statistics Value
Invited Count 13	Invited Count 13
Response Count 7	Response Count 7
Response Ratio 53.85%	Response Ratio53.85%
Mean 4.14	Mean 4.43
Standard Deviation 0.69	Standard Deviation 0.79

responded to emails in a timely fashion:	required coursework (readings, quizzes assignments, homework etc.) that helped my understanding of the course material:
1 strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      2 disagree (0)    0.00%      3 neutral (0)    0.00%      4 agree (1)    14.29%      5 strongly agree (5)    71.43%      N/A Not applicable/No basis fo    14.29%      [ Total (7) ]    0    50%    100%	1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (1) 14.29% 4 agree (3) 42.86% 5 strongly agree (3) 42.86% N/A Not applicable/No basis fo [ Total (7) ] 0 50% 100%
Statistics Value	Statistics Value
Invited Count 13	Invited Count 13
Response Count 7	Response Count 7
Response Ratio 53.85%	Response Ratio 53.85%
Mean 4.83	Mean 4.29
Standard Deviation 0.41	Standard Deviation 0.76
used fair grading procedures:	returned exams/ assignments in a timely manner:
1 strongly disagree (0) 2 disagree (0) 3 neutral (0) 4 agree (3) 5 strongly agree (4) N/A Not applicable/No basis fo [ Total (7) ]	1 strongly disagree (0) - 0.00% 2 disagree (0) - 0.00% 3 neutral (0) - 0.00% 4 agree (0) - 0.00% 5 strongly agree (7) - 100.00% N/A Not applicable/No basis fo 0.00%
0 50% 100%	0 50% 100%
Statistics Value	Statistics Value
0  50%  100%    Statistics  Value    Invited Count  13	Initial (7)050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count13
0  50%  100%    Statistics  Value    Invited Count  13    Response Count  7	Invited Count13Response Count7
Content (C)050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count13Response Count7Response Ratio53.85%	Invited Count100%Response Count7Response Ratio53.85%
Control050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count13Response Count7Response Ratio53.85%Mean4.57	Invited Count100%Response Count7Response Ratio53.85%Mean5.00
Control050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count13Response Count7Response Ratio53.85%Mean4.57Standard Deviation0.53	Invited Count100%Response Count7Response Ratio53.85%Mean5.00Standard Deviation0.00
0    50%    100%      Statistics    Value      Invited Count    13      Response Count    7      Response Ratio    53.85%      Mean    4.57      Standard Deviation    0.53     was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:    1 strongly disagree (0)      1    strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      3    neutral (0)    0.00%      4    agree (0)    0.00%      5    strongly agree (3)    57.14%      N/A Not applicable/No basis fo    57.14%      0    50%    100%	Initial (1) 1050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count13Response Count7Response Ratio53.85%Mean5.00Standard Deviation0.00
0    50%    100%      Statistics    Value      Invited Count    13      Response Count    7      Response Ratio    53.85%      Mean    4.57      Standard Deviation    0.53     was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:    1 strongly disagree (0)      1    strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      2    disagree (0)    0.00%      3    neutral (0)    0.00%      4    agree (0)    0.00%      5    strongly agree (3)    42.86%      N/A Not applicable/No basis fo    57.14%      [    Total (7) ]    0      0    50%    100%	Invited Count13Response Count7Response Ratio53.85%Mean5.00Standard Deviation0.00
0    50%    100%      Statistics    Value      Invited Count    13      Response Count    7      Response Ratio    53.85%      Mean    4.57      Standard Deviation    0.53     was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:    1 strongly disagree (0)      1 strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      3 neutral (0)    0.00%      4 agree (0)    0.00%      5 strongly agree (3)    42.86%      N/A Not applicable/No basis fo    57.14%      [ Total (7) ]    0    50%    100%      Statistics    Value      Invited Count    13	Iterative100%StatisticsValueInvited Count13Response Count7Response Ratio53.85%Mean5.00Standard Deviation0.00
0    50%    100%      Statistics    Value      Invited Count    13      Response Count    7      Response Ratio    53.85%      Mean    4.57      Standard Deviation    0.53     was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:    1 strongly disagree (0)      1 strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      2 disagree (0)    0.00%      3 neutral (0)    0.00%      4 agree (0)    0.00%      5 strongly agree (3)    42.86%      N/A Not applicable/No basis fo    57.14%      [ Total (7) ]    0    50%    100%      Statistics    Value      Invited Count    13      Response Count    7	Invited Count  13    Response Count  7    Response Ratio  53.85%    Mean  5.00    Standard Deviation  0.00
0    50%    100%      Statistics    Value      Invited Count    13      Response Count    7      Response Ratio    53.85%      Mean    4.57      Standard Deviation    0.53     was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:    1 strongly disagree (0)      1    strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      3    neutral (0)    0.00%      4    agree (0)    0.00%      5    strongly agree (3)    42.86%      N/A Not applicable/No basis fo    57.14%      [ Total (7) ]    0    50%      0    50%    100%      Statistics    Value      Invited Count    13      Response Count    7      Response Ratio    53.85%	Invited Count    13      Response Count    7      Response Ratio    53.85%      Mean    5.00      Standard Deviation    0.00
0    50%    100%      Statistics    Value      Invited Count    13      Response Count    7      Response Ratio    53.85%      Mean    4.57      Standard Deviation    0.53     was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:    1 strongly disagree (0)      1    strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      3    neutral (0)    0.00%      4    agree (0)    0.00%      5    strongly agree (3)    42.86%      N/A Not applicable/No basis fo    57.14%      [    Total (7) ]    0      50%    100%      Statistics    Value      Invited Count    13      Response Count    7      Response Ratio    53.85%      Mean    50.00	Invited Count    13      Response Count    7      Response Ratio    53.85%      Mean    5.00      Standard Deviation    0.00

# Part D: Unedited Student Comments

## Please provide your rationale for giving positive ratings of the course and course instructor.

#### Comments

This course was very well structured. The information was easily accessible and related to the weekly topics. It was very evident the instructor has a passion for this area and is beyond knowledgeable. He was also very empathetic in regards to timeline and expectations.

This is my second course with this instructor and when I saw he was teaching it I was looking forward to it. His courses are an exemplar of how asynchronous courses should be designed. They are also meaningful to my practice and are relevant. Thank you!

Dr. Power has a passion and extensive knowledge base for the work that he does and applies it to the course.

Feedback on assignments was very timely and exemplars were always given. The course was well laid out and organized so you complete it at your own pace.

## Please provide comments on what if anything can be improved in the course and course instruction:

#### Comments

Lessen the amount of weekly discussion topics and readings on weeks that major assignments are due. That being said the amount of time to complete these assignments was fair.

## Additional comments:

## Comments

I learned a lot about Instructional design and will use the knowledge gained from this course to apply it to my own school setting. Thanks Rob.

## Part E: Additional Questions





## Do you have any feedback on the new "Everyday Instructional Design" eBook resources?

#### Comments

Thank you for sharing the resource. It was exactly what it said it was, had all the content needed tightening up the information and had embedded resources.

The resources were very well organized with updated examples that connected with educators. The readings were were clear and definitely aligned with the goals and objectives of the course.

I personally prefer to have all (or most) of my readings in one place so I liked the Everyday Instructional Design eBook in this way. I find it easier to read one chapter as opposed to having to read a bunch of separate articles. I found the book to the clearly written and easy to read.

However, sometimes when I would examine both the regular readings for the week and the optional alternate readings from the Everyday Instructional Design eBook, they didn't always seem to be covering all of the same topics. Because of this, I was never fully sure if I was getting all of the key information if I just read the eBook. I feel that, in future offerings of the course, it would be easier for students if either the eBook was used for most of the readings or the separate articles. To me, this would make it a bit more straightforward and you could be sure you were getting the required information.

Appendix T8: Course Evaluations, Rob Power spring 2023



# Course-Instructor Report Spring/Summer 2023 EDUC-5103-Int of Inst. Des. & Educ Tech EDUC-5103-91.2023S (Robert Power)

Project Title: Course Evaluation Spring/Summer 2023

Course Audience: **18** Responses Received: **10** Response Ratio: **55.56%** 

#### **Report Comments**

The following information is a summary of the official student evaluation of EDUC-5103-Int of Inst. Des. & Educ Tech.

The evaluation includes questions about the course and program, teaching-specific questions, and unedited student comments. In addition, instructors could choose up to three additional questions.

Information and comments are elicited anonymously from students. Bar graphs represent the frequency of each response. Individual comments (positive or negative) should not be given undue weight. There is no average of averages provided in accordance with the Cape Breton University Policy for Standard Student Course Evaluation; this provision minimizes the potential for an instructor's teaching to be reduced to a single number based on students' responses. The evaluation of teaching should be interpreted as a whole and students' responses should be considered as only one part of the overall evaluation of an instructor's teaching.



Creation Date: Wednesday, August 9, 2023

# Part A: Student Information

Why are you taking this course?		
Core course (9) – degree requirement other than core (1) – 10 free elective (0) – 0.00% [ Total (10) ] 0	0.00%	90.00% 6 100%
Statistics		Value
Invited Count		18
Response Count		10
Response Ratio		55.56%



How many hours per we	ek did you spend on average	outside class (studyin	g, homework, etc.)?	
less than 1 h (0) – 1 to 3 h (0) – 4 to 6 h (5) – 6 to10 h (3) – more than 10 h (2) – [ Total (10) ] –	0.00% 0.00% 20.00% 0	<b>3</b> 0.00% 50	50.00% )%	100%
Statistics				Value
Invited Count				18
Response Count				10
Response Ratio				55.56%



# Part B: Course and Degree Program Information



How does this course co	ontribute to your program?			
Not at all (0) – 2 (0) – moderately well (5) – 4 (4) – very well (1) – [ Total (10) ] –	0.00% 0.00% 10.00%	40.00%	50.00%	100%
Statistics				
Invited Count				18
Response Count				10
Response Ratio				55 56%
Mean				3.60
Standard Daviation				0.70
Standard Deviation				0.70

If the course contains a lab/ practicum componer	nt: how does this lat	o/practicum support this course?	
Not at all (0) – 2 (1) – moderately well (0) – 4 (0) – very well (0) – Not applicable/No basis for evaluation (9) – [ Total (10) ] –	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%		90.00%
	0	50%	100%
Statistics			Value
Invited Count			18
Response Count			10
Response Ratio			55.56%
Mean			2.00
Standard Deviation			N/A

# Part C: Teaching Specific Questions

# The instructor: Robert Power

organized the course well:		presented the course material well:	
1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (3) 30.00% 4 agree (5) 50.00% 5 strongly agree (2) 20.00%		1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (1) 10.00% 3 neutral (4) 40.00% 4 agree (2) 20.00% 5 strongly agree (3) 30.00%	
0 50%	100%	0 50% 100	)%
Statistics	Value	Statistics Va	lue
Invited Count	18	Invited Count	18
Response Count	10	Response Count	10
Response Ratio	55.56%	Response Ratio 55.56	3%
Mean	3.90	Mean 3.	.70
Standard Deviation	0.74	Standard Deviation 1.	.06
clearly explained how the student's performance is e	evaluated:	inspired interest in the course content:	
1 strongly disagree (0) 2 disagree (1) 3 neutral (2) 4 agree (3) 5 strongly agree (4) [ Total (10) ]		1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (1) 10.00% 3 neutral (4) 40.00% 4 agree (4) 40.00% 5 strongly agree (1) 10.00% [ Total (10) ]	
0 50%	100%	0 50% 100	1%
Statistics	Value	Statistics Val	lue
Invited Count	18	Invited Count	18
Response Count	10	Response Count	10
Response Ratio	55.56%	Response Ratio 55.56	3%
Mean	4.00	Mean 3.	.50
Standard Deviation	1.05	Standard Deviation 0.	.85
created an effective learning environment:		was open to student feedback:	
1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (1) 10.00% 3 neutral (4) 40.00% 4 agree (2) 20.00% 5 strongly agree (3) 30.00% [ Total (10) ]		1 strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      2 disagree (0)    0.00%      3 neutral (4)    40.00%      4 agree (4)    40.00%      5 strongly agree (2)    20.00%      [ Total (10) ]    100%	
0 50%	100%	0 50% 100	1%
Statistics	Value	Statistics Va	lue
Invited Count	18	Invited Count	18
Response Count	10	Response Count	10
Response Ratio	55.56%	Response Ratio 55.56	3%
Mean	3.70	Mean 3.	.80
Standard Deviation	1.06	Standard Deviation 0.	.79

responded to emails in a timely fashion:	required coursework (readings, quizzes assignments, homework etc.) that helped my understanding of the course material:
1 strongly disagree (0) - 0.00% 2 disagree (0) - 0.00% 3 neutral (0) - 0.00% 4 agree (5) - 50.00% 5 strongly agree (3) - 30.00% N/A Not applicable/No basis fo 20.00% [ Total (10) ] 0 50% 100%	1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (2) 20.00% 3 neutral (3) 30.00% 4 agree (3) 30.00% 5 strongly agree (2) 20.00% N/A Not applicable/No basis fo 0.00% [ Total (10) ] 0 50% 100%
Statistics Value	Statistics
Invited Count 18	Invited Count 18
Response Count 10	Response Count 10
Response Ratio 55.56%	Response Ratio 55.56%
Mean 4.38	Mean 3.50
Standard Deviation 0.52	Standard Deviation 1.08
used fair grading procedures:	returned exams/ assignments in a timely manner:
1 strongly disagree (0) - 0.00% 2 disagree (0) - 0.00% 3 neutral (3) - 30.00% 4 agree (4) - 40.00% 5 strongly agree (3) - 30.00% N/A Not applicable/No basis fo 0.00%	1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (1) 10.00% 4 agree (0) 0.00% 5 strongly agree (9) 90.00% N/A Not applicable/No basis fo 0.00%
[ lotal (10) ] 0 50% 100%	[ Total (10) ] 0 50% 100%
[ lotal (10) ]050%100%StatisticsValue	[ Total (10) ] 0 50% 100% Statistics Value
[ Iotal (10) ]050%StatisticsValueInvited Count18	[ Total (10) ] 0 50% 100% Statistics Value Invited Count 18
I lotal (10) ]050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count18Response Count10	[ Total (10) ]050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count18Response Count10
[ Iotal (10) ]050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count18Response Count10Response Ratio55.56%	[ Total (10) ]050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count18Response Count10Response Ratio55.56%
I lotal (10) ]050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count18Response Count10Response Ratio55.56%Mean4.00	[ Total (10) ]050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count18Response Count10Response Ratio55.56%Mean4.80
I lotal (10) ]050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count18Response Count10Response Ratio55.56%Mean4.00Standard Deviation0.82	[ Total (10) ]050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count18Response Count10Response Ratio55.56%Mean4.80Standard Deviation0.63
I lotal (10) ]050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count18Response Count10Response Ratio55.56%Mean4.00Standard Deviation0.82was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:1 strongly disagree (0)0.00%2 disagree (0)0.00%3 neutral (1)10.00%4 agree (2)20.00%5 strongly agree (2)20.00%N/A Not applicable/No basis fo50.00%050%100%	[ Total (10) ]050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count18Response Count10Response Ratio55.56%Mean4.80Standard Deviation0.63
I lotal (10) ]050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count18Response Count10Response Ratio55.56%Mean4.00Standard Deviation0.82was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:1 strongly disagree (0)0.00%2 disagree (0)0.00%3 neutral (1)10.00%4 agree (2)20.00%5 strongly agree (2)20.00%N/A Not applicable/No basis fo50.00%[ Total (10) ]0050%StatisticsValue	[Total (10)]050%StatisticsValueInvited Count18Response Count10Response Ratio55.56%Mean4.80Standard Deviation0.63
I lotal (10) ]    0    50%    100%      Statistics    Value      Invited Count    18      Response Count    10      Response Ratio    55.56%      Mean    4.00      Standard Deviation    0.82     was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:    1 strongly disagree (0)      1 strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      3 neutral (1)    10.00%      4 agree (2)    20.00%      N/A Not applicable/No basis fo    50.00%      [ Total (10) ]    0    50%      Statistics    Value      Invited Count    18	[Total (10)]050%StatisticsValueInvited Count18Response Count10Response Ratio55.56%Mean4.80Standard Deviation0.63
I total (10) ]    0    50%    100%      Statistics    Value      Invited Count    18      Response Count    10      Response Ratio    55.56%      Mean    4.00      Standard Deviation    0.82     was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:    0      1 strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      2 disagree (0)    0.00%      3 neutral (1)    10.00%      4 agree (2)    20.00%      N/A Not applicable/No basis fo    50.00%      [ Total (10) ]    0    50%      0    50%    100%	[Total (10)]050%StatisticsValueInvited Count18Response Count10Response Ratio55.56%Mean4.80Standard Deviation0.63
I total (10) ]    0    50%    100%      Statistics    Value      Invited Count    18      Response Count    10      Response Ratio    55.56%      Mean    4.00      Standard Deviation    0.82     was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:    0      1 strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      2 disagree (0)    0.00%      3 neutral (1)    10.00%      4 agree (2)    20.00%      N/A Not applicable/No basis fo    50.00%      Invited Count    18      Response Count    10      Response Count    10      Response Ratio    55.56%	[Total (10)]050%StatisticsValueInvited Count18Response Count10Response Ratio55.56%Mean4.80Standard Deviation0.63
I lotal (10) ]    0    50%    100%      Statistics    Value      Invited Count    18      Response Count    10      Response Ratio    55.56%      Mean    4.00      Standard Deviation    0.82     was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:    0.00%      1 strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      2 disagree (0)    0.00%      3 neutral (1)    10.00%      4 agree (2)    20.00%      N/A Not applicable/No basis fo    50.00%      Invited Count    18      Response Count    10      Response Count    10      Response Ratio    55.56%      Mean    4.20	[ Total (10) ]050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count18Response Count10Response Ratio55.56%Mean4.80Standard Deviation0.63

# Part D: Unedited Student Comments

## Please provide your rationale for giving positive ratings of the course and course instructor.

#### Comments

I found that he was very passionate about the topic and he presented interesting concepts to consider.

I think material and assessments were appropriate for the course. I think Rob was available if needed and returned work in a timely manner.

He was helpful when I emailed him with questions. He returns grades quickly. I like the way moodle was set up.

Overall it was a good course! He was reasonable with timeframes and amount of work.

Instructor was accommodating to unexpected circumstances.

Rob is very organized in his course. Things are easy to find and what is expected is clear.

Rob knows that teacher's schedules are busy, especially during spring courses. He provided choices for discussion posts and didn't require extra posts for a condensed course.

## Please provide comments on what if anything can be improved in the course and course instruction:

#### Comments

I found the layout a bit confusion. Some of the information contradicted itself leading to confusion about how many posts were needed (for example). Or having Week 1 and 2 being written in the course content but both needed to be done for Week one (due to the condensed form, it appeared that the course was set up for 12 weeks but we were doing it in 6).

Thankfully he was easy to contact but it might be a good idea to review the material before presenting it for the condensed form.

N/A

I don't like that you can't unsubscribe from the emails from the moodle discussions. It overwhelmed my email, making it hard to find what I needed. The fact that you can see the recent moodle posts since your last login on the side of the moddle page is enough to know where to look and what to read.

He is a bit too strict on APA because that seems to affect our grades more than the actual content of our papers.

A lot (too much) emphasis is put on formatting compared to content is assignments.

To many components in one assignment. For example a teaching philosophy in a literature review. This can make the assignments confusing, intimidating, and cumbersome. Do a teaching philosophy as one assignment and then a literature review as another.

I find that Rob's assignment instructions can be vague, or he assumes we know exactly what certain things mean when we do not. Examples of assignments were provided, but they were sometimes very different from one another, leaving me confused on what to do. When it comes to grading, he returns grades very quickly and provides identical feedback comments to students. This tells me that he may not be reading our assignments thoroughly. Furthermore, there is more emphasis on APA formatting then the actual content of our assignment.

## Additional comments:

### Comments

Overall interesting subject matter and something I had never considered before.

Rob is very quick to respond to emails and questions. He also is monitoring discussions and jumps in when students are having issues/are confused on something. This is very nice as it allows students to move forward with assignments and expectations quickly (especially important in a spring/summer course).

Part E: Additional Questions



# Course-Instructor Report Spring/Summer 2023 EDUC-5103-Int of Inst. Des. & Educ Tech EDUC-5103-911.2023S (Robert Power)

Project Title: Course Evaluation Spring/Summer 2023

Course Audience: **12** Responses Received: **5** Response Ratio: **41.67%** 

#### **Report Comments**

The following information is a summary of the official student evaluation of EDUC-5103-Int of Inst. Des. & Educ Tech.

The evaluation includes questions about the course and program, teaching-specific questions, and unedited student comments. In addition, instructors could choose up to three additional questions.

Information and comments are elicited anonymously from students. Bar graphs represent the frequency of each response. Individual comments (positive or negative) should not be given undue weight. There is no average of averages provided in accordance with the Cape Breton University Policy for Standard Student Course Evaluation; this provision minimizes the potential for an instructor's teaching to be reduced to a single number based on students' responses. The evaluation of teaching should be interpreted as a whole and students' responses should be considered as only one part of the overall evaluation of an instructor's teaching.



Creation Date: Wednesday, August 9, 2023

# Part A: Student Information

Why are you taking this course?		
Core course (5) – degree requirement other than core (0) – 0.00% free elective (0) – 0.00% [ Total (5) ] 0	50%	<u>100.00%</u> 100%
Statistics		Value
Invited Count		12
Response Count		5
Response Ratio		41.67%



How many hours per we	ek did you spend on aver	age outside class (studyin	g, homework, etc.)?	
less than 1 h (0) – 1 to 3 h (0) – 4 to 6 h (2) – 6 to10 h (2) – more than 10 h (1) – [ Total (5) ] –	0.00% 0.00% 20.	40.00% 40.00% 50%	)%	100%
Statistics				Value
Invited Count				12
Response Count				5
Response Ratio				41.67%



# Part B: Course and Degree Program Information





If the course contains a lab/ practicum componen	t: how does this lal	p/practicum support this cours	se?	
Not at all (0) – 2 (0) – moderately well (0) – 4 (0) – very well (0) – very well (0) – Not applicable/No basis for evaluation (5) – [ Total (5) ] –	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	50%	100.00%	)%
Statiation			1	alua
Statistics			v	alue
Invited Count				12
Response Count				5
Response Ratio			41.	67%
Mean				N/A
Standard Deviation				N/A

# Part C: Teaching Specific Questions

# The instructor: Robert Power

organized the course well:		presented the course material well:
1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (1) 20.00% 4 agree (2) 40.00% 5 strongly agree (2) 40.00%		1 strongly disagree (0) - 0.00% 2 disagree (0) - 0.00% 3 neutral (1) - 20.00% 4 agree (2) - 40.00% 5 strongly agree (2) - 40.00% [ Total (5) ]
0 50%	100%	0 50% 100%
Statistics	Value	Statistics Value
Invited Count	12	Invited Count 12
Response Count	5	Response Count 5
Response Ratio	41.67%	Response Ratio 41.67%
Mean	4.20	Mean 4.20
Standard Deviation	0.84	Standard Deviation 0.84
clearly explained how the student's performance is e	evaluated:	inspired interest in the course content:
1 strongly disagree (0) 2 disagree (0) 3 neutral (1) 4 agree (2) 5 strongly agree (2) 40.00%		1 strongly disagree (1) 20.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (0) 0.00% 4 agree (3) 60.00% 5 strongly agree (1) 20.00%
0 50%	100%	0 50% 100%
Statistics	Value	Statistics Value
Invited Count	12	Invited Count 12
Response Count	5	Response Count 5
Response Ratio	41.67%	Response Ratio41.67%
Mean	4.20	Mean 3.60
Standard Deviation	0.84	Standard Deviation 1.52
created an effective learning environment:		was open to student feedback:
1 strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      2 disagree (0)    0.00%      3 neutral (1)    20.00%      4 agree (2)    40.00%      5 strongly agree (2)    40.00%      [ Total (5) ]    1		1 strongly disagree (0) - 0.00% 2 disagree (0) - 0.00% 3 neutral (1) - 20.00% 4 agree (1) - 20.00% 5 strongly agree (3) - 60.00% [ Total (5) ]
0 50%	100%	0 50% 100%
Statistics	Value	Statistics Value
Invited Count	12	Invited Count 12
Response Count	5	Response Count5
Response Ratio	41.67%	Response Ratio41.67%
Mean	4.20	Mean 4.40
Standard Deviation	0.84	Standard Deviation 0.89

responded to emails in a timely fashion:	required coursework (readings, quizzes assignments, homework etc.) that helped my understanding of the course material:
1 strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      2 disagree (0)    0.00%      3 neutral (0)    0.00%      4 agree (1)    25.00%      5 strongly agree (3)    75.00%      N/A Not applicable/No basis fo    0.00%      [ Total (4) ]    0    50%	1 strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      2 disagree (1)    20.00%      3 neutral (0)    0.00%      4 agree (1)    20.00%      5 strongly agree (3)    60.00%      N/A Not applicable/No basis fo    0.00%      [ Total (5) ]    0    50%
Statistics Value	Statistics Value
Invited Count 12	P Invited Count 12
Response Count	Response Count 5
Response Ratio 33.33%	Response Ratio 41.67%
Mean 4.7	Mean  4.20
Standard Deviation 0.50	Standard Deviation 1.30
used fair grading procedures:	returned exams/ assignments in a timely manner:
1 strongly disagree (0) 2 disagree (0) 3 neutral (0) 4 agree (2) 5 strongly agree (3) N/A Not applicable/No basis fo [ Total (5) ] 0 0.00% 40.00% 0.00% 0.00% 100% 0.00%	1 strongly disagree (0) 2 disagree (0) 3 neutral (0) 4 agree (1) 5 strongly agree (4) N/A Not applicable/No basis fo [ Total (5) ] 0 0.00%
0 50% 100%	0 00/0 100/0
Statistics Value	Statistics Value
Statistics Value	Statistics  Value    Invited Count  12
Statistics  Value    Invited Count  12    Response Count  4	Statistics  Value    Invited Count  12    Response Count  5
StatisticsValueInvited Count12Response Count5Response Ratio41.67%	Statistics  Value    Invited Count  12    Response Count  5    Response Ratio  41.67%
StatisticsValueInvited Count12Response Count41.67%Mean4.60%	Statistics  Value    Invited Count  12    Response Count  5    Response Ratio  41.67%    Mean  4.80
StatisticsValueInvited Count12Response Count5Response Ratio41.67%Mean4.60Standard Deviation0.55	Statistics  Value    Invited Count  12    Response Count  5    Response Ratio  41.67%    Mean  4.80    Standard Deviation  0.45
Statistics    Value      Invited Count    12      Response Count    41.67%      Mean    4.60      Standard Deviation    0.55     was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:    1 strongly disagree (0) - 0.00%      1 strongly disagree (0) - 0.00%    0.00%	Statistics    Value      Invited Count    12      Response Count    5      Response Ratio    41.67%      Mean    4.80      Standard Deviation    0.45
Statistics    Value      Invited Count    12      Response Count    41.67%      Mean    4.60      Standard Deviation    0.55     was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:    0.00%      1 strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      2 disagree (0)    0.00%      3 neutral (0)    0.00%      4 agree (0)    0.00%      N/A Not applicable/No basis fo    100.00%      [ Total (4) ]    0      0    50%	Statistics  Value    Invited Count  12    Response Count  5    Response Ratio  41.67%    Mean  4.80    Standard Deviation  0.45
Statistics    Value      Invited Count    12      Response Count    41.67%      Mean    4.60      Standard Deviation    0.58     was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:    0.00%      1 strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      2 disagree (0)    0.00%      3 neutral (0)    0.00%      4 agree (0)    0.00%      N/A Not applicable/No basis fo    100.00%      [ Total (4) ]    0    50%    100%	Statistics  Value    Invited Count  12    Response Count  5    Response Ratio  41.67%    Mean  4.80    Standard Deviation  0.45
Statistics    Value      Invited Count    12      Response Count    41.67%      Mean    4.60      Standard Deviation    0.53     was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:    0.00%      1 strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      2 disagree (0)    0.00%      3 neutral (0)    0.00%      4 agree (0)    0.00%      N/A Not applicable/No basis fo    100.00%      [ Total (4) ]    0      0    50%      Statistics    Value      Invited Count    11	Statistics  Value    Invited Count  12    Response Count  5    Response Ratio  41.67%    Mean  4.80    Standard Deviation  0.45
Statistics    Value      Invited Count    11      Response Count    41.67%      Mean    4.60      Standard Deviation    0.58     was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:    0.00%      1 strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      2 disagree (0)    0.00%      3 neutral (0)    0.00%      4 agree (0)    0.00%      N/A Not applicable/No basis fo    100.00%      [ Total (4) ]    0      Statistics    Value      Invited Count    11	Statistics  Value    Invited Count  12    Response Count  5    Response Ratio  41.67%    Mean  4.80    Standard Deviation  0.45
Statistics    Value      Invited Count    11      Response Count    41.67%      Mean    4.60      Standard Deviation    0.55     was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:    0.00%      1 strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      2 disagree (0)    0.00%      3 neutral (0)    0.00%      4 agree (0)    0.00%      N/A Not applicable/No basis fo    100.00%      [ Total (4) ]    0    50%      Statistics    Value      Invited Count    11      Response Count    4      Response Ratio    33.33%	Statistics  Value    Invited Count  12    Response Count  5    Response Ratio  41.67%    Mean  4.80    Standard Deviation  0.45
Statistics    Value      Invited Count    12      Response Count    41.67%      Mean    4.60      Standard Deviation    0.53     was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:    0.00%      1 strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      2 disagree (0)    0.00%      3 neutral (0)    0.00%      4 agree (0)    0.00%      5 strongly agree (0)    0.00%      N/A Not applicable/No basis fo    100.00%      Invited Count    11      Response Count    11      Response Ratio    33.33%      Mean    N/4	Statistics Value Invited Count 12 Response Count 5 Response Ratio 41.67% Mean 4.80 Standard Deviation 0.45

## Part D: Unedited Student Comments

## Please provide your rationale for giving positive ratings of the course and course instructor.

#### Comments

The course is appropriately designed for the content required, instructor is fair and friendly.

It was my first time in an asynchronous course and the experience was positive. The instructor was very organized and provided timely feedback.

Ive taken another course with Rob, as much as he is prompt to reply when I contact him and return assignments etc... it is very obvious he has taught this course a number of times as there are errors in his material that I would bring to his attention. I understand this current course is a modification of his longer one however it seemed sloppy in presentation and with the details.

The course material is very interesting and it's organized very well, effectively modeling the things we're learning about designing instruction in the course!

I enjoyed taking Rob's course. He was very approachable and easy to contact whenever I had a question or a concern. Even though it was an online course, I felt that I could reach out whenever I needed and have the required support.

The course was presented in a logical way and I enjoyed that it felt as though each topic built upon the previous one.

## Please provide comments on what if anything can be improved in the course and course instruction:

#### Comments

Course is good (makes sense for an instructional design course) so no suggestions.

I am almost through this Diploma from CBU, my other 2 M.Eds are from Acadia, I have YET to learn anything relevant/applicable or practical to technology in a classroom. APA formatting seems to get highest values, I am tired of doing Lit reviews and long papers. All in all very disappointed with the course offerings and content with this entire program.

It's too compressed. If anything, I would say this course should be during a full/long semester so students have time to absorb the content. I feel like there's so much information every week – all very interesting information that's making me excited to try and apply it in my teaching practice – but there's so much of it that I can't process it properly before even more is piled on.

Also, there are quite a few little assignments to do each week, which aren't too difficult, it just feels like a lot because of the short timeline. For example, I would love to be able to go back and check the discussion boards for previous weeks to see if there's been any interesting discussion, but there's so much new stuff and not enough time so I usually end up not doing that or not finding any new discussions because everyone in the class has moved on. Maybe if the topics were a bit more general (although that might take away from the depth of discussion)...

Overall, I'd say this course shouldn't be compressed into an extremely short spring/summer term and should be more spread out over a longer term.

## Additional comments:

#### Comments

#### N/A

Its too bad there was not ANY course options or electives one can select, being virtual is great however prescribed courses and having them all so theoretical rather than practical is very disappointing.

Part E: Additional Questions



# Course-Instructor Report Spring/Summer 2023 EDUC-5107-Data Informed Education EDUC-5107-91.2023S (Robert Power)

Project Title: Course Evaluation Spring/Summer 2023

Course Audience: **17** Responses Received: **3** Response Ratio: **17.65%** 

#### **Report Comments**

The following information is a summary of the official student evaluation of EDUC-5107-Data Informed Education.

The evaluation includes questions about the course and program, teaching-specific questions, and unedited student comments. In addition, instructors could choose up to three additional questions.

Information and comments are elicited anonymously from students. Bar graphs represent the frequency of each response. Individual comments (positive or negative) should not be given undue weight. There is no average of averages provided in accordance with the Cape Breton University Policy for Standard Student Course Evaluation; this provision minimizes the potential for an instructor's teaching to be reduced to a single number based on students' responses. The evaluation of teaching should be interpreted as a whole and students' responses should be considered as only one part of the overall evaluation of an instructor's teaching.



Creation Date: Wednesday, August 9, 2023

# Part A: Student Information

Why are you taking this course?		
Core course (3) – degree requirement other than core (0) – 0.00% free elective (0) – 0.00% [ Total (3) ] – 0	50%	100.00%
Statistics		Value
Invited Count		17
Response Count		3
Response Ratio		17.65%



How many hours per we	ek did you spend on aver	age outside class (studying, homework, e	etc.)?
less than 1 h (0) – 1 to 3 h (1) – 4 to 6 h (1) – 6 to10 h (1) – more than 10 h (0) – [ Total (3) ] –	0.00%	33.33% 33.33% 33.33%	100%
Statistics	•	0070	Value
Invited Count			17
Response Count			3
Response Ratio			17.65%

Course: EDUC-5107-Data Informed Education, Instructor: Robert Power



## Part B: Course and Degree Program Information





#### Course: EDUC-5107-Data Informed Education, Instructor: Robert Power

If the course contains a lab/ practicum componen	t: how does this lab	o/practicum support this course?		ĺ
Not at all (0) 2 (0) moderately well (0) 4 (0) very well (0) very well (0) Not applicable/No basis for evaluation (3) [ Total (3) ]	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	50%	100.00%	20/
	)	50%	10	J%
Statistics			V	/alue
Invited Count				17
Response Count				3
Response Ratio			17.	65%
Mean				N/A
Standard Deviation				N/A

# Part C: Teaching Specific Questions

# The instructor: Robert Power

organized the course we	II:			presented the course ma	aterial well:		
1 strongly disagree (0) 2 disagree (0) 3 neutral (0) 4 agree (0) 5 strongly agree (3) [ Total (3) ]	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	10	)0.00%	1 strongly disagree (0) 2 disagree (0) 3 neutral (0) 4 agree (1) 5 strongly agree (2) [ Total (3)]	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 33.	<b>33%</b> 66.	67%
	0	50%	100%		0 5	50%	100%
Statistics			Value	Statistics			Value
Invited Count			17	Invited Count			17
Response Count			3	Response Count			3
Response Ratio			17.65%	Response Ratio			17.65%
Mean			5.00	Mean			4.67
Standard Deviation			0.00	Standard Deviation			0.58
clearly explained how the	e student's perf	ormance is	evaluated:	inspired interest in the co	ourse content:		
1 strongly disagree (0) 2 disagree (0) 3 neutral (0) 4 agree (0) 5 strongly agree (3)	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	10	00.00%	1 strongly disagree (0) 2 disagree (0) 3 neutral (1) 4 agree (0) 5 strongly agree (2)	0.00% 0.00% 33. 0.00%	33% 66.	67%
	0	50%	100%		0 5	50%	100%
Statistics			Value	Statistics			Value
Invited Count			17	Invited Count			17
Response Count			3	Response Count			3
Response Ratio			17.65%	Response Ratio			17.65%
Mean			5.00	Mean			4.33
Standard Deviation			0.00	Standard Deviation			1.15
created an effective learr	ning environmer	nt:		was open to student fee	dback:		
1 strongly disagree (0) 2 disagree (0)	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%			1 strongly disagree (0) 2 disagree (0) 3 neutral (0)	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%		
3 neutral (0) 4 agree (1) 5 strongly agree (2) [ Total (3) ]	33	.33% 66	8.67%	4 agree (1) 5 strongly agree (2) [ Total (3) ]	33.	33% 66.	67%
3 neutral (0) 4 agree (1) 5 strongly agree (2) [ Total (3) ]	33	.33% 66 50%	5.67% 100%	4 agree (1) 5 strongly agree (2) [ Total (3) ]	0 5	33% 66. 50%	67% 100%
3 neutral (0) 4 agree (1) 5 strongly agree (2) [ Total (3) ] Statistics	)	.33% 66 50%	5.67% 100% Value	4 agree (1) 5 strongly agree (2) [ Total (3) ] Statistics	0 5	33% 66. 50%	67% 100% Value
3 neutral (0) 4 agree (1) 5 strongly agree (2) [ Total (3) ] Statistics Invited Count	)	.33% 66 50%	5.67% 100% Value 17	4 agree (1) 5 strongly agree (2) [ Total (3) ] Statistics Invited Count	0 5	33% 66. 50%	67% 100% Value 17
3 neutral (0) 4 agree (1) 5 strongly agree (2) [ Total (3) ] Statistics Invited Count Response Count	)	.33% 66 50%	3.67% 100% Value 17 3	4 agree (1) 5 strongly agree (2) [ Total (3) ] Statistics Invited Count Response Count	0 5	33% 66. 50%	67% 100% Value 17 3
3 neutral (0) 4 agree (1) 5 strongly agree (2) [ Total (3) ] Statistics Invited Count Response Count Response Ratio	)	.33% 50%	5.67% 100% Value 17 3 17.65%	4 agree (1) 5 strongly agree (2) [ Total (3) ] Statistics Invited Count Response Count Response Ratio	0 5	33% 66. 50%	67% 100% Value 17 3 17.65%
3 neutral (0) 4 agree (1) 5 strongly agree (2) [ Total (3) ] Statistics Invited Count Response Count Response Ratio Mean	0	.33%	3.67% 100% Value 17 3 17.65% 4.67	4 agree (1) 5 strongly agree (2) [ Total (3) ] Statistics Invited Count Response Count Response Ratio Mean	0 5	33% 66. 50%	67% 100% Value 17 3 17.65% 4.67

#### Course: EDUC-5107-Data Informed Education, Instructor: Robert Power

responded to emails in a timely fashion:	required coursework (readings, quizzes assignments, homework etc.) that helped my understanding of the course material:
1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (0) 0.00% 4 agree (0) 0.00% 5 strongly agree (3) 100.00% N/A Not applicable/No basis fo [ Total (3) ] 0 50% 100%	1 strongly disagree (0) 2 disagree (0) 3 neutral (0) 4 agree (1) 5 strongly agree (2) N/A Not applicable/No basis fo [ Total (3) ] 0 50% 100%
Statistics Value	e  Statistics  Value    7  Invited Count  17
Response Count	7  Invited Count  17    3  Response Count  3
Response Ratio 17.650	Kesponse Ratio  17 65%
Mean 50	0 Mean 4 67
Standard Deviation 0.0	0 Standard Deviation 0.58
used fair grading procedures:	returned exams/ assignments in a timely manner:
1 strongly disagree (0) - 0.00% 2 disagree (0) - 0.00% 3 neutral (0) - 0.00% 4 agree (0) - 0.00% 5 strongly agree (3) - 100.00% N/A Not applicable/No basis fo 0.00%	1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (0) 0.00% 4 agree (0) 0.00% 5 strongly agree (3) 100.00% N/A Not applicable/No basis fo [ Total (3)]
0 50% 1009	6 [ 0 50% 100%
Statistics Value	e Statistics Value
0  50%  100%    Statistics  Valu    Invited Count  1	6 0 50% 100% e Statistics Value 7 Invited Count 17
0  50%  100%    Statistics  Value    Invited Count  1    Response Count  1	6 Construction (c)
0  50%  100%    Statistics  Value    Invited Count  1    Response Count  17.65%	6 Construction (c) 1 7 Statistics Value 7 Invited Count 17 3 Response Count 3 6 Response Ratio 17.65%
StatisticsValueInvited Count1Response Count17.650Mean5.0	6 Construction of the second s
0  50%  100%    Statistics  Value    Invited Count  1    Response Count  1    Response Ratio  17.65%    Mean  5.0    Standard Deviation  0.0	6 Constraint (a) 1 6 Constraint (b) 1 7 Constraint (b) 1 6 Constraint (b) 1 7 Cons
0  50%  100%    Statistics  Valu    Invited Count  1    Response Count  1    Response Ratio  17.650    Mean  5.0    Standard Deviation  0.0   was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:  0    1 strongly disagree (0)  0.00%    2 disagree (0)  0.00%    3 neutral (0)  0.00%    5 strongly agree (3)  100.00%	6 Statistics Value 7 Invited Count 17 3 Response Count 3 6 Mean 5.00 0 Standard Deviation 0.00
0  50%  100%    Statistics  Valu    Invited Count  1    Response Count  1    Response Ratio  17.650    Mean  5.0    Standard Deviation  0.0   was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:  0    1 strongly disagree (0)  0.00%    2 disagree (0)  0.00%    3 neutral (0)  0.00%    5 strongly agree (3)  100.00%    N/A Not applicable/No basis fo  0.00%    0  50%  100%	6 Statistics Value 7 Invited Count 17 3 Response Count 3 6 Mean 5.00 0 Standard Deviation 0.00
0  50%  100%    Statistics  Valu    Invited Count  1    Response Count  1    Response Ratio  17.65%    Mean  5.0    Standard Deviation  0.0   was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:  1    1  strongly disagree (0)  0.00%    2  disagree (0)  0.00%    3  neutral (0)  0.00%    5  strongly agree (3)  100.00%    N/A Not applicable/No basis fo  0.00%  0.00%    Statistics  Value	6 Statistics Value 7 Invited Count 17 3 Response Count 3 6 Mean 5.00 0 Standard Deviation 0.00
0  50%  100%    Statistics  Valu    Invited Count  1    Response Count  17.65%    Mean  5.0    Standard Deviation  0.0   was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:    1 strongly disagree (0)  0.00%    2 disagree (0)  0.00%    3 neutral (0)  0.00%    5 strongly agree (3)  100.00%    N/A Not applicable/No basis fo  0.00%    [ Total (3) ]  0    0  50%    Statistics  Valu    Invited Count  1	6 Statistics Value 7 Invited Count 17 Response Count 3 7 Response Ratio 17.65% 0 Mean 5.00 0 Standard Deviation 0.00
0  50%  100%    Statistics  Value    Invited Count  1    Response Count  1    Response Ratio  17.65%    Mean  5.0%    Standard Deviation  0.0   was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:    1 strongly disagree (0)  0.00%    2 disagree (0)  0.00%    3 neutral (0)  0.00%    4 agree (0)  0.00%    5 strongly agree (3)  100.00%    N/A Not applicable/No basis fo  0.00%    [ Total (3)]  0    Statistics  Value    Invited Count  1    Response Count  1	6 Statistics Value 1 nvited Count 17 3 Response Count 3 4 Response Ratio 17.65% 0 Mean 5.00 0 Standard Deviation 0.00 6 e 7 3
0    50%    1009      Statistics    Valu      Invited Count    1      Response Count    17.650      Mean    5.0      Standard Deviation    0.00     was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:    0      1 strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      2 disagree (0)    0.00%      3 neutral (0)    0.00%      4 agree (0)    0.00%      5 strongly agree (3)    100.00%      N/A Not applicable/No basis fo    0.00%      [ Total (3) ]    0    50%      Statistics    Valu      Invited Count    1      Response Count    1      Response Ratio    17.650	6 Statistics Value 1 nvited Count 17 3 Response Count 3 7 Response Ratio 17.65% 0 Mean 5.00 0 Standard Deviation 0.00 6 e 7 3 6 a
0  50%  100%    Statistics  Valu    Invited Count  1    Response Count  17.650    Mean  5.0    Standard Deviation  0.00   was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:    1 strongly disagree (0)  0.00%    2 disagree (0)  0.00%    3 neutral (0)  0.00%    4 agree (0)  0.00%    5 strongly agree (3)  100.00%    N/A Not applicable/No basis fo  0.00%    [ Total (3) ]  0    0  50%    Statistics  Valu    Invited Count  1    Response Ratio  17.650    Mean  5.0    O  50%    100.00%  1	6 Statistics Value Invited Count 17 Response Count 3 7 Response Ratio 17.65% Mean 5.00 0 Standard Deviation 0.00 6 e 7 3 7 3 7 6 6 0 0 0

## Part D: Unedited Student Comments

## Please provide your rationale for giving positive ratings of the course and course instructor.

#### Comments

Dr. Power is thorough and passionate about his subject matter. The course was well-paced and the readings were manageable and connected to the course outcomes. Assignments were on target and manageable.

Robert Power is a great instructor. His passion for the course subject is very evident. He is well organized and extremely supportive.

Assigned work kept the students engaged. I learned so much about technology and ethics and new apps that I can directly implement in my classroom.

## Please provide comments on what if anything can be improved in the course and course instruction:

#### Comments

Great course- I completed it during the accelerated session so I wonder how it would be over a different timespan.

## Additional comments:

Comments

Thank you, Dr. Power.

Highly recommend any course Robert Power instructs!

## Part E: Additional Questions



# Course-Instructor Report Spring/Summer 2023 EDUC-5131-Dig Citizenship Global Comm EDUC-5131-912.2023S (Robert Power)

Project Title: Course Evaluation Spring/Summer 2023

Course Audience: **16** Responses Received: **6** Response Ratio: **37.50%** 

#### **Report Comments**

The following information is a summary of the official student evaluation of EDUC-5131-Dig Citizenship Global Comm.

The evaluation includes questions about the course and program, teaching-specific questions, and unedited student comments. In addition, instructors could choose up to three additional questions.

Information and comments are elicited anonymously from students. Bar graphs represent the frequency of each response. Individual comments (positive or negative) should not be given undue weight. There is no average of averages provided in accordance with the Cape Breton University Policy for Standard Student Course Evaluation; this provision minimizes the potential for an instructor's teaching to be reduced to a single number based on students' responses. The evaluation of teaching should be interpreted as a whole and students' responses should be considered as only one part of the overall evaluation of an instructor's teaching.



Creation Date: Wednesday, August 9, 2023

# Part A: Student Information





How many hours per we	ek did you spend on aver	age outside class (studying, homew	ork, etc.)?	
less than 1 h (0) – 1 to 3 h (0) – 4 to 6 h (2) – 6 to10 h (2) – more than 10 h (2) – [ Total (6) ] –	0.00% 0.00%	33.33% 33.33% 33.33%		
	0	50%	10	0%
Statistics			Υ	/alue
Invited Count				16
Response Count				6
Response Ratio			37.	.50%

Course: EDUC-5131-Dig Citizenship Global Comm, Instructor: Robert Power



# Part B: Course and Degree Program Information





## Course: EDUC-5131-Dig Citizenship Global Comm, Instructor: Robert Power

If the course contains a lab/ practicum componen	t: how does this lat	p/practicum support this course?		
Not at all (0)	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	50%	100.00%	0%
Statistics			V	/alue
Invited Count				16
Response Count				5
Response Ratio			31.	25%
Mean				N/A
Standard Deviation				N/A

# Part C: Teaching Specific Questions

# The instructor: Robert Power

organized the course well:	presented the course material well:
1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (0) 0.00% 4 agree (2) 33.33% 5 strongly agree (4) 66.67%	1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (0) 0.00% 4 agree (2) 33.33% 5 strongly agree (4) 66.67%
0 50% 1009	6 0 50% 100%
Statistics Value	e Statistics Value
Invited Count 1	6 Invited Count 16
Response Count	6 Response Count 6
Response Ratio 37.50	%Response Ratio37.50%
Mean 4.6	7 Mean 4.67
Standard Deviation 0.5	2 Standard Deviation 0.52
clearly explained how the student's performance is evaluated	:inspired interest in the course content:
1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (0) 0.00% 4 agree (2) 33.33% 5 strongly agree (4) 66.67%	1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (0) 0.00% 4 agree (4) 66.67% 5 strongly agree (2) 33.33%
0 50% 100%	6 0 50% 100%
Statistics Value	e Statistics Value
Invited Count 1	6 Invited Count 16
Response Count	6 Response Count 6
Response Ratio 37.50	%      Response Ratio      37.50%
Mean 4.6	7 Mean 4.33
Standard Deviation 0.5	2 Standard Deviation 0.52
created an effective learning environment:	was open to student feedback:
1 strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      2 disagree (0)    0.00%      3 neutral (0)    0.00%      4 agree (3)    50.00%      5 strongly agree (3)    50.00%      [ Total (6) ]    50.00%	1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (1) 16.67% 4 agree (1) 16.67% 5 strongly agree (4) 66.67% [ Total (6) ]
0 50% 100%	6 0 50% 100%
Statistics Value	e Statistics Value
Invited Count 1	6 Invited Count 16
Response Count	6 Response Count 6
Response Ratio 37.50	%      Response Ratio      37.50%
Mean 4.5	0 Mean 4.50
Standard Deviation 0.5	5 Standard Deviation 0.84

## Course: EDUC-5131-Dig Citizenship Global Comm, Instructor: Robert Power

responded to emails in a timely fashion:	required coursework (readings, quizzes assignments, homework etc.) that helped my understanding of the course material:
1 strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      2 disagree (0)    0.00%      3 neutral (0)    0.00%      4 agree (0)    0.00%      5 strongly agree (6)    100.00%      N/A Not applicable/No basis fo    0.00%      [ Total (6) ]    0      0    50%	1 strongly disagree (0) 2 disagree (0) 3 neutral (0) 4 agree (2) 5 strongly agree (4) N/A Not applicable/No basis fo [ Total (6) ] 0 50% 100%
Statistics Value	Statistics Value
Invited Count 16	Invited Count 16
Response Count 6	Response Count 6
Response Ratio 37.50%	Response Ratio 37.50%
Mean 5.00	Mean 4.67
Standard Deviation 0.00	Standard Deviation 0.52
used fair grading procedures:	returned exams/ assignments in a timely manner:
1 strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      2 disagree (0)    0.00%      3 neutral (0)    0.00%      4 agree (1)    16.67%      5 strongly agree (5)    83.33%      N/A Not applicable/No basis fo    0.00%	1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (0) 0.00% 4 agree (1) 16.67% 5 strongly agree (5) 83.33% N/A Not applicable/No basis fo [ Total (6) ]
0 50% 100%	0 50% 100%
0 50% 100% Statistics Value	0  50%  100%    Statistics  Value
0  50%  100%    Statistics  Value    Invited Count  16	0  50%  100%    Statistics  Value    Invited Count  16
0  50%  100%    Statistics  Value    Invited Count  16    Response Count  6	0  50%  100%    Statistics  Value    Invited Count  16    Response Count  6
050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count16Response Count6Response Ratio37.50%	StatisticsValueInvited Count16Response Count6Response Ratio37.50%
050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count16Response Count66Response Ratio37.50%Mean4.83	StatisticsValueInvited Count16Response Count6Response Ratio37.50%Mean4.83
050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count16Response Count6Response Ratio37.50%Mean4.83Standard Deviation0.47	StatisticsValueInvited Count16Response Count6Response Ratio37.50%Mean4.83Standard Deviation0.41
0  50%  100%    Statistics  Value    Invited Count  16    Response Count  6    Response Ratio  37.50%    Mean  4.83    Standard Deviation  0.47   was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:  1 strongly disagree (0)    1 strongly disagree (0)  0.00%    3 neutral (0)  0.00%    4 agree (0)  0.00%    5 strongly agree (3)  50.00%    N/A Not applicable/No basis fo  50.00%    [ Total (6) ]  0  50%	0  50%  100%    Statistics  Value    Invited Count  16    Response Count  6    Response Ratio  37.50%    Mean  4.83    Standard Deviation  0.41
0  50%  100%    Statistics  Value    Invited Count  16    Response Count  6    Response Ratio  37.50%    Mean  4.83    Standard Deviation  0.47   was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:  0    1 strongly disagree (0)  0.00%    2 disagree (0)  0.00%    3 neutral (0)  0.00%    5 strongly agree (3)  50.00%    N/A Not applicable/No basis fo  50.00%    [ Total (6) ]  0  50%    0  50%  100%	StatisticsValueInvited Count16Response Count6Response Ratio37.50%Mean4.83Standard Deviation0.41
0  50%  100%    Statistics  Value    Invited Count  16    Response Count  6    Response Ratio  37.50%    Mean  4.83    Standard Deviation  0.47   was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with    technology:    1 strongly disagree (0)  0.00%    2 disagree (0)  0.00%    3 neutral (0)  0.00%    5 strongly agree (3)  50.00%    N/A Not applicable/No basis fo  50.00%    [ Total (6) ]  0  50%    0  50%  100%	StatisticsValueInvited Count16Response Count6Response Ratio37.50%Mean4.83Standard Deviation0.41
0    50%    100%      Statistics    Value      Invited Count    16      Response Count    6      Response Ratio    37.50%      Mean    4.83      Standard Deviation    0.47     was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:    1 strongly disagree (0)      1 strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      2 disagree (0)    0.00%      3 neutral (0)    0.00%      4 agree (0)    0.00%      5 strongly agree (3)    50.00%      N/A Not applicable/No basis fo    50.00%      [ Total (6) ]    0    50%      0    50%    100%	0  50%  100%    Statistics  Value    Invited Count  16    Response Count  6    Response Ratio  37.50%    Mean  4.83    Standard Deviation  0.41
0    50%    100%      Statistics    Value      Invited Count    16      Response Count    6      Response Ratio    37.50%      Mean    4.83      Standard Deviation    0.44     was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:    0.00%      1    strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      2    disagree (0)    0.00%      3    neutral (0)    0.00%      4    agree (0)    0.00%      5    strongly agree (3)    50.00%      N/A Not applicable/No basis fo    50.00%    100%      Statistics    Value    1nvited Count    16      Response Count    6    7.50%	0  50%  100%    Statistics  Value    Invited Count  16    Response Count  6    Response Ratio  37.50%    Mean  4.83    Standard Deviation  0.41
0    50%    100%      Statistics    Value      Invited Count    16      Response Count    6      Response Ratio    37.50%      Mean    4.83      Standard Deviation    0.47     was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:    0.00%      1    strongly disagree (0)    0.00%      2    disagree (0)    0.00%      3    neutral (0)    0.00%      4    agree (0)    0.00%      5    strongly agree (3)    50.00%      N/A Not applicable/No basis fo    50.00%      Invited Count    16      Response Count    6      Response Ratio    37.50%      Mean    5.00	Statistics  Value    Invited Count  16    Response Count  6    Response Ratio  37.50%    Mean  4.83    Standard Deviation  0.41

## Part D: Unedited Student Comments

## Please provide your rationale for giving positive ratings of the course and course instructor.

### Comments

Organized, great course format, to the point. Instructor was helpful and very fast in responding to questions or issues.

Very quick to reply to questions . Very quick to correct assignments.

Great feedback on assignments.

The course is very well organised and presented.

I found the course highly relevant and immensely valuable for my studies and future career, given the increasing significance and unavoidable nature of technology in today's world. The lessons on digital citizenship enlightened me about the importance of managing my digital footprint and staying safe online.

I must commend the course instructor, Dr. Rober Power, for his outstanding support and guidance throughout the learning process. His prompt email responses and willingness to provide assistance whenever needed made a significant difference in my learning experience.

Overall, I am extremely satisfied with the course and would highly recommend it to others.

## Please provide comments on what if anything can be improved in the course and course instruction:

#### Comments

photocopies of readings are not accessible in reading programs. Having an actual digital copy is better. In the discussion sections I like how each section was broken down but the question being answered in the first post you make would be more helpful than just stating "last name A–M". There were a few times it was unclear where to answer or when questions were similar (REP's week) some students posted under one section when other posted under another. This made it a little confusing as to where to post.

At this moment in time, I cannot present any improvements.

## Additional comments:

#### Comments

Very informative class that had good class discussions. Well organized. Did an excellent job of presenting and deseminating material even though it was online.

You are a great instructor. I am always pleased when I am in one of your classes. Thank you :)

The instructor, Rob Power, has offered plenty of assistance and guidance throughout the course.

Part E: Additional Questions

Appendix T9: Course Evaluations, Rob Power fall 2023


# Course-Instructor Report Fall 2023 EDUC-4108-Leveraging Tech for Learning EDUC-4108-0.2023F (Robert Power)

Project Title: Course Evaluation Fall 2023

Course Audience: **16** Responses Received: **10** Response Ratio: **62.50%** 

#### **Report Comments**

The following information is a summary of the official student evaluation of EDUC-4108-Leveraging Tech for Learning.

The evaluation includes questions about the course and program, teaching-specific questions, and unedited student comments. In addition, instructors could choose up to three additional questions.

Information and comments are elicited anonymously from students. Bar graphs represent the frequency of each response. Individual comments (positive or negative) should not be given undue weight. There is no average of averages provided in accordance with the Cape Breton University Policy for Standard Student Course Evaluation; this provision minimizes the potential for an instructor's teaching to be reduced to a single number based on students' responses. The evaluation of teaching should be interpreted as a whole and students' responses should be considered as only one part of the overall evaluation of an instructor's teaching.



Creation Date: Friday, December 22, 2023

# Part A: Student Information





How many hours per week did you spend on average outside class (studying, homework, etc.)?					
less than 1 h (3) – 1 to 3 h (5) – 4 to 6 h (2) – 6 to10 h (0) – more than 10 h (0) – [ Total (10) ] –	0.00% 0.00%	30.00% 20.00%	50.00%		
	0		50%	100%	
Statistics				Value	
Invited Count				16	
Response Count				10	
Response Ratio				62.50%	



# Part B: Course and Degree Program Information



How does this course co	ontribute to your program?			
Not at all (1) 2 (4) moderately well (4) 4 (1) very well (0)	10.00% 10.00%	40.00% 40.00%		
[10tal (10)]	)	50%	6	100%
Statistics				Value
Invited Count				16
Response Count				10
Response Ratio				62.50%
Mean				2.50
Standard Deviation				0.85

If the course contains a lab/ practicum componen	t: how does this lab	/practicum support t	his course?		
Not at all (0) 2 (0) moderately well (0) 4 (1) very well (0) Not applicable/No basis for evaluation (9) [ Total (10) ]	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%			90.00%	
	)	50	%	100	)%
Statistics				V	alue
Invited Count					16
Response Count					10
Response Ratio				62.5	50%
Mean				2	4.00
Standard Deviation					N/A

# Part C: Teaching Specific Questions

# The instructor: Robert Power

organized the course well:				was well prepared for cla	ss:	
1 strongly disagree (2) 2 disagree (1) 3 neutral (3) 4 agree (4) 5 strongly agree (0) [ Total (10) ]	20.00% 10.00% 30.00 0.00%	0% 0.00%	1000/	1 strongly disagree (1) 2 disagree (2) 3 neutral (3) 4 agree (3) 5 strongly agree (1) [ Total (10)]	10.00% 20.00% 30.00% 10.00%	100%
	0 5	0%	100%	0	50%	100%
Statistics			Value	Statistics		Value
Invited Count			16	Invited Count		16
Response Count			10	Response Count		10
Response Ratio			62.50%	Response Ratio		62.50%
Mean			2.90	Mean		3.10
Standard Deviation			1.20	Standard Deviation		1.20



required coursework (readings, quizzes assignm homework etc.) that helped my understanding of the material:	nents, e course	used fair grading procedures:	
1 strongly disagree (1) 2 disagree (0) 3 neutral (4) 4 agree (4) 5 strongly agree (1) N/A Not applicable/No basis fo [ Total (10) ] 0 509	0.00% 0.00% % 100%	1 strongly disagree (0)       0.00%         2 disagree (1)       10.00%         3 neutral (0)       0.00%         4 agree (2)       20.00%         5 strongly agree (7)       70.00%         N/A Not applicable/No basis fo       0.00%         [ Total (10) ]       0       50%	100%
Statistics	Value	Statistics	Value
Invited Count	16	Invited Count	16
Response Count	10	Response Count	10
Response Ratio	62.50%	Response Ratio	62.50%
Mean	3.40	Mean	4.50
Standard Deviation	1.07	Standard Deviation	0.97
returned exams/ assignments in a timely manner 1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (0) 0.00%	:		
4 agree (1) 10.00% 5 strongly agree (9) 9	0.00%		
N/A Not applicable/No basis fo 0.00%			
[ lotal (10) ] 0 509	6 100%		
Statistics	Value		
Invited Count	16		
Response Count	10		
Response Ratio	62.50%		
Mean	4.90		
Standard Deviation	0.32		

# Part D: Unedited Student Comments

# Please provide your rationale for giving positive ratings of the course and course instructor.

## Please provide comments on what if anything can be improved in the course and course instruction:

#### Comments

I was really excited for this course but it was disheartening and made me not want to use technology. Websites were dated and inefficient. The first assignment was student-choice, you got to pick activities to add to 50 points... but then halfway through the course we ended up doing the remaining assignments during class, which made in unfair/inequitable to students who had passed in the first activities for that part of their grade. I spent hours and hours on my videos and podcasts only for other students to do a 1 hour in class activity (that I also participated in) to receive their full marks. The instructor didn't test links before class, there were far too many tech failures without backup. More modern tools should have been explored and we should have learned about using Google Classroom to its fullest potential, that would have been useful. I did not find the class worthwhile.

Most of the course content was not relevant. We were shown outdated examples several times that no longer worked as the links had expired. When doing online classes we seemed to have technical difficulties more than anything else and the majority of the class time was spent dealing with this. It took us roughly 30–40 minutes at the start of every class to get the computer/projector started, during this time there was no instruction given. We were supposed to explore programs/applications every week but we simply got a brief lecture with no time to actually understand or play around with the resources. I was hoping to better understand google classroom however we were never given explicit instructions, tips or opportunities to use it other than for our 4 blog posts. When giving feedback Rob used generalized comments and sometimes provided feedback that was not very meaningful. I was hoping to get to use and explore tools such as Google Classroom, Padlet, Peardeck, Canva, Book Creator, Google Read and Write, Mote, or other applications that are free, and widely used in the current school system.

Some of the choices for assignment 1 took far too much time and so were unrealistic for classroom use. More focus on utilization as opposed to creation should have been the focus.

Google Classroom had many duplicate things making it sometimes hard to keep track of things, especially where to submit assignments. Rob could possibly work on reassessing the setup of his course material.

Rob seems truly passionate about technology but I don't think this course was the most beneficial. I feel most of us already knew about a lot of the technologies we explored in this course. I believe it'd be more beneficial if he had us create technology resources we weren't as familiar with and we could use within our future classes such as escape rooms.

This course was not what I expected in the least. We did not learn anything new (saying "we" because many of us talked about this outside of the classroom). Not trying to be mean, but this course was a waste of my time and money. I expected to go over the important pieces of teaching technology, such as PowerSchool, Tienet, google classroom, and teams. I still have no idea what any of these programs are, how to use them, or the features that could be used in the classroom. Although we used google classroom in the course, it was simply to add our journals into it. We didn't even go over the basics of these tools. I was excited to take this course so I could become more proficient in classroom technology...but I just had a meeting on Teams last week and I had to drop the call since I had no idea how to use it and I just finished a education technology course. Hopefully I get more technology assistance in practicums to better my knowledge about technologies, because this course did not suffice in the least. We spent too much time on assistive tech, such as font color/size, audio programs, etc. I understand these programs are important, but I wanted to see more coverage on technology FOR the teacher so I can have an easier time managing during this technological age in education. This is not a reflection on Rob's talents as a technology professor because he clearly knows his stuff, but I would like to see the course restructured towards technology that can be used as a teacher.

Rob was well prepared for class but it seemed we always ran into tech problems. I think that Rob almost knows too much for this to be an elective, and expects a lot of the material to be common sense when it wasn't.

## Additional comments:

## Comments

Rob is a great guy, with a true love for tech and it shows in his teaching.

Part E: Additional Questions



# Course-Instructor Report Fall 2023 EDUC-5107-Data Informed Education EDUC-5107-91.2023F (Robert Power)

Project Title: Course Evaluation Fall 2023

Course Audience: **19** Responses Received: **8** Response Ratio: **42.11%** 

#### **Report Comments**

The following information is a summary of the official student evaluation of EDUC-5107-Data Informed Education.

The evaluation includes questions about the course and program, teaching-specific questions, and unedited student comments. In addition, instructors could choose up to three additional questions.

Information and comments are elicited anonymously from students. Bar graphs represent the frequency of each response. Individual comments (positive or negative) should not be given undue weight. There is no average of averages provided in accordance with the Cape Breton University Policy for Standard Student Course Evaluation; this provision minimizes the potential for an instructor's teaching to be reduced to a single number based on students' responses. The evaluation of teaching should be interpreted as a whole and students' responses should be considered as only one part of the overall evaluation of an instructor's teaching.



Creation Date: Friday, December 22, 2023

# **Part A: Student Information**

Why are you taking this course?		
Core course (8) – degree requirement other than core (0) – 0.00% free elective (0) – 0.00% [ Total (8) ] 0	50%	100.00%
Statistics		Value
Invited Count		19
Response Count		8
Response Ratio		42.11%



How many hours per week did you spend on average outside class (studying, homework, etc.)?				
less than 1 h (0) – 1 to 3 h (2) – 4 to 6 h (3) – 6 to10 h (2) – more than 10 h (1) – [ Total (8) ] –	0.00%	25.00%	37.50%	
	0		50%	100%
Statistics				Value
Invited Count				19
Response Count				8
Response Ratio				42.11%

Course: EDUC-5107-Data Informed Education, Instructor: Robert Power



# Part B: Course and Degree Program Information





#### Course: EDUC-5107-Data Informed Education, Instructor: Robert Power

If the course contains a lab/ practicum componer	t: how does this lab	/practicum support	this course?		
Not at all (0) – 2 (0) – moderately well (0) – 4 (0) – very well (1) – Not applicable/No basis for evaluation (6) – [ Total (7) ] –	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 14.29%	6		85.71%	
	)	50	)%	10	0%
Statistics				١	/alue
Invited Count					19
Response Count					7
Response Ratio				36.	.84%
Mean					5.00
Standard Deviation					N/A

# Part C: Teaching Specific Questions

# The instructor: Robert Power

organized the course well:	presented the course material well:
1 strongly disagree (0) - 0.00% 2 disagree (0) - 0.00% 3 neutral (0) - 0.00% 4 agree (4) - 50.00% 5 strongly agree (4) - 50.00%	1 strongly disagree (0) - 0.00% 2 disagree (0) - 0.00% 3 neutral (0) - 0.00% 4 agree (5) - 62.50% 5 strongly agree (3) - 37.50%
0 50% 100%	0 50% 100%
Statistics Value	Statistics Value
Invited Count 19	Invited Count 19
Response Count 8	Response Count 8
Response Ratio 42.11%	Response Ratio 42.11%
Mean 4.50	Mean 4.38
Standard Deviation 0.53	Standard Deviation 0.52
clearly explained how the student's performance is evaluated:	inspired interest in the course content:
1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (0) 0.00% 4 agree (3) 37.50% 5 strongly agree (5) 62.50%	1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (3) 37.50% 4 agree (3) 37.50% 5 strongly agree (2) 25.00% [ Total (8) ]
0 50% 100%	0 50% 100%
Statistics Value	Statistics Value
Invited Count 19	Invited Count 19
Response Count 8	Response Count 8
Response Ratio 42.11%	Response Ratio 42.11%
Mean 4.63	Mean 3.88
Standard Deviation 0.52	Standard Deviation 0.83
created an effective learning environment:	was open to student feedback:
1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (1) 12.50% 4 agree (6) 75.00% 5 strongly agree (1) 12.50% [ Total (8) ]	1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (2) 25.00% 4 agree (4) 50.00% 5 strongly agree (2) 25.00% [ Total (8) ]
0 50% 100%	0 50% 100%
Statistics Value	Statistics Value
Invited Count 19	Invited Count 19
Response Count 8	Response Count 8
Response Ratio 42.11%	Response Ratio 42.11%
Mean 4.00	Mean 4.00
Standard Deviation 0.53	Standard Deviation 0.76

#### Course: EDUC-5107-Data Informed Education, Instructor: Robert Power

responded to emails in a timely fashion:	required coursework (readings, quizzes assignments, homework etc.) that helped my understanding of the course material:
1 strongly disagree (1) 2 disagree (0) 3 neutral (0) 4 agree (2) 5 strongly agree (4) N/A Not applicable/No basis fo [ Total (7) ] 0 50% 100%	1 strongly disagree (0) - 0.00% 2 disagree (0) - 0.00% 3 neutral (2) - 25.00% 4 agree (3) - 37.50% 5 strongly agree (3) - 37.50% N/A Not applicable/No basis fo [ Total (8) ] 0 50% 100%
Statistics Value	Statistics Value
Invited Count 19	Invited Count 19
Response Count 7	Response Count 8
Response Ratio 36.84%	Response Ratio 42.11%
Mean 4.14	Mean 4.13
Standard Deviation 1.46	Standard Deviation 0.83
used fair grading procedures:	returned exams/ assignments in a timely manner:
1 strongly disagree (0) 2 disagree (0) 3 neutral (1) 4 agree (3) 5 strongly agree (4) N/A Not applicable/No basis fo [ Total (8)]	1 strongly disagree (0) - 0.00% 2 disagree (0) - 0.00% 3 neutral (0) - 0.00% 4 agree (0) - 0.00% 5 strongly agree (8) - 100.00% N/A Not applicable/No basis fo 0.00%
0 50% 100%	0 50% 100%
Statistics Value	Statistics Value
0     50%     100%       Statistics     Value       Invited Count     19	Invited Count100%Invited Count19
050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count19Response Count8	Invited Count100%Response Count8
Construction050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count19Response Count8Response Ratio42.11%	Invited Count100%Response Count8Response Ratio42.11%
Construction050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count19Response Count8Response Ratio42.11%Mean4.38	Invited Count100%Response Count8Response Ratio42.11%Mean5.00
Construction050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count19Response Count8Response Ratio42.11%Mean4.38Standard Deviation0.74	Invited Count100%Response Count8Response Ratio42.11%Mean5.00Standard Deviation0.00
0       50%       100%         Statistics       Value         Invited Count       19         Response Count       8         Response Ratio       42.11%         Mean       4.38         Standard Deviation       0.74        was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:       0         1       strongly disagree (0)       0.00%         3       neutral (0)       0.00%         4       agree (3)       37.50%         5       strongly agree (0)       0.00%         N/A Not applicable/No basis fo       62.50%         0       50%       100%	Initial (0) 1050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count19Response Count8Response Ratio42.11%Mean5.00Standard Deviation0.00
0       50%       100%         Statistics       Value         Invited Count       19         Response Count       8         Response Ratio       42.11%         Mean       4.38         Standard Deviation       0.74        was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:       0.00%         1       strongly disagree (0)       0.00%         2       disagree (0)       0.00%         3       neutral (0)       0.00%         4       agree (3)       37.50%         5       strongly agree (0)       0.00%         N/A Not applicable/No basis fo       62.50%         [       Total (8) ]       0         0       50%       100%	Invited Count19Response Count8Response Ratio42.11%Mean5.00Standard Deviation0.00
0       50%       100%         Statistics       Value         Invited Count       19         Response Count       8         Response Ratio       42.11%         Mean       4.38         Standard Deviation       0.74        was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:       0.00%         1 strongly disagree (0)       0.00%         2 disagree (0)       37.50%         5 strongly agree (0)       0.00%         N/A Not applicable/No basis fo       62.50%         [ Total (8) ]       0       50%       100%	Initial (0) 1050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count19Response Count8Response Ratio42.11%Mean5.00Standard Deviation0.00
0       50%       100%         Statistics       Value         Invited Count       19         Response Count       8         Response Ratio       42.11%         Mean       4.38         Standard Deviation       0.74        was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:       0.00%         1 strongly disagree (0)       0.00%         2 disagree (0)       0.00%         3 neutral (0)       0.00%         Kagree (3)       37.50%         5 strongly agree (0)       0.00%         N/A Not applicable/No basis fo       62.50%         [ Total (8) ]       0       50%       100%         Statistics       Value       19         Response Count       19	Invited Count     19       Response Count     8       Response Ratio     42.11%       Mean     5.00       Standard Deviation     0.00
0       50%       100%         Statistics       Value         Invited Count       19         Response Count       8         Response Ratio       42.11%         Mean       4.38         Standard Deviation       0.74        was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with         technology:       1 strongly disagree (0)       0.00%         1 strongly disagree (0)       0.00%       3 neutral (0)         0       5 strongly agree (0)       0.00%         1 strongly disagree (0)       0.00%       37.50%         1 strongly agree (0)       0.00%       0.00%         1 strongly agree (0)       0.00%       100%         5 strongly agree (0)       0.00%       62.50%         [ Total (8)]       0       50%       100%         Statistics       Value       10         Invited Count       19       8       8         Response Count       8       8       8         Response Ratio       42.11%       42.11%	Invited Count       19         Response Count       8         Response Ratio       42.11%         Mean       5.00         Standard Deviation       0.00
0       50%       100%         Statistics       Value         Invited Count       19         Response Count       8         Response Ratio       42.11%         Mean       4.38         Standard Deviation       0.74        was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:       1 strongly disagree (0)       0.00%         1 strongly disagree (0)       0.00%       37.50%         5 strongly agree (0)       0.00%       62.50%         N/A Not applicable/No basis fo       62.50%       62.50%         [ Total (8) ]       0       50%       100%         Statistics       Value       19         Response Count       8       8         Response Ratio       42.11%         Mean       4.00	Invited Count     19       Response Count     8       Response Ratio     42.11%       Mean     5.00       Standard Deviation     0.00

# Part D: Unedited Student Comments

# Please provide your rationale for giving positive ratings of the course and course instructor.

#### Comments

I really enjoyed the flow of this course and the way each major assignment was a part of the next.

I appreciated the feedback from assignments, expectations were clear, and quick grades.

Demonstrating a deep understanding of the subject matter and providing relevant, up-to-date information Offering timely and constructive feedback to students, supporting their learning and growth.

Being accessible and responsive to student inquiries, providing assistance when needed.

Always answers emails quickly and helps to clarify content

Everything was very clearly laid out in Moodle. The syllabus conatined all of the required information to guide me throughout the course. I appreciated that the rubrics were detailled so that I could prepare my assignments accordingly. Rob was also very good at giving feedback, both in a timely fashion and with details.

The instructor provided feedback on all assignments in a timely manner.

# Please provide comments on what if anything can be improved in the course and course instruction:

#### Comments

I found that a lot of the articles assigned were wordy and challenging to interpret.

Reference style for project proposal, Informative documents regarding it

Assignment 3 outline was a little confusing as it was not really clear. If it was not for the assignment 3 samples I would not have known what to add to my paper.

The third assignments instructions were kind of confusing. I would be helpful if there was a clear list of things to have included in the assignment.

## Additional comments:

# Comments n/a Thank you for a great semester!

Part E: Additional Questions

Appendix T10: Course Evaluations, Rob Power Winter 2024



# Course-Instructor Report Winter 2024 EDUC-5103-Int of Inst. Des. & Educ Tech EDUC-5103-91.2024W (Robert Power)

Project Title: Course Evaluation Winter 2024

Course Audience: **20** Responses Received: **9** Response Ratio: **45.00%** 

#### **Report Comments**

The following information is a summary of the official student evaluation of EDUC-5103-Int of Inst. Des. & Educ Tech.

The evaluation includes questions about the course and program, teaching-specific questions, and unedited student comments. In addition, instructors could choose up to three additional questions.

Information and comments are elicited anonymously from students. Bar graphs represent the frequency of each response. Individual comments (positive or negative) should not be given undue weight. There is no average of averages provided in accordance with the Cape Breton University Policy for Standard Student Course Evaluation; this provision minimizes the potential for an instructor's teaching to be reduced to a single number based on students' responses. The evaluation of teaching should be interpreted as a whole and students' responses should be considered as only one part of the overall evaluation of an instructor's teaching.



Creation Date: Monday, April 29, 2024

# Part A: Student Information

Why are you taking this course?		
Core course (8) degree requirement other than core (1) free elective (0) [ Total (9) ] 0	88.89% 50% 1009	6
Statistics	Va	lue
Invited Count		20
Response Count		9
Response Ratio	45.00	0%



How many hours per we	ek did you spend on aver	age outside class (studying, homework, etc.)?	
less than 1 h (0) – 1 to 3 h (3) – 4 to 6 h (4) – 6 to10 h (1) – more than 10 h (1) – [ Total (9) ] –	0.00% 11.11% 11.11% 0	33.33% 44.44% 50%	100%
Statistics			Value
Invited Count			20
Response Count			9
Response Ratio			45.00%

Course: EDUC-5103-Int of Inst. Des. & Educ Tech, Instructor: Robert Power



# Part B: Course and Degree Program Information





#### Course: EDUC-5103-Int of Inst. Des. & Educ Tech, Instructor: Robert Power

If the course contains a lab/ practicum componen	t: how does this lal	o/practicum support this c	ourse?	
Not at all (0) – 2 (0) – moderately well (0) – 4 (0) – very well (0) – Not applicable/No basis for evaluation (9) – [ Total (9) ] –	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	50%	100.00%	00%
Statistics				Value
Invited Count				20
Response Count				9
Response Ratio			45	.00%
Mean				N/A
Standard Deviation				N/A

# Part C: Teaching Specific Questions

# The instructor: Robert Power

organized the course well:	presented the course material well:
1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (1) 11.11% 4 agree (3) 33.33% 5 strongly agree (5) 55.56% [ Total (9)]	1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (2) 22.22% 4 agree (3) 33.33% 5 strongly agree (4) 44.44% [ Total (9)]
0 50% 100%	0 50% 100%
Statistics Value	Statistics Value
Invited Count 20	Invited Count 20
Response Count 9	Response Count 9
Response Ratio 45.00%	Response Ratio 45.00%
Mean 4.44	Mean 4.22
Standard Deviation 0.73	Standard Deviation 0.83
clearly explained how the student's performance is evaluated:	inspired interest in the course content:
1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (1) 11.11% 3 neutral (0) 0.00% 4 agree (3) 33.33% 5 strongly agree (5) 55.56% [ Total (9)]	1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (3) 33.33% 4 agree (4) 44.44% 5 strongly agree (2) 22.22% [ Total (9)]
0 50% 100%	0 50% 100%
Statistics Value	Statistics Value
Invited Count 20	Invited Count 20
Response Count 9	Response Count 9
Response Ratio 45.00%	Response Ratio 45.00%
Mean 4.33	Mean 3.89
Standard Deviation 1.00	Standard Deviation 0.78
created an effective learning environment:	was open to student feedback:
1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (1) 11.11% 4 agree (5) 55.56% 5 strongly agree (3) 33.33% [ Total (9) ]	1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (2) 22.22% 4 agree (4) 44.44% 5 strongly agree (3) 33.33% [ Total (9) ]
0 50% 100%	0 50% 100%
Statistics Value	Statistics Value
Invited Count 20	Invited Count 20
Response Count 9	Response Count 9
Response Ratio 45.00%	Response Ratio 45.00%
Mean 4.22	Mean 4.11
Standard Deviation 0.67	Standard Deviation 0.78

## Course: EDUC-5103-Int of Inst. Des. & Educ Tech, Instructor: Robert Power

responded to emails in a timely fashion:	required coursework (readings, quizzes assignments, homework etc.) that helped my understanding of the course material:
1 strongly disagree (0) 2 disagree (0) 3 neutral (0) 4 agree (1) 5 strongly agree (8) N/A Not applicable/No basis fo [ Total (9) ] 0 50% 100%	1 strongly disagree (0) 2 disagree (0) 3 neutral (2) 4 agree (3) 5 strongly agree (4) N/A Not applicable/No basis fo [ Total (9) ] 0 50% 100%
Statistics Value	Statistics Value
Invited Count 20	Invited Count 20
Response Count 9	Response Count 9
Response Ratio 45.00%	Response Ratio 45.00%
Mean 4.89	Mean 4.22
Standard Deviation 0.33	Standard Deviation 0.83
used fair grading procedures:	returned exams/ assignments in a timely manner:
1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (1) 11.11% 3 neutral (0) 0.00% 4 agree (3) 33.33% 5 strongly agree (5) 55.56% N/A Not applicable/No basis fo [ Total (9)]	1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (0) 0.00% 4 agree (1) 11.11% 5 strongly agree (8) 88.89% N/A Not applicable/No basis fo [ Total (9)]
0 50% 100%	0 50% 100%
0 50% 100% Statistics Value	Statistics Value
050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count20	050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count20
050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count20Response Count9	050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count20Response Count9
050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count20Response Count9Response Ratio45.00%	050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count20Response Count9Response Ratio45.00%
050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count20Response Count9Response Ratio45.00%Mean4.33	050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count20Response Count9Response Ratio45.00%Mean4.89
050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count20Response Count9Response Ratio45.00%Mean4.33Standard Deviation1.00	050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count20Response Count9Response Ratio45.00%Mean4.89Standard Deviation0.33
050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count20Response Count9Response Ratio45.00%Mean4.33Standard Deviation1.00was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:1 strongly disagree (0)0.00%2 disagree (0)0.00%3 neutral (1)11.11%4 agree (1)11.11%5 strongly agree (4)44.44%N/A Not applicable/No basis fo33.33%[ Total (9) ]050%050%100%	050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count20Response Count9Response Ratio45.00%Mean4.89Standard Deviation0.33
050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count20Response Count9Response Ratio45.00%Mean4.33Standard Deviation1.00was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:1 strongly disagree (0) 2 disagree (0) 3 neutral (1) 4 agree (1)0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 11.11% 4 agree (1)1 strongly disagree (4) 0 5 strongly agree (4) 0 50%0.00% 100%StatisticsValue	050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count20Response Count9Response Ratio45.00%Mean4.89Standard Deviation0.33
0       50%       100%         Statistics       Value         Invited Count       20         Response Count       9         Response Ratio       45.00%         Mean       4.33         Standard Deviation       1.00        was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:       1 strongly disagree (0)         1       strongly disagree (0)       0.00%         2       disagree (1)       11.11%         4       agree (1)       11.11%         5       strongly agree (4)       44.44%         N/A Not applicable/No basis fo       33.33%         [Total (9)]       0       50%       100%         Statistics       Value       Value         Invited Count       20	050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count20Response Count9Response Ratio45.00%Mean4.89Standard Deviation0.33
050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count20Response Count9Response Ratio45.00%Mean4.33Standard Deviation1.00was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:1 strongly disagree (0) 2 disagree (0)0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 3 neutral (1)1 strongly disagree (1) 4 agree (1)11.11% 11.11% 4 agree (1)5 strongly agree (4) 044.44% 5 of 33.33%N/A Not applicable/No basis fo [ Total (9) ] 030% 0StatisticsValue 20Invited Count20Response Count9	050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count20Response Count9Response Ratio45.00%Mean4.89Standard Deviation0.33
050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count20Response Count9Response Ratio45.00%Mean4.33Standard Deviation1.00was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:1 strongly disagree (0) 2 disagree (0)0.00% 0.00% 	050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count20Response Count9Response Ratio45.00%Mean4.89Standard Deviation0.33
0       50%       100%         Statistics       Value         Invited Count       20         Response Count       9         Response Ratio       45.00%         Mean       4.33         Standard Deviation       1.00        was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:       1 strongly disagree (0)         1       strongly disagree (0)       0.00%         2       disagree (1)       11.11%         4       agree (1)       11.11%         5       strongly agree (4)       44.44%         N/A Not applicable/No basis fo       33.33%         [ Total (9) ]       0       50%       100%         Statistics       Value       1nvited Count       20         Response Count       9       9       Response Ratio       45.00%         Mean       4.50       45.00%       14.50	0     50%     100%       Statistics     Value       Invited Count     20       Response Count     9       Response Ratio     45.00%       Mean     4.89       Standard Deviation     0.33

# Part D: Unedited Student Comments

# Please provide your rationale for giving positive ratings of the course and course instructor.

#### Comments

The prof was fantastic. He was well organized and gave support and feedback quickly.

Overall, the course content and presentation were appropriate and suited for the purpose.

Great professor! Often available, responded quickly to questions and marked work quickly! I like that Rob also had exemplars for all major assignments.

I think he had a lot of knowledge and understanding of the course material. He gave assignments and feedback back right away and was available if students had questions.

I personally loved #ID tips in discord. Please keep it. I believe it help the group look for more information on ID and share it.

# Please provide comments on what if anything can be improved in the course and course instruction:

#### Comments

The content of this course seems to be more appropriate for post-secondary instructors, I found it not relevant for myself as an elementary teacher.

I believe there needs to be more moderation in the weekly discussion posts.

N/A

At time his material or rubrics were confusing. There were different versions of the rubrics posted for each assignment that did not match and that was confusing. He also marked us on not having something in our assignment like not enough references when it was never stated in the assignment outline. I have a few coworkers in the same course and we all received the same feedback but we all got different grades. I like having feedback that will help me do better on the next assignment and they seemed like general comments.

## Additional comments:

Comments

N/A

Part E: Additional Questions



# Course-Instructor Report Winter 2024 EDUC-5800-Applied Research Project EDUC-5800B-91.2024W (Robert Power)

Project Title: Course Evaluation Winter 2024

Course Audience: **15** Responses Received: **8** Response Ratio: **53.33%** 

#### **Report Comments**

The following information is a summary of the official student evaluation of EDUC-5800-Applied Research Project.

The evaluation includes questions about the course and program, teaching-specific questions, and unedited student comments. In addition, instructors could choose up to three additional questions.

Information and comments are elicited anonymously from students. Bar graphs represent the frequency of each response. Individual comments (positive or negative) should not be given undue weight. There is no average of averages provided in accordance with the Cape Breton University Policy for Standard Student Course Evaluation; this provision minimizes the potential for an instructor's teaching to be reduced to a single number based on students' responses. The evaluation of teaching should be interpreted as a whole and students' responses should be considered as only one part of the overall evaluation of an instructor's teaching.



Creation Date: Monday, April 29, 2024

# **Part A: Student Information**

Why are you taking this course?	
Core course (7) – degree requirement other than core (1) – free elective (0) – 0.00% [ Total (8) ] 0	87.50% 50% 100%
Statistics	Value
Invited Count	15
Response Count	8
Response Ratio	53.33%



How many hours per we	ek did you s	pend on aver	age outside class (studyir	ng, homework, etc.)?	
less than 1 h (0) – 1 to 3 h (4) – 4 to 6 h (3) – 6 to10 h (0) – more than 10 h (1) –	0.00%	12.50%	37.50%	50.00%	
[ lotal (o) ] -	0		50	0%	100%
Statistics					Value
Invited Count					15
Response Count					8
Response Ratio					53.33%

Course: EDUC-5800-Applied Research Project, Instructor: Robert Power



# Part B: Course and Degree Program Information





#### Course: EDUC-5800-Applied Research Project, Instructor: Robert Power



# Part C: Teaching Specific Questions

# The instructor: Robert Power

organized the course well:		presented the course material well:	
1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (1) 12.50% 3 neutral (1) 12.50% 4 agree (2) 25.00% 5 strongly agree (4) [ Total (8) ]		1 strongly disagree (0)       0.00%         2 disagree (2)       25.00%         3 neutral (0)       0.00%         4 agree (2)       25.00%         5 strongly agree (4)       50.00%         [ Total (8) ]       1	
0 50%	100%	0 50%	100%
Statistics	Value	Statistics	Value
Invited Count	15	Invited Count	15
Response Count	8	Response Count	8
Response Ratio	53.33%	Response Ratio	53.33%
Mean	4.13	Mean	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.13	Standard Deviation	1.31
clearly explained how the student's performance is ev	valuated:	inspired interest in the course content:	
1 strongly disagree (0)       0.00%         2 disagree (0)       0.00%         3 neutral (2)       25.00%         4 agree (2)       25.00%         5 strongly agree (4)       50.00%		1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (2) 25.00% 4 agree (3) 37.50% 5 strongly agree (3) 37.50%	
0 50%	100%	0 50%	100%
Statistics	Value	Statistics	Value
Invited Count	15	Invited Count	15
Response Count	8	Response Count	8
Response Ratio	53.33%	Response Ratio	53.33%
Mean	4.25	Mean	4.13
Standard Deviation	0.89	Standard Deviation	0.83
created an effective learning environment:		was open to student feedback:	
1 strongly disagree (1) 2 disagree (0) 3 neutral (1) 4 agree (2) 5 strongly agree (4) [ Total (8) ]		1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (2) 25.00% 4 agree (3) 37.50% 5 strongly agree (3) 37.50% [ Total (8) ]	
0 50%	100%	0 50%	100%
Statistics	Value	Statistics	Value
Invited Count	15	Invited Count	15
Response Count	8	Response Count	8
Response Ratio	53.33%	Response Ratio	53.33%
Mean	4.00	Mean	4.13
Standard Deviation	1.41	Standard Deviation	0.83

# Course: EDUC-5800-Applied Research Project, Instructor: Robert Power

responded to emails in a timely fashion:	required coursework (readings, quizzes assignments, homework etc.) that helped my understanding of the course material:
1 strongly disagree (0)       0.00%         2 disagree (0)       0.00%         3 neutral (0)       0.00%         4 agree (2)       25.00%         5 strongly agree (6)       75.00%         N/A Not applicable/No basis fo       0.00%         [ Total (8) ]       0       50%	1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (1) 12.50% 3 neutral (1) 12.50% 4 agree (3) 37.50% 5 strongly agree (3) 37.50% N/A Not applicable/No basis fo [ Total (8) ] 0 50% 100%
Statistics Value	Statistics Value
Invited Count 15	Invited Count 15
Response Count 8	Response Count 8
Response Ratio 53.33%	Response Ratio 53.33%
Mean 4.75	Mean 4.00
Standard Deviation 0.46	Standard Deviation 1.07
used fair grading procedures:	returned exams/ assignments in a timely manner:
1 strongly disagree (0) 0.00% 2 disagree (0) 0.00% 3 neutral (2) 25.00% 4 agree (4) 50.00% 5 strongly agree (2) 25.00% N/A Not applicable/No basis fo 0.00%	1 strongly disagree (0) - 0.00% 2 disagree (0) - 0.00% 3 neutral (0) - 0.00% 4 agree (3) - 37.50% 5 strongly agree (5) - 62.50% N/A Not applicable/No basis fo 0.00%
[ lotal (8) ] 0 50% 100%	[ Total (8) ] 0 50% 100%
[ Iotal (8) ] 0 50% 100% Statistics Value	[ Total (8) ] 0 50% 100% Statistics Value
[ Iotal (8) ]     0     50%     100%       Statistics     Value       Invited Count     15	[ Total (8) ]       0       50%       100%         Statistics       Value         Invited Count       15
[ Iotal (8) ]     0     50%     100%       Statistics     Value       Invited Count     15       Response Count     8	[ Total (8) ]050%StatisticsValueInvited Count15Response Count8
[ Iotal (8) ]050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count15Response Count8Response Ratio53.33%	[ Total (8) ]050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count15Response Count8Response Ratio53.33%
[ Iotal (8) ]050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count15Response Count68Response Ratio53.33%Mean4.00	[ Total (8) ]050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count15Response Count8Response Ratio53.33%Mean4.63
[ Iotal (8) ]050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count15Response Count8Response Ratio53.33%Mean4.00Standard Deviation0.76	[ Total (8) ]050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count15Response Count8Response Ratio53.33%Mean4.63Standard Deviation0.52
[ Iotal (8) ]050%StatisticsInvited Count15Response Count8Response Ratio53.33%Mean4.00Standard Deviation0.76was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:	[ Total (8) ]050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count15Response Count8Response Ratio53.33%Mean4.63Standard Deviation0.52
[ lotal (8) ]050%100%StatisticsValueInvited Count15Response Count8Response Ratio53.33%Mean4.00Standard Deviation0.76was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:1 strongly disagree (0) 2 disagree (0) 3 neutral (2)0.00% 25.00% 25.00%1 strongly disagree (2)25.00% 25.00%5 strongly agree (2)25.00% 25.00%N/A Not applicable/No basis fo [ Total (8) ]0050%100%	[Total (8)]       0       50%       100%         Statistics       Value         Invited Count       15         Response Count       8         Response Ratio       53.33%         Mean       4.63         Standard Deviation       0.52
[ lotal (8) ]       0       50%       100%         Statistics       Value         Invited Count       15         Response Count       8         Response Ratio       53.33%         Mean       4.00         Standard Deviation       0.76        was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:       1 strongly disagree (0)       0.00%         1 strongly disagree (2)       25.00%       25.00%         5 strongly agree (2)       25.00%       25.00%         N/A Not applicable/No basis fo       25.00%       100%         Statistics       Value	[Total (8)]       0       50%       100%         Statistics       Value         Invited Count       15         Response Count       8         Response Ratio       53.33%         Mean       4.63         Standard Deviation       0.52
[ Iotal (8) ]       0       50%       100%         Statistics       Value         Invited Count       15         Response Count       6         Response Ratio       53.33%         Mean       4.00         Standard Deviation       0.76        was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:       1 strongly disagree (0)       0.00%         1 strongly disagree (0)       0.00%       25.00%       4 agree (2)       25.00%         5 strongly agree (2)       25.00%       5 strongly agree (2)       25.00%       100%         N/A Not applicable/No basis fo       0       50%       100%         Statistics       Value       100%       15	[Total (8)]       0       50%       100%         Statistics       Value         Invited Count       15         Response Count       8         Response Ratio       53.33%         Mean       4.63         Standard Deviation       0.52
[ Iotal (8) ]       0       50%       100%         Statistics       Value         Invited Count       15         Response Count       6         Response Ratio       53.33%         Mean       4.00         Standard Deviation       0.76        was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with technology:       1 strongly disagree (0)       0.00%         1 strongly disagree (2)       25.00%       25.00%         5 strongly agree (2)       25.00%       25.00%         N/A Not applicable/No basis fo       25.00%       100%         Statistics       Value       100%         Invited Count       15       100%	[Total (8)]     0     50%     100%       Statistics     Value       Invited Count     15       Response Count     8       Response Ratio     53.33%       Mean     4.63       Standard Deviation     0.52
[ lotal (8) ]       0       50%       100%         Statistics       Value         Invited Count       15         Response Count       6         Response Ratio       53.33%         Mean       4.00         Standard Deviation       0.76        was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with         technology:       1 strongly disagree (0)       0.00%         3 neutral (2)       25.00%       25.00%         4 agree (2)       25.00%       5 strongly agree (2)         N/A Not applicable/No basis fo       25.00%       100%         Statistics       Value       100%         Statistics       Value       10%         Response Count       16       6         Response Ratio       53.33%       6	[Total (8)]       0       50%       100%         Statistics       Value         Invited Count       15         Response Count       8         Response Ratio       53.33%         Mean       4.63         Standard Deviation       0.52
[ lotal (8) ]       0       50%       100%         Statistics       Value         Invited Count       15         Response Count       6         Response Ratio       53.33%         Mean       4.00         Standard Deviation       0.76        was able to refer appropriately when help was needed with         technology:       1 strongly disagree (0)       0.00%         3 neutral (2)       25.00%       25.00%         VAlue       100%       3 neutral (2)         N/A Not applicable/No basis fo       25.00%       100%         Statistics       Value         Invited Count       16         Response Count       6         Response Ratio       53.33%         Mean       4.00	[Total (8)]       0       50%       100%         Statistics       Value         Invited Count       15         Response Count       8         Response Ratio       53.33%         Mean       4.63         Standard Deviation       0.52

# Part D: Unedited Student Comments

## Please provide your rationale for giving positive ratings of the course and course instructor.

## Comments

Dr. Power was excellent and presented the course with a ton of information and included examples and expertise in the area. He was very knowledgeable and was quick to reply to any questions and gave a heads–up whenever he knew he wouldn't be able to reply right away. he was also very compassionate when it came to power outages and changing due dates as needed. Overall, a five out of five experience thanks to him.

The difficulty of the course was appropriate. I also appreciated that all the works were to lead up to the final paper. This made it feel like the final task of creating a full research paper less daunting. I also felt like I was not doing irrelevant work, that sometimes was the case in other courses.

As with many CBU tech courses, some information was outdated. No mention of AI except in students' final projects. No online community. Timing of project doesn't reflect the reality of schools and the demands during teaching day (subbing, exams, storm days, meetings, parents not giving consent etc.). Was hoping to learn more from peers. Felt it was focused more on stats and APA than how to embed tech into authentic teaching. At times, confusing. Weekly modules may not match or line up with original course outline and/or rubrics. Even a broken link. This has occurred in other courses as well. Instructors should be mandated to update readings to at least 2020 and should make sure all links work, there are video explanations, online communities are created and each Moodle week is consistent with discussions and original course outline. Although the research was interesting, mini projects with peer feedback would have impacted my teaching more. Everyone was in their own vacuum and it left learning quite narrow

Rob was great to work with over the past year as a research supervisor, as well as in previous courses. He was always responding to our many questions and the timely feedback was very much appreciated!

The instructor (Rob Power) was really good. Clear expectations were provided. Feedback was timely and helpful to improve future work. He was flexible and helpful. I cant say enough

## Please provide comments on what if anything can be improved in the course and course instruction:

#### Comments

While all information needed was on the classroom moodle, the moodle was organized in a way that to get all the information, you needed to go in three or four different places. This made it hard to know if we had found all the needed information. It also required having multiple tabs open at once creating a very confusing space. Also, it felt like the professor did not care at all about the contents of the work and only about APA formatting.

some confusion at the start as CBU added extra sections. not the instructors fault.. but i was a bit lost at the start. If extra sections had been added sooner I would have graduated semesters earlier

## Additional comments:

Comments

Not Answered

Part E: Additional Questions

## Appendix T11: Problem-Based Learning Exemplars

# Dr. Rob Power Assistant Professor of Education, Cape Breton University

The following are examples of problem-based learning activities that I regularly use to contextualize technology integration and instructional design concepts and skills for undergraduate and graduate Education students.

## **Example 1: Course Enhancement Proposal**

#### Context

This is a major course project completed by graduate-level technology integration students (value: 60% of term grade).

## **Student Instructions**

This assignment will be completed in three stages:

## Part 1: Problem Identification (20%)

Think of a situation where you would be involved in designing and delivering a course. Choose a course that you believe would benefit from the addition of one or more technologies. For this paper, you don't have to have any particular technologies in mind, just pick a course that you think might benefit from the use of technologies. For example, if you work for an education or training institution, use a course from that institution; if you aspire to work for a particular education or training institution, use a course from that institution. If you can't think of a particular education/training situation that you are currently or aspire to work in, then use your situation as a student in the MDDE program and select one of your MDDE courses.

This assignment asks you to write a paper that describes the **current status** of a course you propose to change and the program in which this course is offered. This description should be adequate to describe the situation to someone who is not familiar with the course content, the program or the institution offering the course, and why you believe (or what evidence you have) that the course needs improvement.

## Part 2: SECTIONS Analysis (20%)

Previously you identified a course that you believe would benefit from the inclusion of one or more distance education technologies. In this assignment you will use a SECTIONS analysis to examine in detail two broad categories of educational technologies (from those discussed in this course) that could be used to enhance the course identified in Part 1, and the specific learning objectives and activities that you have highlighted. Then, once you have used your analyses to decide on one type of technology to integrate into your course, you will identify two competing applications from that category, and complete a SECTIONS analysis for each of those. Your final product for this assignment will be side-by-side SECTIONS analyses of two categories of technology, with a recommendation for using one specific type, as well as side-by-side SECTIONS analyses of two competing applications, with a recommendation for which application to integrate into the course you identified in Part 1.

## Part 3: Briefing Note (20%)

This assignment wraps up the project you began when you described a course that can be improved through the use of technology. In this assignment, you are to write a short proposal to modify that course to include one or more of the technologies covered in this course. The proposal should include a rationale, adoption attributes of the technology, context, and process for development. You may repeat parts of other assignments in this course without citation.

Aim the proposal at someone who can fund and/or approve the redevelopment of the course. The paper should follow APA standards. If you are unsure about how to construct a proposal, one of the questions in the Frequently Asked Questions section of this course is "What does a proposal look like?"

## **Example 2: Online Module Creation (ISD Project)**

## Context

This is a major course project completed by graduate-level instructional design students (value: 30% of term grade).

## **Student Instructions**

## Overview

You will draw upon the knowledge and skills developed in MDDE 604 to complete an Instructional Systems Design Project, which will comprise of an online learning module developed using the Canvas open learning management system. The online module will be developed for a topic of your choosing, based on the Needs Analysis and Proposal completed in Assignment 1, and the Pre-Planning and Design blueprint/storyboard completed in Assignment 2. This project will be completed in two parts:

- Part 1: Instructor Welcome Video
- Part 2: Online Module Development

In Assignment 5, you will participate in an ISD Pilot Testing Group with a group of your classmates, where you will take turns "delivering" your online module, and participating as a "student" in the

modules developed by the other group members. Figure 1 illustrates the stages of the ISD development project, and how they fit into the big picture of this course.

## Figure 1

The big picture: ISD project



## Part 1: Instructor/Module Welcome Video

During Week 1, you will create a short Instructor Welcome Video which you could include in your own online learning modules. You will post your finished video to the Welcome Forum (in lieu of a traditional "Welcome Forum" discussion post). You will then embed your video into the online learning module you complete in Part 2.

Please Note – If you have determined that your ISD Project will involve the creation of a self-paced (not instructor-led) module, then you may create a more generic Course/Module Welcome video to embed into your online learning module for Part 2.

# Due Date: End of Week 1

Submission Instructions: Post your completed Instructor Welcome Video to the Welcome Forum in Moodle.

## Part 2: Online Module Development

- Create a "teacher" account using the Canvas open LMS (if you do not already have one).
- Create a new course using the Canvas open LMS.
- Build your online learning module, as proposed in Assignments 1 and 2.
- A completed "draft" of your online module should be ready by the end of Week 9, so that you
  and your ISD Peer Review Group partner can complete pre-pilot testing peer reviews of each
  other's modules, and work together to make revisions/improvements before pilot testing the
  modules with your ISD Pilot Testing Group members in Week 11.
- Post a self-enrollment link for your course to the ISD Projects wiki in Moodle during Week 10.
- Invite your instructor to participate as an "observer" in your online learning module.

Due Date: End of Week 10